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#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a relatively closed subset of the unit disc $D$. If $A$ is any of the Hardy spaces $H^{p}(D), 0<p<\infty, \overline{\left.A\right|_{F}}$ denotes the functions on $F$ being uniform limits of elements from $H^{p}(D)$. Let $\tilde{F}$ consist of all $z \in D$ such that $|f(z)| \leq \sup \{|f(z)| z \in F\}$ for any bounded analytic function in $D$. It is proved that $\overline{\left.A\right|_{F}}$ consist of all functions $f$ that can be decomposed as $f=u+v$, where $u$ belongs to $H^{p}(D)$ and $v$ is a uniformly continuous function on the set $\tilde{F}$, analytic at interior points of $\tilde{F}$.


Let $A$ be a linear space of analytic functions and $F$ a subset of the complex plane $\mathcal{C}$ such that each $f \in A$ is defined on $F$. We denote by $\overline{\left.A\right|_{F}}$ the functions being uniformly approximable on $F$ by sequences from $A$. The aim with this paper is to give a partial solution to problem 8.5 no. 2 in [7]. If $A$ is any of the classical Hardy spaces $H^{p}(D), 0<p<\infty$, our main result is that $\overline{\left.A\right|_{F}}$ coincides (modulo the approximating space) with a well defined algebra of uniformly continuous analytic functions on $F$.

Before giving a precise formulation of the main result, we need some definitions.

Let $C_{u a}(F)$ denote the functions on $F$ being analytic in its interior $F^{0}$ and admitting continuous extension to the extended complex plane $\mathcal{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. If $F$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{C}$ and $P$ consists of the polynomials, a famous theorem of S. N. Mergelyan [7] can be formulated as

$$
\overline{\left.P\right|_{F}}=C_{u a}(\tilde{F})
$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is the union of $F$ and the bounded components of $C / F$.

Suppose now we replace $P$ by the set $H(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of all entire functions. Also allow $F$ to be a closed but possibly unbounded subset of $\mathcal{C}$. Then it can be proved that
(I):

$$
\overline{\left.H(\mathcal{C})\right|_{F}}=H(\mathcal{C})+C_{u a}(\tilde{F})
$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is the union of $F$ and certain components of $C / F$. A component $V$ is to be included in $\tilde{F}$ if and only if $V \cup\{\infty\}$ is not arcwise connected in $C \cup\{\infty\}$. For details see [8], [9] and [10].

In general $A$ may contain unbounded functions. For this reason it is natural to look for an identity like (I) if we seek to describe $\overline{A \mid F}$ in terms of uniformly continuous analytic functions. Let us use the notation $\|g\|_{B}=\sup \{|g(x)|: z \in B\}$ if $g$ is a function defined on the set $B$. We also define the hull of $F$ with respect to $A$ :

$$
\hat{F}^{A}=\left\{z:|f(z)| \leq\|f\|_{F}, \quad f \in A\right\} .
$$

We look for spaces $A$ satisfying the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{A_{\mid F}}=A+C_{u a}\left(\hat{F}^{A}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is the $(*)$ is valid for the classical Hardy sapces $H^{P}(D)$ in the unit disc $D, 0<p<\infty$, when $F$ is any relatively closed subset of $D$. Also note that the two introductory examples are special cases of (*).

We refer to [3] or [5] for the basic theory of $H^{p}(D), 0<p \leq \infty$. In particular $H^{\infty}(D)$ denotes the bounded analytic functions in $D$. If $F \subset D$ is relatively closed, let

$$
\hat{F}=\left\{z \in D:|f(z)| \leq\|f\|_{F}, \quad f \in H^{\infty}(D)\right\}
$$

Our main result is
Theorem 1. If $0<p<\infty$, then $\overline{\left.H^{p}(D)\right|_{F}}=H^{p}(D)+C_{u a}(\hat{F})$.
Proof of Theorem 1: If $f \in H^{p}(D)$ it is easy to find $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset H^{\infty}(D)$ such that $\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq|f(z)|$ and $f_{n}(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ for $z \in D$. This shows that $\hat{F}^{A}=\hat{F}$ if $A=H^{p}(D), 0<p<\infty$.
Let us first prove that $\overline{\left.H^{p}(D)\right|_{F}} \subset H^{p}(D)+C_{u a}(\hat{F})$. If $g \in \overline{\left.H^{p}(D)\right|_{F}}$ is bounded, we may assume

$$
g=\sum_{n} f_{n}, \quad f_{n} \in H^{p}(D)
$$

in the sense that $\sum_{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{F}<\infty$.
There are two special classes of sets $F$ where a short proof of the decomposition of $g$ can be found. It may be instructive to consider these cases prior to the general proof.

Let us firs assume that $F$ is a Farrell set for $H^{p}(D)$. (See $[\mathbf{8}]$ for definition and various properties of these sets). Then we can find polynomials $p_{n}, n=1,2$ such that

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{F} \leq\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{F}+2^{-n}
$$

and

$$
\left\|p_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{H^{p}} \leq 2^{-n}
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$. This gives a decomposition

$$
g=\sum_{n}\left(f_{n}-p_{n}\right)+\left.\sum_{n} p_{n}\right|_{\hat{F}}
$$

as claimed.
In our second example, we assume that $F$ can be written as a Blaschke sequence $S=\left\{\zeta_{\nu}\right\}$, meaning that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu} 1-\left|\zeta_{\nu}\right|<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is well known that the Blashcke product

$$
B(z)=\Pi \frac{\left|\zeta_{\nu}\right|}{\zeta_{\nu}} \frac{\zeta_{\nu}-z}{1-\bar{\zeta}_{\nu} z}
$$

converge in $D$. Using cofinite subproducts $B_{n}$ of $B$, we can obtain

$$
\left\|\left(1-B_{n}\right) f_{n}\right\|_{H^{p}(D)}<2^{-n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

and again we have a decomposition

$$
g=\sum_{n}\left(1-B_{n}\right) f_{n}+\left.\sum_{n} B_{n} f_{n}\right|_{F} .
$$

The geometric properties of the set $F$ are quite different in the two cases just discussed. To clarify this, let $\bar{F}_{n t}$ denote the non tangential closure of $F$ on the unit circle $T$. So $z \in \bar{F}_{n t}$ if $z \in T$ and $z$ is a limit of a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset F$ satisfying $\left|z-z_{n}\right| \leq C\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, where $C$ may depend only on $z$. We also define $\bar{F}_{t}=\bar{F} \cap \bar{T} \backslash \overline{F_{n t}}$.

It is well known that $F$ is a Farrell set for $H^{p}(D)$ if and only if the linear measure $\left|\bar{F}_{t}\right|$ of $\bar{F}_{t}$ is zero $([\mathbf{8}])$. On the other hand, the condition (1) is easily seen to imply that $\left|\bar{F}_{n t}\right|=0$.

We have thus obtained the decomposition of $\overline{\left.H^{p}(D)\right|_{F}}$ in two rather different situations. The general proof will be divided into parts reflecting the "geometry" of the cases considered above. We shall argue as in the proof where $F$ was a Farrell set, but the polynomials $p_{n}$ will be replaced by functions from $H^{p}(D)$ having a uniformly continuous restriction to $F$.

The key part of the proof is an approximation argument related to the set $\bar{F}_{n t}$ :

Lemma 1. Given $f \in H^{p}(D), 0<p<\infty$, and $\epsilon>0$, there is an open set $V$ and $f_{1} \in H^{p}(D)$ with the following properties:
(i) $\left\|f-f_{1}\right\|_{H^{p}(D)}<\epsilon$
(ii) $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{F}<\|f\|_{F}+\epsilon$
(iii) $f_{1}$ extends continuously to $\bar{F} \cap V$
(iv) $\left|\bar{F}_{n t} \backslash V\right|=0$.

For the moment we take Lemma 1 for granted. Consider the "tangential" part $\bar{F}_{t}$ of $\bar{F} \cap T$. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\bar{F}_{t}$. We assume there is a number $\delta=\delta(K)$ such that $I_{z} \cap K=\phi$ if $z \in F,|z|>1-\delta$, and $I_{z}$ denotes the arc $I_{z}=\left\{e^{i \theta}:\left|z-e^{i \theta}\right| \leq 2(|-|z|)\}\right.$.
By a construction due to J. Detraz ([2, Prop. 3.1]), we can find an outer function $G_{K} \in H^{\infty}(D)$ such that
$\left|G_{K}\right| \leq 1$ and $G_{K}(z) \rightarrow 0$ if $z \rightarrow K$ and $z \in F$. Moreover, $G_{K}$ extends to be continuous and non zero at any $e^{i \theta} \in T \backslash K$.

We can find an increasing sequence of such sets $K_{n} \subset \bar{F}_{t} \backslash V$ with corresponding outer functions $G_{n}$, such that $\left|\bar{F}_{t} \backslash V \backslash K_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ and such that $G^{=} \prod_{n} G_{n}$ has the following properties
(i) $0<|G(z)| \leq 1, z \in D$
(ii) $G$ extends to be continuous at any $e^{i \theta} \in V \cap T$.

It also follows from the construction of $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ that

$$
G(z) \rightarrow 0 \text { if } z \in F \text { and } z \rightarrow z_{0} \in \cup_{n} K_{n} .
$$

Consider finally the set

$$
L=(\bar{F} \bigcap T) \backslash V \backslash \bigcup_{n} K_{n} .
$$

It is evident that the linear meausre $|L|$ of $L$ is zero. By a general version of the Rudin-Carleson theorem ([2]) there is $H \in H^{\infty}(D)$ with continuous extension to $L \cup(T \backslash \bar{L})$ such that $H \neq 0$ in $D$ and $H=0$ on $L$.

For $n=1,2, \ldots$ we consider the functions $U_{n}$ in $H^{p}(D)$ given by

$$
U_{n}=G^{\frac{1}{n}} H^{\frac{1}{n}} f_{1}
$$

where $f_{1}$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 1.
It follows from the construction of $f_{1}, G$ and $H$, that $\left.U_{n}\right|_{F}$ is uniformly continuous. This implies that $\left.U_{n}\right|_{\hat{F}} \in C_{u a}(\hat{F})$, by the maximum principle. To be a little bit more specific, suppose $z_{0} \in \bar{F} \cap T$ and that $U_{n}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $z \in F$. Then $\left|U_{n}\right|<\epsilon$ in $F \cap \Delta\left(z_{0}\right)$, for some disc centered at $z_{0}$. Choose a polynomial $p$ peaking at $z_{0}$ such that $\left|U_{n} p\right|<\epsilon$ on $F$. Then if $p\left(z_{0}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\limsup _{\substack{z \rightarrow z_{0} \\ z \in \hat{F}}}\left|U_{n}(z)\right|=\limsup _{\substack{z \rightarrow z_{0} \\ z \in \hat{F}}}\left|U_{n}(z) p(z)\right| \leq \epsilon
$$

since $\left\|U_{n} p\right\|_{\hat{F}} \leq\left\|U_{n} p\right\|_{\bar{F}} \leq \epsilon$.
We turn to the proof of Theorem 1. If $f \in H^{p}(D)$ and $\epsilon>0$ is given, we have shown (modulo proving Lemma 1) that there is a function $U=U_{n} \in H^{p}(D) \cap C_{u a}(\hat{F})$ with $n$ so large that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|f-U\|_{H^{p}(D)}<\epsilon \\
\|U\| \leq\|f\|_{F}+\epsilon .
\end{array}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 now follows the introductory argument we gave in the special case where $F$ is a Farrell set.

Let us finally prove Lemma 1 . We may assume that $f$ is bounded in D.

So given $f \in H^{\infty}(D)$, and $\epsilon>0$, we consider a compact set $K \subset \bar{F}_{n t}$. We shall require several properties of $K$ related to $f$. If $0<\alpha<\pi$, $T(\theta, \alpha)$ denotes the cone in $D$ with opening angle $\alpha$, terminating at $e^{i \theta}$, and being symmetric with respect to the radius $\left\{r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1\right\}$. We assume that

$$
f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\lim f(z)
$$

holds uniformly in $e^{i \theta} \in K$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ inside $T(\theta, \alpha)$. Now fix $p \in(0, \infty)$. Since $f \in H^{p}(D)$, the radial limits $f\left(e^{i \theta}\right), 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$, belong to $L^{p}(d \theta)$. We assume that $K$ is included in the Lebesgue set for $f$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 r} \int_{\theta-r}^{\theta+r}\left|f\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)-f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \varphi \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $e^{i \theta} \in K$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Such a set $K$ can be found with $\left|\bar{F}_{n t} \backslash K\right|$ as small we please.

Fix $\delta>0$ so small that $\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-f(z)\right|<\epsilon$ if $e^{i \theta} \in K,|z|>1-\delta$ and $z \in T(\theta, \alpha)$. We are now in a convenient position for applying Vitushkin's scheme for approximation (see [13] or [4]). Let $\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ be a finite collection of open discs with centers $z_{j} \in K$ and a common radius $r<\delta$. Following Vitushkin's scheme, let $\varphi_{j} \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)$ be chosen such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $\Delta_{j}^{1}=\left\{z:\left|z-z_{j}\right|<\frac{r}{2}\right\}$. As a preliminary approximation to $f$ we define

$$
f_{K}=f-G_{K}
$$

where $G_{K}=\sum_{j} T_{\varphi j}\left(f-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right)-r_{j}$ is a finite sum which we shall explain in some detail.
We assume $f$ is defined outside of $D$ by $f\left(\bar{z}^{-1}\right)=f(z)$. For general properties of the $T_{\varphi}$-operator we refer to [11] or [4, page 30]. Here we only note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\varphi j}\left(f-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right)(\varsigma) & =\varphi_{j}(\varsigma)\left(f(\varsigma)-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_{j}} \int \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{j}\right)}{z-\varsigma} \frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial \bar{z}} d x d y(z) \\
& =U_{j}+V_{j} \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume $\left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial \bar{z}}\right| \leq \frac{A}{r}$, where $A$ is a numerical constant. Since $f \in$ $H^{p}(D)$, we have in particular that $f \in L^{p}(d x d y)$ locally. Therefore the convolution term $V_{j}$ is continuous as a function of $\varsigma$. If $\alpha$ is close to $\pi$, Hölders inequality gives that

$$
\left|V_{j}(\varsigma)\right| \leq \epsilon, \quad \varsigma \in \mathcal{C} \quad j=1,2 \ldots N
$$

Note also that $V_{j}$ is analytic outside $\Delta_{j}$. According to Vitushkin's scheme, the functions $r_{j}$ should be analytic outside a compact subset of $\Delta_{j} \backslash \bar{D}$ and with the property that $\left(V_{j}-r_{j}\right)(\varsigma)$ has a zero of order 3 at $\infty$. In addition we should require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|r_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq A_{1}\left\|V_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq A_{1} \epsilon, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is a numerical constant. In our simple situation, the existence of $\left\{r_{j}\right\}$ is rather evident ([4, page 210-214]). From the individual bounds (3), it is part of Vitushkin's scheme that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(V_{j}-r_{j}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq A_{2} \epsilon \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some numerical constant $A_{2}$. We have not claimed that $\left\{\Delta_{j}^{1}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ cover all of $K$. In fact we shall assume that $\Delta_{j} \cap \Delta_{k}=\phi$ if $j \neq k$. In addition we assume that

$$
\left|K \cap \bigcup_{1}^{N} \Delta_{j}^{1}\right| \geq A_{3}|K|
$$

for some numerical constant $A_{3}$, where $\Delta_{j}^{1}=\left\{z:\left|z-z_{j}\right| \leq \frac{r}{2}\right\}$. We remark that $f_{K}=f-G_{K}$ is analytic near $\Delta_{j}^{1} \cap T$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. This is seen by writing

$$
f_{k}=\left(f-U_{j}\right)-V_{j}-\sum_{i \neq j}\left(U_{i}+V_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}
$$

and inspecting these four terms separately.
Note that the (Fatou) boundary values $f\left(z_{j}\right)$ satisfy $\left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right| \leq\|f\|_{F}$. Since

$$
f_{K}=f\left(1-\sum \varphi_{j}\right)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j} f\left(z_{j}\right)+\sum_{j}\left(V_{j}-r_{j}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{K}\right\|_{F} \leq\|f\|_{F}+A_{2} \epsilon \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (5) we also get

$$
\left\|f-f_{K}\right\|_{H^{p}(D)}=\left\|G_{K}\right\|_{H^{p}(D)} \leq\left(1+A_{2}\right) \epsilon
$$

if $r$ is sufficiently small.
The function $f_{K}$ satisfies the conditions for $f_{1}$ in Lemma 1 except that $f_{K}$ is only analytic (and hence continuous) near a subset $P_{K}$ of $K$. But since $\left|P_{K}\right| \geq A_{3}|K|$, Lemma 1 follows by repeating our construction countably many times. The main reason why repetition works, is that the $T \varphi$-operator preserves continuity and analyticity ( $[4$, page 30$]$ ).

It remains to show that $C_{u a}(\hat{F}) \subset \overline{\left.H^{p}(D)\right|_{\hat{F}}}$. Let $B$ denote the Banach algebra $\overline{\left.H^{\infty}(D)\right|_{\hat{F}}}$. Also put $X=(\hat{F})$. If $V$ is a component of $\mathcal{C} \backslash X$, there mus exist $h \in H^{\infty}(D)$ such that

$$
1=h\left(z_{0}\right)>\|h\|_{F}
$$

for some $z_{0} \in V$. But then $1-h$ is invertible in $B$ and since

$$
1-h=\left(z-z_{0}\right) g, \quad g \in H^{\infty}(D)
$$

we conclude that $\left.\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\hat{F}} \in B$. This means that $\left.R(X)\right|_{\hat{F}} \subset B$, where $R(X)$ is the uniform closure on $X$ by the rational functions with poles off $X$.

But if $\left\{V_{j}\right\}$ are the components os $\mathcal{C} \backslash X$, the maximum principle gives $\partial V_{j} \cap T \neq \phi, j=1,2, \ldots$ and hence $\partial X=\cup_{1}^{\infty} \partial V_{j}$. For such sets $X$ (with empty "inner boundary") Vitushkin has proved that $R(X)=C_{u a}(X)$ ([4, page 219]), and hence Theorem 1 is proved.
This solves completely problem 8.5 no. 2 in $[7]$ for the space $H^{p}(D)$, $0<p<\infty$. For $p=\infty$ the problem is still open.
For $p=\infty$, some information about $\overline{\left.H^{\infty}\right|_{F}}$ can be obtained from the work by Carl Sundberg in [12]. If $f \in$ BMOA and $\left.f\right|_{F}$ is bounded, Sundberg shows that $f \in \overline{\left.H^{\infty}\right|_{F}}$. On the other hand, our proof above shows that any $f \in \overline{\left.H^{\infty}\right|_{F}}$ can be written as $f=u+v$ with $u \in H^{\infty}$ and $v \in \cap_{p>0} H^{p}(D)$. Several questions arises from this. Here we only mention the following: Let $f \in$ BMOA be bounded on a relatively closed set $F \subset D$.
Is there $g \in H^{\infty}$ such that the restriction $\left.(f-g)\right|_{F}$ is uniformly continuous on $F$ ?
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