

## FOURIER RESTRICTION TO CONVEX SURFACES OF REVOLUTION IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

FARUK ABI-KHUZAM AND BASSAM SHAYYA

*Abstract*

---

If  $\Gamma$  is a  $C^3$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d\sigma$  is induced Lebesgue measure on  $\Gamma$ , then it is well known that a Tomas-Stein Fourier restriction estimate on  $\Gamma$  implies that  $\Gamma$  has a nowhere vanishing Gaussian curvature. In a recent paper, Carbery and Ziesler observed that if induced Lebesgue measure is replaced by affine surface area, then a Tomas-Stein restriction estimate on  $\Gamma$  implies that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the affine isoperimetric inequality. Since the only property needed for a hypersurface to satisfy the affine isoperimetric inequality is convexity, this raised the question of whether a Tomas-Stein restriction estimate can be obtained for flat but convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such as  $\Gamma(x) = (x, e^{-1/|x|^m})$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . We prove that this is indeed the case in dimension  $n = 3$ .

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $C^3$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d\sigma$  a measure on  $\Gamma$ . A Tomas-Stein Fourier restriction estimate for the pair  $(\Gamma, d\sigma)$  is an inequality of the form

$$(1) \quad \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(d\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n+2}{n+3}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The existence of restriction estimates such as (1), as well as their connection with the geometry of  $\Gamma$ , or with the decay of the Fourier transform of  $d\sigma$ , has been a subject of great interest. See [9, pp. 368–373] for some important applications of these estimates.

The choice of the measure  $d\sigma$  is not completely arbitrary. It usually reflects some aspect of the geometry of  $\Gamma$ . Two important choices of  $d\sigma$  are induced Lebesgue measure and affine surface area. In the former case, if  $\Gamma$  is assumed to have non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, (1) is a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B10, 42B15.

*Key words.* Fourier transform, restriction, affine surface area.

classical result of Tomas and Stein (see [10] and [9]). Conversely, if (1) holds with induced Lebesgue measure, then a result of Iosevich and Lu [3] (see also [2]), implies that  $\Gamma$  has non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. The proof of this converse uses, among other things, a Knapp-type scaling argument. To see how this argument goes, consider the special case where  $\Gamma$  is a surface of revolution given by  $\Gamma(x) = (x, \phi(x))$ , where  $\phi(x) = \gamma(|x|)$ , and  $\gamma: [0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and satisfies  $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = 0$ . For  $0 < \delta < b$ , let  $S_\delta = \{(x, \gamma(|x|)) : |x| \leq \delta\}$  and let  $f_\delta$  be a smoothed-out characteristic function of  $S_\delta$ . It is then easy to see that  $\|f_\delta\|_{L^2(d\sigma)} \lesssim \delta^{(n-1)/2}$ , and that  $|\widehat{f_\delta d\sigma}| \gtrsim \delta^{n-1}$  on a  $(C/\delta) \times \cdots \times (C/\delta) \times (C/\gamma(\delta))$  box in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (for a suitable constant  $C$ ). Now if (1) holds then, by duality, the equivalent adjoint restriction estimate

$$(2) \quad \|f \widehat{d\sigma}\|_{L^{\frac{2n+2}{n-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(d\sigma)}$$

also holds. Applying (2) to  $f_\delta$  we obtain

$$(3) \quad \delta^2 \lesssim \gamma(\delta)$$

and this implies that  $\gamma''(0) \neq 0$ . In particular  $\gamma$  cannot have vanishing Gaussian curvature at the origin. A more elaborate argument shows that the same conclusion holds in general.

In the latter case, say when  $\Gamma(x) = (x, \phi(x))$ , the affine surface area on  $\Gamma$  is given as the pushforward under  $\Gamma$  of the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure  $|K_\phi(x)|^{1/(n+1)} dx$ , where  $K_\phi(x) = \det(\text{Hess } \phi(x))$  is the affine curvature of  $\Gamma$ . To see what kind of geometry on  $\Gamma$  may be expected, take the case of a surface of revolution considered above. The radial assumption on  $\phi$ , e.g.  $\phi(x) = \gamma(|x|)$ , simplifies matters and one computes that

$$K_\phi(x) = \gamma''(|x|) \left( \frac{\gamma'(|x|)}{|x|} \right)^{n-2}.$$

If we then take  $d\sigma$  in the adjoint restriction estimate (2), which is equivalent to (1), to be affine surface area and use the function  $f_\delta$  in it, we arrive [1] at the inequality

$$\int_0^\delta \left| \gamma''(r) \left( \frac{\gamma'(r)}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right|^{1/(n+1)} r^{n-2} dr \lesssim (\delta^{n-1} \gamma(\delta))^{(n-1)/(n+1)}.$$

But now this inequality does not imply non-vanishing curvature. Rather, it is satisfied by any convex  $\gamma$ , regardless of how flat it is at the origin, e.g. it is satisfied by  $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t^m}$ ,  $m$  any positive integer. In fact, even if  $\phi$  is not radial, there is a similar scaling argument that can be applied, and it leads to the conclusion that  $\phi$  satisfies the affine isoperimetric

inequality of affine differential geometry, which is certainly true whenever  $\phi$  is convex. For more details we refer the reader to [1, pp. 409–410], [5, Chapter 5], and [6].

An earlier result of Sjölin [8] had already established that, if the dimension  $n = 2$ , and  $\phi$  is convex, then the restriction inequality holds true for affine surface area. The strength of this result, along with the above considerations, suggested that, perhaps, the geometric condition of convexity of  $\phi$  could imply a restriction result for affine surface area in higher dimensions. But if only convexity is to be used, functions such as  $\phi(x) = e^{-1/|x|^m}$  have to be admitted. In attempting to prove this result, i.e. to show that convexity implies restriction, Carbery and Ziesler [1] considered the implications of a decay assumption on the Fourier transform of  $d\sigma$ .

Kenig, Ponce and Vega [4] proved that if the decay assumption

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_{B(0,b)} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot \Gamma(x)} |K_\phi(x)|^{\frac{1}{2} + i\alpha} dx \right| \lesssim \frac{(1 + |\alpha|)^N}{|\xi_n|}$$

was true for all real  $\alpha$  and some integer  $N$ , then (2) holds<sup>1</sup>. When testing (4) on  $\phi(x) = e^{-1/|x|^m}$ , Carbery and Ziesler [1] found that it did not hold true in dimension  $n = 3$ . This, of course, did not mean that there was no restriction result for  $\phi(x) = e^{-1/|x|^m}$ . More recently, the same restriction question was addressed in [7]. A consequence of the results there implies that if  $\phi(\cdot) = \gamma(|\cdot|)$ , where  $\gamma$  is convex,  $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma^{(3)}(t)$  non-negative, and if

$$\sup_{0 < t < b} \frac{t\gamma''(t)}{\gamma'(t)} \leq C < \infty,$$

then the restriction estimate (1) holds for affine surface area in dimension  $n = 3$ . Testing this last condition on  $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t^m}$ , where  $0 < t < b_m$ ,  $b_m = m/(3m + 3)$ , one finds that

$$\sup_{0 < t < b_m} \frac{t\gamma''(t)}{\gamma'(t)} = \sup_{0 < t < b_m} \left( \frac{m}{t^m} - m - 1 \right) = \infty.$$

Once again, the function  $e^{-1/t^m}$  was precluded from the result.

It turns out that, at least for surfaces of revolution  $\Gamma(x) = (x, \phi(x))$ ,  $\phi(x) = \gamma(|x|)$ , a Tomas-Stein restriction estimate for affine surface area

---

<sup>1</sup>This connection between decay and restriction is valid in dimensions  $n = 2, 3$ . In dimensions  $n \geq 4$ , one has to modify things slightly by inserting a smooth cut-off function into both (2) and (4), see [1] for further details.

does hold in the presence of convexity, if we add the condition that

$$(5) \quad \sup_{0 < t < b} \frac{\gamma(t)\gamma''(t)}{\gamma'(t)^2} \leq C < \infty.$$

Now testing this condition on  $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t^m}$  one finds that

$$(6) \quad \sup_{0 < t < b_m} \frac{\gamma(t)\gamma''(t)}{\gamma'(t)^2} = \sup_{0 < t < b_m} \left( 1 - \frac{m+1}{m} t^m \right) \leq 1.$$

We thus have a Tomas-Stein restriction result that includes the surfaces  $\Gamma(x) = (x, e^{-1/|x|^m})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The purpose of this paper is to obtain restriction estimates for convex surfaces of revolution in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A major role is played by the function

$$\frac{\gamma(t)\gamma''(t)}{\gamma'(t)^2}$$

and our results only require the boundedness of certain  $L^{p_0}$  norms of this function. In particular, we obtain a Tomas-Stein restriction estimate for surfaces of revolution in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying (5). We find it useful to prove our results in a little more general setting. In Section 2 we introduce a family of measures  $d\sigma_\gamma$ , state a general  $(L^p, L^q)$  restriction result for such measures, and obtain as a corollary the result on  $\Gamma(x) = (x, e^{-1/|x|^m})$ . In Section 3 we present the main component of our proof. In Section 4 we prove our results.

## 2. Statement of results

Let  $0 < b \leq \infty$ , and denote by  $B(0, b)$  the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of center 0 and radius  $b$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}([0, b])$  be the set of all real-valued functions  $\gamma \in C^3([0, b])$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma''(t) > 0$  for  $0 < t < b$ , and  $\gamma^{(3)}(t) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t < b$ .

Suppose  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p$ ,  $p_0 \leq \infty$ ,  $4 \leq q \leq \infty$ , and  $1/p + 2/q \leq 1$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, b])$ , let  $d\sigma_\gamma$  be the pushforward under the map  $x \rightarrow (x, \gamma(|x|))$  of the two-dimensional measure

$$(7) \quad \left( \frac{\gamma'(|x|)^{3-2\lambda} \gamma''(|x|)^\lambda}{|x| \gamma(|x|)^{1-\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{p'}{2q}} dx$$

with the understanding that when  $p' = q = \infty$ ,  $p'/(2q)$  is set to be equal to  $1/4$ ; so that  $p'/(2q) = 1/4$  on the sharp line  $1/p + 2/q = 1$  including the point  $(1/p, 1/q) = (1, 0)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $1/p + 2/q = 1 - 1/p_0$ , then*

$$(8) \quad \|\widehat{f d\sigma}_\gamma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_q \left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b))} \|f\|_{L^p(d\sigma_\gamma)}$$

for all  $(f, \gamma) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{C}([0, b])$ , where  $C_q = 4(2^{7/6}\pi)^{3/(2q)}$ .

Notice that if  $\lambda = 1$ , then the density of the measure (7) is  $|K_{\gamma(|\cdot|)}(x)|^{p'/(2q)}$ , so if in addition  $1/p + 2/q = 1$ , then  $d\sigma_\gamma$  is the same affine surface area measure we described in Section 1.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, b])$  is such that*

$$\left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b))} < \infty.$$

Let  $\lambda = 1$  and  $d\sigma = d\sigma_\gamma$ . If  $1/p + 2/q = 1 - 1/p_0$ , then

$$\|\widehat{f d\sigma}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(d\sigma)}$$

for all  $f \in L^p(d\sigma)$ .

For example if  $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t^m}$ , then by (6),

$$\left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b_m))} \leq (\pi b_m^2)^{1/p_0} < \infty$$

for  $1 \leq p_0 \leq \infty$ , and so the adjoint restriction estimate in Corollary 1 holds for  $\gamma(t) = e^{-1/t^m}$  whenever  $4 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $1/p + 2/q \leq 1$ .

If, as another example, we take  $\gamma(t) = -t \log(1-t)$ , which is in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , then

$$\left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,1))} \approx \left\| \left( -\frac{\log(1-|\cdot|)}{|\cdot|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,1))}$$

is finite for  $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$  but not for  $p_0 = \infty$  (except if  $q = \infty$ ), and so the adjoint restriction estimate in Corollary 1 holds for  $\gamma(t) = -t \log(1-t)$  whenever  $4 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $1/p + 2/q < 1$ .

### 3. Main estimate

Let  $\tilde{B} = B(0, b) \cap \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x_1, x_2 > 0\}$ . The purpose of this section is to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $0 < b \leq \infty$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, b])$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{B}} \int_{\tilde{B}} h(u+v, \gamma(|u|) + \gamma(|v|)) \left( \frac{\gamma'(|u|)^3}{|u|\gamma(|u|)} \frac{\gamma'(|v|)^3}{|v|\gamma(|v|)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} du dv \\ \leq (2^{7/6}\pi)^{3/2} \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

for all Lebesgue measurable  $h: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ .

*Proof:* Denoting the integral on the left-hand side of the inequality by I, and changing into polar coordinates, we have

$$I = \int_0^b \int_0^b \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} h(re^{i\theta} + se^{i\phi}, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) d\theta d\phi \left( \frac{r^3 \gamma'(r)^3 s^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dr ds.$$

The change of variable  $x = re^{i\theta} + se^{i\phi}$  (cf [7]) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\theta} h(re^{i\theta} + se^{i\phi}, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) d\phi d\theta \\ \leq \int_{\sqrt{r^2+s^2} < |x| < r+s} \frac{2h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s))}{\sqrt{(|x|^2 - (r-s)^2)((r+s)^2 - |x|^2)}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} h(re^{i\theta} + se^{i\phi}, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) d\phi d\theta \\ \leq \int_{\sqrt{r^2+s^2} < |x| < r+s} \frac{4h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s))}{\sqrt{(|x|^2 - (r-s)^2)((r+s)^2 - |x|^2)}} dx \\ \leq \int_{\sqrt{r^2+s^2} < |x| < r+s} \frac{4h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s))}{\sqrt{(2rs)((r+s)^2 - |x|^2)}} dx \\ \leq \int_{|x| < r+s} \frac{2h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s))}{(rs)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{r+s-|x|}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality  $r + s \geq 2\sqrt{rs}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{I} &\leq 2 \int_0^b \int_0^b \int_{|x| < r+s} \frac{h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s))}{\sqrt{r+s-|x|}} dx \left( \frac{\gamma'(r)^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dr ds \\
 &= 2 \int_{B(0,2b)} \int_0^b \int_0^b h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) \frac{\chi_E(r, s)}{\sqrt{r+s-x}} \left( \frac{\gamma'(r)^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dr ds dx \\
 &= 4 \int_{B(0,2b)} \int_0^b \int_0^b h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) \frac{\chi_F(r, s)}{\sqrt{r+s-x}} \left( \frac{\gamma'(r)^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dr ds dx \\
 &= 4 \int_{B(0,2b)} \text{II} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E = \{(r, s) \in (0, b) \times (0, b) : r + s > |x|\}$ ,  $F = \{(r, s) \in E : s < r\}$ , and

$$\text{II} = \int_0^b \int_0^b h(x, \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)) \frac{\chi_F(r, s)}{\sqrt{r+s-x}} \left( \frac{\gamma'(r)^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dr ds.$$

To estimate II, we shall first apply the change of variable

$$\begin{aligned}
 r &= r(t, y) = \gamma^{-1}(y \sin^2 t) \\
 s &= s(t, y) = \gamma^{-1}(y \cos^2 t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is defined on the open set

$$\Omega = \left\{ (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{\pi}{4} < t < \frac{\pi}{2}, y > 0 \right\};$$

so, with a slight abuse of notation,  $(r, s)$  is now a mapping from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The Jacobian of this mapping is

$$J_{(r,s)}(t, y) = \frac{2y \sin t \cos^3 t + 2y \sin^3 t \cos t}{\gamma'(\gamma^{-1}(y \sin^2 t))\gamma'(\gamma^{-1}(y \cos^2 t))} = \frac{y \sin 2t}{\gamma'(r)\gamma'(s)}.$$

But<sup>2</sup>

$$(9) \quad \gamma(r) = y \sin^2 t \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(s) = y \cos^2 t,$$

so

$$(10) \quad \gamma'(r) \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} = y \sin 2t \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'(s) \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = -y \sin 2t,$$

---

<sup>2</sup>To simplify the notation, we are writing  $r, s, \partial r/\partial t$ , and  $\partial s/\partial t$  for  $r(t, y), s(t, y), \partial r/\partial t(t, y)$ , and  $\partial s/\partial t(t, y)$  respectively.

and so

$$y \sin 2t = \sqrt{\gamma'(r)\gamma'(s)} \sqrt{\left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|}.$$

Thus

$$J_{(r,s)}(t, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma'(r)\gamma'(s)}} \sqrt{\left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|}.$$

But also

$$\frac{\gamma'(r)\gamma'(s)}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| = \frac{y^2 \sin^2 2t}{(y \sin^2 t)(y \cos^2 t)} = 4,$$

so

$$\left( \frac{\gamma'(r)^3 \gamma'(s)^3}{\gamma(r)\gamma(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} J_{(r,s)}(t, y) = \left( 4 \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Next, to determine the domain of integration in the  $ty$ -plane, we make the following observations. By the convexity of  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(r) + \gamma(|x| - r)$ , as a function of  $r$ , increases on the interval  $(|x|/2, |x|)$ . So

$$2\gamma\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right) \leq \gamma(r) + \gamma(|x| - r) < \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)$$

whenever  $|x|/2 < r < |x|$  and  $|x| - r < s$ , which are in turn satisfied whenever  $s < r < |x| < r + s$ . Also by the convexity of  $\gamma$ ,

$$2\gamma\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right) \leq \gamma(|x|) \leq \gamma(r) < \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)$$

whenever  $r \geq |x|$  and  $s > 0$ . Thus

$$2\gamma\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right) < \gamma(r) + \gamma(s) < 2\gamma(b)$$

whenever  $0 < s < r < b$  and  $|x| < r + s$ . But, by the definition of the mapping  $(r, s)$ ,

$$y = \gamma(r) + \gamma(s)$$

for all  $(t, y) \in \Omega$ , so

$$2\gamma\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right) < y < 2\gamma(b)$$

whenever  $0 < s < r < b$  and  $|x| < r + s$ . For any such (fixed)  $y$ , the range of  $(r, s)$  is a curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that “enters” the closure of the domain



of integration of  $\Pi$  when  $t = \pi/4$  (i.e. when  $s = r$ ) and “leaves” when  $t = \tau(y)$  for some  $\tau(y) \in (\pi/4, \pi/2]$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= \int_{2\gamma(\frac{|x|}{2})}^{2\gamma(b)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\tau(y)} h(x, y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{r+s-|x|}} \left( 4 \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt dy \\ &= \int_{2\gamma(\frac{|x|}{2})}^{2\gamma(b)} h(x, y) \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\tau(y)} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{r+s-|x|}} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt dy.\end{aligned}$$

Now, by the definition of  $\tau(y)$ ,

$$r+s = r(t, y) + s(t, y) \geq |x| \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\pi}{4} \leq t \leq \tau(y),$$

so, in particular,

$$r(\tau(y), y) + s(\tau(y), y) \geq |x|,$$

and hence

$$r+s-|x| \geq r+s-(r(\tau(y), y) + s(\tau(y), y)) \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\pi}{4} < t < \tau(y).$$

Thus

$$\Pi \leq \int_{2\gamma(\frac{|x|}{2})}^{2\gamma(b)} h(x, y) \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\tau(y)} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{r+s-r(\tau(y), y) - s(\tau(y), y)}} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt dy.$$

The rest of the proof will be devoted to estimating

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r+s-r(\tau(y), y) - s(\tau(y), y)}} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

for  $2\gamma(|x|/2) < y < 2\gamma(b)$  and  $\pi/4 < t < \tau(y)$ .

We start by examining the function  $\partial r/\partial t + \partial s/\partial t$ . By (10),

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = \frac{y \sin 2t}{\gamma'(r)} - \frac{y \sin 2t}{\gamma'(s)}$$

is negative for  $\pi/4 < t < \pi/2$  (since  $\gamma'(s) < \gamma'(r)$ ), so

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| &= \frac{y \sin 2t}{\gamma'(s)} - \frac{y \sin 2t}{\gamma'(r)} \\ &= 2y \left( \frac{\cos t}{\gamma'(s)} \sin t - \frac{\sin t}{\gamma'(r)} \cos t \right) \\ &= 2y \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 t}{\gamma'(s)^2} + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\gamma'(r)^2}} \sin(t - \phi),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi = \phi(t)$  is defined by

$$\sin \phi = \frac{(\sin t)/\gamma'(r)}{\sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 t}{\gamma'(s)^2} + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\gamma'(r)^2}}}, \quad \cos \phi = \frac{(\cos t)/\gamma'(s)}{\sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 t}{\gamma'(s)^2} + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\gamma'(r)^2}}}.$$

We shall need precise information about  $\phi$  and  $\partial^2 r/\partial t^2 + \partial^2 s/\partial t^2$ . For this we need the following easy, but important, observation. By integration by parts,

$$\int_0^\rho 2\gamma'(\alpha)\gamma''(\alpha) d\alpha = 2\gamma(\rho)\gamma''(\rho) - 2 \int_0^\rho \gamma(\alpha)\gamma^{(3)}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

for  $0 < \rho < b$ , and since  $\gamma^{(3)}$  is nonnegative, we get

$$(11) \quad \gamma'(\rho)^2 \leq 2\gamma(\rho)\gamma''(\rho) \quad \text{for } 0 < \rho < b.$$

(This is the only place where we use the assumptions that  $\gamma$  is  $C^3$  and  $\gamma^{(3)}$  is nonnegative; everywhere else we need only require of  $\gamma$  to be  $C^2$  and convex.)

Differentiating both sides of (10) with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$\gamma''(r) \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \gamma'(r) \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} = 2y \cos 2t$$

and

$$\gamma''(s) \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \gamma'(s) \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} = -2y \cos 2t.$$

This combined with (11) gives

$$\frac{\gamma'(r)^2}{2\gamma(r)} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \gamma'(r) \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} \leq 2y \cos 2t$$

and

$$\frac{\gamma'(s)^2}{2\gamma(s)} \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \gamma'(s) \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} \leq -2y \cos 2t.$$

But by (9) and (10),

$$\frac{\gamma'(r)^2}{2\gamma(r)} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right)^2 = 2y \cos^2 t \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\gamma'(s)^2}{2\gamma(s)} \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right)^2 = 2y \sin^2 t,$$

so

$$\gamma'(r) \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} \leq -2y \sin^2 t \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'(s) \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} \leq -2y \cos^2 t,$$

and it follows that

$$(12) \quad \gamma'(r) \left( \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} \right) \leq -2y < 0$$

for  $\pi/4 < t < \pi/2$ .

Going back to  $\phi$ , we have

$$\tan \phi = \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\gamma'(r)} \tan t.$$

Now if we let  $m(\rho) = \gamma'(\rho)^2/\gamma(\rho)$ ,  $0 < \rho < b$ , then by (11),  $m'(\rho) \geq 0$  and it follows that  $m(s) \leq m(r)$ . Hence

$$\tan \phi = \frac{\sqrt{m(s)\gamma(s)}}{\sqrt{m(r)\gamma(r)}} \tan t \leq \frac{\sqrt{\gamma(s)}}{\sqrt{\gamma(r)}} \tan t = \cot t \tan t = 1,$$

and hence  $0 < \phi \leq \pi/4$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 t}{\gamma'(s)^2} + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\gamma'(r)^2}} \sin\left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &= 2y \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2 t}{(y \sin 2t)^2} \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 t}{(y \sin 2t)^2} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}\right)^2} \sin\left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sin^2 t} \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2 t} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}\right)^2} \sin\left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &> \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}\right)^2} \sin\left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\pi/4 < t < \pi/2$ . Thus

$$\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|}}{\left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|} < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{t - \frac{\pi}{4}}$$

for  $\pi/4 < t < \pi/2$ .

As we saw above,  $\partial r/\partial t + \partial s/\partial t$  is negative on the interval  $(\pi/4, \pi/2)$ . Also by (12),

$$\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} < 0,$$

so  $\partial r/\partial t + \partial s/\partial t$ , as a function of  $t$ , is decreasing on  $(\pi/4, \pi/2)$ , and so  $|\partial r/\partial t + \partial s/\partial t|$  is increasing there. Now applying the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$r + s - r(\tau(y), y) - s(\tau(y), y) \geq (\tau(y) - t) \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|$$

for  $\pi/4 < t < \tau(y)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{r + s - r(\tau(y), y) - s(\tau(y), y)}} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau(y) - t}} \frac{\left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &< \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau(y) - t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - \frac{\pi}{4}}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\pi/4 < t < \tau(y)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\tau(y)} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{r + s - r(\tau(y), y) - s(\tau(y), y)}} \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \left| \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\tau(y)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(\tau(y) - t)(t - \frac{\pi}{4})}} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2^{1/4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{II} \leq \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2^{1/4}} \int_{2^{\gamma(\frac{1-x}{2})}}^{2^{2\gamma(b)}} h(x, y) dy$$

and consequently

$$\text{I} \leq 2^{7/4} \pi^{3/2} \int_{B(0, 2b)} \int_{2^{\gamma(\frac{1-x}{2})}}^{2^{2\gamma(b)}} h(x, y) dy dx \leq (2^{7/6} \pi)^{3/2} \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad \square$$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is compactly supported in the third variable, and let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, b])$ . It is enough to show that

$$\|\widehat{f d\sigma}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq (2^{7/6} \pi)^{3/(2q)} \left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|) \gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0, b))} \|f\|_{L^p(d\sigma)},$$

where  $d\sigma = \chi_E d\sigma_\gamma$  and  $E = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1, x_2 \geq 0\}$ . If  $q = \infty$ , then this follows easily from Hölder's inequality. So we may assume

$q < \infty$ . Then the relation  $1/p + 2/q = 1 - 1/p_0$  tells us that  $p, p_0 > 1$ . Also, since

$$\|\widehat{f d\sigma}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|\widehat{f d\sigma} \widehat{f d\sigma}\|_{L^{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} = \|f d\sigma * f d\sigma\|_{L^{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2},$$

and since  $q/2 \geq 2$ , it is enough by the Hausdorff-Young inequality to establish that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int h|f|d\sigma * |f|d\sigma \\ & \leq (2^{7/6}\pi)^{3/q} \left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b))}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(d\sigma)}^2 \|h\|_{L^{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . But by Hölder's inequality,

$$\int h|f|d\sigma * |f|d\sigma \leq \|f\|_{L^p(d\sigma)}^2 \|h\|_{L^{p'}(d\sigma * d\sigma)},$$

so we need to have

$$\|h\|_{L^{p'}(d\sigma * d\sigma)} \leq (2^{7/6}\pi)^{3/q} \left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b))}^2 \|h\|_{L^{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Now this follows from Proposition 1 by writing

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h\|_{L^{p'}(d\sigma * d\sigma)}^{p'} \\ & = \int_{\bar{B}} \int_{\bar{B}} h^{p'}(x+y, \gamma(|x|)+\gamma(|y|)) M(x)^{\frac{p'}{2q}} M(y)^{\frac{p'}{2q}} \frac{N(x)^{\frac{\lambda p'}{2q}} N(y)^{\frac{\lambda p'}{2q}}}{N(x)^{\frac{\lambda p'}{2q}} N(y)^{\frac{\lambda p'}{2q}}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(\cdot) = \frac{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^{3-2\lambda} \gamma''(|\cdot|)^\lambda}{|\cdot| \gamma(|\cdot|)^{1-\lambda}} \quad \text{and} \quad N(\cdot) = \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2},$$

and applying Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|h\|_{L^{p'}(d\sigma*d\sigma)}^{p'} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} \int_{\tilde{B}} N(x)^{\frac{\lambda r p'}{2q}} N(y)^{\frac{\lambda r p'}{2q}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} \int_{\tilde{B}} h^{q/2}(x+y, \gamma(|x|) + \gamma(|y|)) \frac{M(x)^{\frac{1}{4}} M(y)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{N(x)^{\frac{1}{4}} N(y)^{\frac{1}{4}}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{2p'}{q}} \\
& = \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} N(x)^{\frac{\lambda p_0}{2q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} \int_{\tilde{B}} h^{q/2}(x+y, \gamma(|x|) + \gamma(|y|)) \left( \frac{\gamma'(|x|)^3}{|x|\gamma(|x|)} \frac{\gamma'(|y|)^3}{|y|\gamma(|y|)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{2p'}{q}} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} N(x)^{\frac{\lambda p_0}{2q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2p'}{p_0}} \left( (2^{7/6}\pi)^{3/2} \|h^{q/2}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)^{\frac{2p'}{q}} \\
& \leq (2^{7/6}\pi)^{3p'/q} \left\| \left( \frac{\gamma(|\cdot|)\gamma''(|\cdot|)}{\gamma'(|\cdot|)^2} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2q}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(B(0,b))}^{2p'} \|h\|_{L^{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{p'},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  is the dual exponent to  $q/(2p')$  (so that  $rp' = p_0$ ).

### References

- [1] A. CARBERY AND S. ZIESLER, Restriction and decay for flat hypersurfaces, *Publ. Mat.* **46(2)** (2002), 405–434.
- [2] A. IOSEVICH, Fourier transform,  $L^2$  restriction theorem, and scaling, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **2(2)** (1999), 383–387.
- [3] A. IOSEVICH AND G. LU, Sharpness results and Knapp's homogeneity argument, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **43(1)** (2000), 63–68.
- [4] C. E. KENIG, G. PONCE AND L. VEGA, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **40(1)** (1991), 33–69.
- [5] A. M. LI, U. SIMON AND G. S. ZHAO, “Global affine differential geometry of hypersurfaces”, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics **11**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1993.

- [6] E. LUTWAK, Extended affine surface area, *Adv. Math.* **85(1)** (1991), 39–68.
- [7] D. M. OBERLIN, A uniform Fourier restriction theorem for surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132(4)** (2004), 1195–1199 (electronic).
- [8] P. SJÖLIN, Fourier multipliers and estimates of the Fourier transform of measures carried by smooth curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 169–182.
- [9] E. M. STEIN, “*Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*”, Princeton Mathematical Series **43**, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis III, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [10] P. A. TOMAS, A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1975), 477–478.

Department of Mathematics  
American University of Beirut  
Beirut  
Lebanon

*E-mail address:* farukakh@aub.edu.lb

*E-mail address:* bshayya@aub.edu.lb

Primera versió rebuda el 2 de febrer de 2005,  
darrera versió rebuda el 27 de maig de 2005.