# A note on Hurwitz's inequality ${ }^{\text {an }}$ 

Julià Cufí, Eduardo Gallego *, Agustí Reventós<br>Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 31 March 2017
Available online 18 September 2017
Submitted by A. Cianchi
Keywords:
Convex set
Isoperimetric inequality
Evolute
Hypocycloid
Pedal curve
Visual angle


#### Abstract

Given a simple closed plane curve $\Gamma$ of length $L$ enclosing a compact convex set $K$ of area $F$, Hurwitz found an upper bound for the isoperimetric deficit, namely $L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \pi\left|F_{e}\right|$, where $F_{e}$ is the algebraic area enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$. In this note we improve this inequality finding strictly positive lower bounds for the deficit $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$, where $\Delta=L^{2}-4 \pi F$. These bounds involve either the visual angle of $\Gamma$ or the pedal curve associated to $K$ with respect to the Steiner point of $K$ or the $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ distance between $K$ and the Steiner disk of $K$. For compact convex sets of constant width Hurwitz's inequality can be improved to $L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|$. In this case we also get strictly positive lower bounds for the deficit $\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$. For each established inequality we study when equality holds. This occurs for those compact convex sets being bounded by a curve parallel to an hypocycloid of 3,4 or 5 cusps or the Minkowski sum of this kind of sets.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a simple closed plane curve of length $L$ enclosing a region of area $F$. The classical isoperimetric inequality states that

$$
L^{2}-4 \pi F \geq 0
$$

with equality attained only for a circle.
In the case that $\Gamma$ bounds a convex set $K$, Hurwitz ([5]) established a kind of reverse isoperimetric inequality, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \pi\left|F_{e}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $F_{e}$ is the algebraic area $\left(F_{e} \leq 0\right)$ enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$. We recall that the evolute of a curve is the envelope of its normal lines or equivalently the locus of the centers of curvature of the curve. Moreover equality holds in (1) if and only if $\Gamma$ is a circle or a curve parallel to an astroid.

The goal of this note is to improve Hurwitz's inequality (1) finding strictly positive lower bounds for the Hurwitz deficit $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$, where $\Delta=L^{2}-4 \pi F$ is the isoperimetric deficit. These bounds involve either the visual angle of $\Gamma$ or the pedal curve associated to $K$ with respect to the Steiner point of $K$ or the $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ distance between the support function of $K$ and the support function of the Steiner disk of $K$.

Hurwitz's inequality (1) can be improved without introducing new quantities for some special compact sets. For instance, if $K$ has constant width one gets

$$
L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|,
$$

as shown in Theorem 5.1.
For the general case we prove in Theorem 4.1 the inequality

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{5}{4} L^{2}+5 \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-\sin \omega-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P
$$

where $\omega$ is the visual angle of $\Gamma$ from $P$, that is the angle between the tangents from $P$ to $\Gamma$, and $d P$ the area measure. For the case of constant width Theorem 5.3 asserts that

$$
\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{64}{9} \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-2 \sin \omega+\sin 2 \omega-\frac{1}{4} \sin 4 \omega-\sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P
$$

In both cases the quantities in the right hand side are strictly positive except when the left hand side vanishes.

In terms of the area $A$ of the pedal curve associated to the compact strictly convex set $K$, with respect to its Steiner point, we prove in Theorem 4.3

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{40}{9}\left(\pi(A-F)+\frac{2}{3} L^{2}-\frac{8}{9} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P\right)
$$

When $K$ has constant width we obtain (Corollary 5.4)

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{40}{9} \pi(A-F)
$$

In both cases the lower bounds for the positive Hurwitz deficit are strictly positive.
For each established inequality we study when equality holds. This occurs for those compact convex sets being bounded by a curve parallel to an hypocycloid of 3,4 or 5 cusps or the Minkowski sum of this kind of sets.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Convex sets and support function

A set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is convex if it contains the complete segment joining every two points in the set. We shall consider nonempty compact convex sets. The support function of $K$ is defined as

$$
p_{K}(u):=\sup \{\langle x, u\rangle: x \in K\} \quad \text { for } \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

For a unit vector $u \in S^{1}$ the number $p_{K}(u)$ is the signed distance of the support line to $K$ with outer normal vector $u$ from the origin. The distance is negative if and only if $u$ points into the open half-plane containing the origin (cf. [6]). We shall denote by $p(\varphi)$ the $2 \pi$-periodic function obtained by evaluating $p_{K}(u)$ on $u=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$. Note that $\partial K$ is the envelope of the one parametric family of lines given by

$$
x \cos \varphi+y \sin \varphi=p(\varphi) .
$$

If the support function $p(\varphi)$ is differentiable we can parametrize the boundary $\partial K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(\varphi)=p(\varphi) N(\varphi)+p^{\prime}(\varphi) N^{\prime}(\varphi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(\varphi)=(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$. When $p$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ function the radius of curvature $\rho(\varphi)$ of $\partial K$ at the point $\gamma(\varphi)$ is given by $p(\varphi)+p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)$. Then, convexity is equivalent to $p(\varphi)+p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) \geq 0$. We say that a $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ support function $p$ defines a strictly convex set if $p(\varphi)+p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)>0$ for every value of $\varphi$.

It can be seen that the length $L$ of $\partial K$ is given by

$$
L=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} p d \varphi
$$

A straightforward computation shows that the area $F$ of $K$ is given by

$$
F=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p\left(p+p^{\prime \prime}\right) d \varphi
$$

Since $p$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(p^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right) d \varphi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, a one parameter family of lines

$$
x \cos t+y \sin t=f(t)
$$

where $f$ is a differentiable function, defines a curve in the plane. In this setting the curve is not necessarily closed nor convex. When a curve $\gamma(t), a \leq t \leq b$, is defined as the envelope of a family of lines of this type, for a function $f$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$, we say that $f(t)$ is the generalized support function of the curve. The area with multiplicities swept by the radius vector of the curve is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(f+f^{\prime \prime}\right) d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a simple computation shows.
Let $p(\varphi)$ be the support function of a strictly convex set $K$. Then $p_{r}(\varphi)=p(\varphi)+r$ defines for each real $r$ a parallel curve to $\partial K$. If the origin is in the interior of $K$ then $p$ is a strictly positive function. If $r>0$
the function $p_{r}$ corresponds to the outer parallel set at distance $r$. When $r<0$ the curve given by $p_{r}$ is not necessarily convex (this is the case when $|r|>\min (\rho), \rho$ being the radius of curvature).

The Steiner formula (see for instance [6])

$$
F_{r}=\pi r^{2}+L r+F
$$

gives the area $F_{r}$ of the $r$-parallel set to $K$. The discriminant of this polynomial is the isoperimetric deficit $L^{2}-4 \pi F$. It is always strictly positive except for a circle. Thus, for every convex set $K$ there are interior parallel sets with negative area. The minimum area value is $F-L^{2} / 4 \pi$ and it is attained for the parallel set at distance $-L / 2 \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}-4 \pi F=-4 \pi F_{-L / 2 \pi}=4 \pi\left|F_{-L / 2 \pi}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A special type of convex sets are those of constant width, that is those convex sets whose orthogonal projections on any direction have the same length $w$. In terms of the support function $p$ of $K$, constant width means that $p(\varphi)+p(\varphi+\pi)=w$. Expanding $p$ in Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos (n \varphi)+b_{n} \sin (n \varphi) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
p(\varphi)+p(\varphi+\pi)=2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{2 n} \cos 2 n \varphi+b_{2 n} \sin 2 n \varphi\right)
$$

so constant width is equivalent to $a_{n}=b_{n}=0$ for all even $n>0$.

### 2.2. Hypocycloids

Consider a curve defined by the generalized support function

$$
p(\theta)=A \sin (B \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

with $B$ a positive rational number and $A>0$. If we define $k=2 B /(B-1)$ and $A=r(k-2)$, then $p(\theta)$ can be written in the more convenient form

$$
p(\theta)=r(k-2) \sin \left(\frac{k}{k-2} \theta\right), k>2 .
$$

The envelope curve given by this generalized support function can be parametrized by

$$
\gamma(\theta)=r(k-2) \sin \left(\frac{k}{k-2} \theta\right) N(\theta)+r k \cos \left(\frac{k}{k-2} \theta\right) N^{\prime}(\theta) .
$$

Putting $\theta=(k-2) t / 2$ the curve $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\gamma\left(\frac{k-2}{2} t\right)$ has components

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(t)=r(k-2) \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{k-2}{2} t\right)-r k \cos \left(\frac{k}{2} t\right) \sin \left(\frac{k-2}{2} t\right) \\
y(t)=r(k-2) \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} t\right) \sin \left(\frac{k-2}{2} t\right)+r k \cos \left(\frac{k}{2} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{k-2}{2} t\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$



Fig. 1. Hypocycloids with $k=3,4$ and $5 / 2$.
Using known trigonometric identities we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(t)=r(k-1) \sin (t)-r \sin ((k-1) t) \\
y(t)=r(k-1) \cos (t)+r \cos ((k-1) t)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

This is just the parametrization of an hypocycloid obtained by rolling a circle of radius $r$ inside a circle of radius $R=k r$.

Writing $k=m / n$ with $m, n$ coprime numbers, in order to obtain a closed hypocycloid the parameter $t$ has to vary in the interval $[0,2 n \pi]$ and the parameter $\theta$ has to vary in the interval $[0,(m-2 n) \pi]$. Note that for a generalized support function $\sin (B \theta)$ with $B$ an integer greater than or equal to two, the hypocycloid is traveled twice if $B$ is odd and once if $B$ is even.

When $k$ is an integer the curve has $k$ cusps (extremal points of the curvature). For $k=m / n$ with $m$, $n$ coprime numbers the curve has $m$ cusps. In the special case $k=3$ the hypocycloid is called a deltoid or Steiner curve; for $k=4$ it is called an astroid. (See Fig. 1).

### 2.3. Steiner point and pedal curve

Given a compact convex set $K$ with support function $p(\varphi)$ the Steiner point of $K$ is defined by the vector-valued integral

$$
s(K):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(\varphi) N(\varphi) d \varphi
$$

This functional on the space of convex sets is additive with respect to the Minkowski sum. The Steiner point is rigid motion equivariant; this means that $s(g K)=g s(K)$ for every rigid motion $g$. We remark that $s(K)$ can be considered, in the $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ case, as the centroid with respect to the curvature measure in the boundary $\partial K$; also we have that $s(K)$ lies in the interior of $K$ (see [3]). In terms of the Fourier coefficients of $p(\varphi)$ given in (6) the Steiner point is

$$
s(K)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) .
$$

The relation between the support function $p(\varphi)$ of a convex set $K$ and the support function $q(\varphi)$ of the same convex set but with respect to a new reference with origin at the point $(a, b)$, and axes parallel to the previous $x$ and $y$-axes, is given by

$$
q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-a \cos \varphi-b \sin \varphi .
$$

Hence, taking the Steiner point as a new origin, we have

$$
q(\varphi)=a_{0}+\sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n} \cos n \varphi+b_{n} \sin n \varphi
$$

We recall that the Steiner disk of $K$ is the disk whose center is the Steiner point and whose diameter is the mean width of $K$.

The associated pedal curve to $K$ is the curve that in polar coordinates with respect to the origin is given by $r=p(\varphi)$. Notice that this curve depends on the center point from which the support function is considered. In fact it is the geometrical locus of the orthogonal projection of the center on the tangents to the curve. The area enclosed by the pedal curve is

$$
A=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(\varphi)^{2} d \varphi
$$

## 3. Hurwitz's inequality

For a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function $q(\varphi)$ of period $2 \pi$, let us introduce the Wirtinger deficit $W_{q}$ of $q$ by

$$
W_{q}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(q^{\prime 2}-q^{2}\right) d \varphi
$$

Note that by (4), $W_{q}=-2 F$ where $F$ is the area with multiplicities enclosed by the curve defined by the generalized support function $q$.

Recall that Wirtinger's inequality (see [4]) states that if

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q(\varphi) d \varphi=0
$$

then

$$
W_{q} \geq 0
$$

In particular we always have $W_{q^{\prime}} \geq 0$.
Now we give a relationship between the Wirtinger deficit and Hurwitz's deficit.
Proposition 3.1. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length $L$. Let $p$ be the support function of $K$ and let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-4 W_{q}\right)
$$

where $q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-L / 2 \pi$ and $\Delta=L^{2}-4 \pi F$.
Proof. First of all we claim that the generalized support function for the evolute of $\Gamma$ is $p^{\prime}(\varphi-\pi / 2)$. In fact, if the curve $\Gamma$ is parametrized by $\gamma(\varphi)$ as in (2), its evolute can be parametrized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}(\varphi) & =\gamma(\varphi)-\left(p(\varphi)+p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)\right) N(\varphi)=p^{\prime}(\varphi) N^{\prime}(\varphi)-p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) N(\varphi) \\
& =p^{\prime}(\varphi) N\left(\varphi+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+p^{\prime \prime}(\varphi) N^{\prime}\left(\varphi+\frac{\pi}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and this proves the claim. So $W_{q^{\prime}}=-2 F_{e}$ and since $W_{q^{\prime}} \geq 0$ we get $F_{e} \leq 0$.
Now by (5) we have that $\Delta=4 \pi\left|F_{-L / 2 \pi}\right|$ and by (4) we know that $W_{q}=-2 F_{-L / 2 \pi}$. Therefore

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\pi\left(\left|F_{e}\right|-4\left|F_{-L / 2 \pi}\right|\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-4 W_{q}\right)
$$

Remark. Let $F$ be the area enclosed by the curve with generalized support function the $2 \pi$-periodic function $q$, and let $F_{e}$ be the area enclosed by the evolute of this curve, both areas counted with multiplicities. The equalities $W_{q^{\prime}}=-2 F_{e}$ and $W_{q}=-2 F$ give

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-W_{q}\right)=F-F_{e}
$$

Thus, for closed curves with positive curvature, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F-F_{e}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(q+q^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} d \varphi=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho d s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=q+q^{\prime \prime}$ is the radius of curvature and $L$ the length of the curve. We have used the relation $d s=\rho d \varphi$. Equality (7) for the case of simple closed curves that bound a strictly convex domain was proved in [5] and [2].

Next Lemma compares the Wirtinger deficit of a given function with that of its derivative. The proof follows the standard pattern of the proof of Wirtinger inequality using Fourier series.

Lemma 3.2. Let $q=q(\varphi)$ a $2 \pi$-periodic $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ function. Then

$$
W_{q^{\prime}} \geq 4 W_{q}+\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q d \varphi\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Moreover the first inequality is an equality if and only if

$$
q(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+b_{1} \sin \varphi+a_{2} \cos 2 \varphi+b_{2} \sin 2 \varphi,
$$

for some constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Let

$$
q(\varphi)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n \varphi+b_{n} \sin n \varphi,
$$

be the Fourier series expansion of $q(\varphi)$. Using the Parseval identity we get

$$
W_{q^{\prime}}=\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right) \geq 4 \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right)=4 W_{q}+\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q d \varphi\right)^{2} .
$$

Equality holds if and only if $a_{n}=b_{n}=0$, if $n \geq 3$.

Remark that the first inequality in Lemma 3.2 improves Wirtinger's inequality for the derivative of $2 \pi$-periodic functions.

For reader's convenience we provide a simple proof of Hurwitz's inequality based on Proposition 3.1.
Theorem 3.3 (Hurwitz). Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length $L$ and let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \pi\left|F_{e}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $\Gamma$ is a circle or it is a curve parallel to an astroid at distance $L / 2 \pi$.
Proof. The inequality follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.
Since $q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-L / 2 \pi$ it is

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q(\varphi) d \varphi=0
$$

and so equality in (8) is equivalent to equality in the first inequality of Lemma 3.2. This implies

$$
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+b_{1} \sin \varphi+a_{2} \cos 2 \varphi+b_{2} \sin 2 \varphi
$$

Taking the Steiner point $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ as a new origin of coordinates the new support function of $K$ becomes

$$
\tilde{p}(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{2} \cos 2 \varphi+b_{2} \sin 2 \varphi .
$$

If $a_{2}=b_{2}=0$ we get a circle. Otherwise we put $u=\varphi-\varphi_{0}+\pi / 4$, where

$$
\tan 2 \varphi_{0}=\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}}
$$

and in terms of $u$ the support function of $K$ is

$$
\tilde{p}(u)=a_{0}+a \sin 2 u
$$

with $a=\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}>0$. Notice that, since $\tilde{p}+\tilde{p}^{\prime \prime}>0$, one has $a<a_{0} / 3=L / 6 \pi$.
From section 2.2 it follows that $\Gamma$ is parallel to an astroid at distance $a_{0}=L / 2 \pi$.

## 4. Lower bounds for Hurwitz's deficit in terms of the visual angle

We proceed now to find a lower bound for the Hurwitz deficit $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$ so improving Theorem 3.3. If

$$
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n} \cos n \varphi+b_{n} \sin n \varphi
$$

is the Fourier series of the support function of a compact convex set $K$, it is known that the quantities $c_{n}^{2}=a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}$, for $n \geq 2$, are invariants under the group of plane motions. This invariance will be clear through formula (9) due to Hurwitz.

Consider $\omega$ the visual angle of $\Gamma$ from $P$, that is the angle between the tangents from $P$ to $\Gamma$, and let $d P$ be the area measure. Writing

$$
I_{n}=\int_{P \notin K}\left(-2 \sin (\omega)+\frac{n+1}{n-1} \sin (n-1) \omega-\frac{n-1}{n+1} \sin (n+1) \omega\right) d P,
$$

it is proved in $[5]^{1}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=L^{2}+(-1)^{n} \pi^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L$ being the length of the boundary of $K$.
For instance, if $n=2$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{3} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P=L^{2}+3 \pi^{2} c_{2}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, this visual angle also verifies the Crofton formula (see [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{2}}{2}-\pi F=\int_{P \notin K}(\omega-\sin \omega) d P \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can prove now the following result.
Theorem 4.1. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length L. Let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$ and let $\Delta$ be the isoperimetric deficit. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{5}{4} L^{2}+5 \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-\sin \omega-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of this inequality is a strictly positive quantity except when $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=0$ in which case it also vanishes.

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-4 W_{q}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(4 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} q^{2} d \varphi-5 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} q^{\prime 2} d \varphi+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q^{\prime \prime 2} d \varphi\right)
$$

where $q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-L / 2 \pi$, and $p(\varphi)$ is the support function of $K$ with respect to the Steiner point.
In terms of the Fourier coefficients of $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} \sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{4}-5 n^{2}+4\right) c_{n}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Observe now that, for $n \geq 3$, we have $n^{4}-5 n^{2}+4 \geq 5\left(n^{2}-1\right)$, with equality only for $n=3$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta & \geq \frac{5 \pi^{2}}{2} \sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}=\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{2}\left(\sum_{n \geq 2}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}-3 c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{5}{4} L^{2}-5 \pi F-\frac{15 \pi^{2}}{2} c_{2}^{2}=\frac{15}{4} L^{2}-5 \pi F-\frac{10}{3} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Crofton's formula (11), the last expression can be written as

$$
\frac{5}{4} L^{2}+5 \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-\sin \omega-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P
$$

and the inequality in the theorem is proved. Moreover, the sum $\sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}$ in (14) vanishes if and only if $c_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$ as well as $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$.

We study now when equality holds in Theorem 4.1.
Proposition 4.2. Equality in (12) holds if and only if for the compact strictly convex set $K$ one of the following assertions holds:
a) $K$ is a disk or it is bounded by a curve parallel to an astroid.
b) $K$ is bounded by a curve parallel to a Steiner curve.
c) $K$ is parallel to the Minkowski sum of compact sets of the above types.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that equality in (12) holds if and only if the support function of the domain with respect to the Steiner point is of the form

$$
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{2} \cos 2 \varphi+b_{2} \sin 2 \varphi+a_{3} \cos 3 \varphi+b_{3} \sin 3 \varphi
$$

If we put $p_{1}(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{2} \cos 2 \varphi+b_{2} \sin 2 \varphi$ and $p_{2}(\varphi)=a_{3} \cos 3 \varphi+b_{3} \sin 3 \varphi$, we have $p(\varphi)=p_{1}(\varphi)+p_{2}(\varphi)$. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be the non-necessarily convex domains with generalized support functions $p_{1}(\varphi)$ and $p_{2}(\varphi)$ respectively. We know, by the proof of Theorem 3.3, that $D_{1}$ is the interior of a curve parallel to an astroid or a disc. For $p_{2}(\varphi)$ we make the change of variable given by $u=\varphi-\varphi_{0} / 3$, where $\tan \varphi_{0}=b_{3} / a_{3}$ and we get $p_{2}(u)=a \cos (3 u)$, with $a=a_{3} / \cos \left(\varphi_{0}\right)$. From section 2.2 it follows that $D_{2}$ is the interior of a Steiner curve.

Take now constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ so that $p_{1}(\varphi)+r_{1}$ and $p_{2}(\varphi)+r_{2}$ define compact convex domains $\tilde{D}_{1}$ and $\tilde{D}_{2}$, parallel respectively to $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Then the set defined by $p(\varphi)+r_{1}+r_{2}$ is a compact convex set $\tilde{K}$ parallel to $K$. Since $\tilde{D}_{1}, \tilde{D}_{2}$ and $\tilde{K}$ are convex we have that $\tilde{K}$ is the Minkowski sum of $\tilde{D}_{1}$ and $\tilde{D}_{2}$ (cf. [6, p. 48]) and the conclusion follows.

Relationship with the pedal curve. If $F$ is the area of $K$ and $A$ is the area enclosed by the pedal curve associated to $K$ with respect to its Steiner point we obviously have $A \geq F$, with equality if and only if $K$ is a disk, and

$$
A-F=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p^{\prime 2} d \varphi
$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length L. Let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities enclosed by the evolute of $\Gamma$. Let $A$ be the area enclosed by the pedal curve associated to $K$ with respect to its Steiner point. Then

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{40}{9}\left(\pi(A-F)+\frac{2}{3} L^{2}-\frac{8}{9} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P\right) .
$$

The right hand side of this inequality is a strictly positive quantity except when $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=0$ in which case it also vanishes. Equality holds for the same compact sets as in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. From (13) and (10) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta & =\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} \sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-4\right) c_{n}^{2} \geq \frac{20}{9} \pi^{2} \sum_{n \geq 3} n^{2} c_{n}^{2} \\
& =\frac{20}{9} \pi^{2}\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} n^{2} c_{n}^{2}-4 c_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{20}{9} \pi\left(\int p^{\prime 2} d \varphi-4 \pi c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{20}{9} \pi\left(\int p^{\prime 2} d \varphi-4 \pi c_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{40}{9}\left[\pi(A-F)+\frac{2}{3} L^{2}-\frac{8}{9} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover the right hand side vanishes if and only if $c_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$ as well as $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$.
Equality holds if and only if $c_{n}=0, n \geq 4$ and the result follows as in Proposition 4.2.
Relationship with the $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ metric. Consider now the quantity $\delta_{2}(K)$ equal to the distance in $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)$, where $S^{1}$ is the unit circle, between the support function of $K$ and the support function of the Steiner disk of $K$. We have that

$$
\delta_{2}(K)^{2}=\pi \sum_{n \geq 2} c_{n}^{2}
$$

where $c_{n}^{2}=a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}$ being $a_{n}, b_{n}$ the Fourier coefficients of the support function of $K$ with respect to its Steiner point ([3]). Clearly the quantity $\delta_{2}(K)$ vanishes only when $K$ is a disk.

Theorem 4.4. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length $L$. Let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities of the evolute of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq 20\left(\pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}+\frac{L^{2}}{3}-\frac{4}{9} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P\right)
$$

The right hand side of this inequality is a strictly positive quantity except when $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=0$ in which case it also vanishes. Equality holds for the same compact sets as in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. According to (13) and (10) we have

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} \sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-4\right) c_{n}^{2} \geq 20 \pi^{2} \sum_{n \geq 3} c_{n}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =20 \pi\left(\pi \sum_{n \geq 2} c_{n}^{2}-\pi c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =20 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}-20\left(\frac{4}{9} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P-\frac{L^{2}}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. Equality holds if and only if $c_{n}=0, n \geq 4$.

## 5. Convex sets of constant width

Although Hurwitz's inequality (8) can not be improved for general convex domains, it is possible to obtain a stronger inequality for convex sets of constant width, that is those convex sets whose orthogonal projections in any direction have the same length. In this case we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of constant width and area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length $L$. Let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities of the evolute of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}-4 \pi F \leq \frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $\Gamma$ is a circle or a curve parallel to a Steiner curve at distance $L / 2 \pi$.
Proof. Let $q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-L / 2 \pi$ where $p(\varphi)$ is the support function of $K$. As it has been said in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have

$$
W_{q^{\prime}}=2\left|F_{e}\right|, \quad W_{q}=2\left|F_{-L / 2 \pi}\right|=\frac{\Delta}{2 \pi},
$$

and so

$$
4 \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-9 \Delta=2 \pi\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-9 W_{q}\right) .
$$

Since $K$ is of constant width, the Fourier series of its support function has only odd terms, see subsection 2.1. Following the proof of Lemma 3.2 for this special case one gets

$$
W_{q^{\prime}} \geq 9 W_{q}+\frac{9}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} q(\varphi) d \varphi\right)^{2}=9 W_{q}
$$

and hence the inequality (15) follows.
Equality in (15) holds if and only if $a_{n}=b_{n}=0$, for $n \geq 5$. This implies

$$
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+b_{1} \sin \varphi+a_{3} \cos 3 \varphi+b_{3} \sin 3 \varphi .
$$

Taking the Steiner point $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ as a new origin of coordinates the new support function of $K$ becomes

$$
\tilde{p}(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{3} \cos 3 \varphi+b_{3} \sin 3 \varphi .
$$

We make, as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, the change of variable $u=\varphi-\varphi_{0} / 3$, where $\tan \varphi_{0}=b_{3} / a_{3}$. Then

$$
p(u)=a_{0}+a \cos (3 u)
$$



Fig. 2. Convex curves parallel to an astroid and to a Steiner curve at distance $L / 2 \pi$.
with $a=a_{3} / \cos \varphi_{0}$. Notice that $a<a_{0} / 8=L / 16 \pi$ because $p$ represents the support function of a strictly convex set $K$.

From section 2.2 it follows that $\Gamma$ is a circle or a curve parallel to a Steiner curve. (See Fig. 2).
Corollary 5.2. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 5.1 one has

$$
(A-F) \leq \frac{1}{8}\left|F_{e}\right|
$$

where $A$ is the area enclosed by the associated pedal curve to $K$ with respect to its Steiner point.
Equality holds if and only if $\Gamma$ is a circle or a curve parallel to a Steiner curve at distance $L / 2 \pi$.
Proof. By Proposition 3.2 of [1] one has

$$
\frac{32}{9} \pi(A-F) \leq \Delta
$$

This inequality combined with (15) gives the result. The characterization of equality follows from Corollary 4.4 of [1] and Theorem 5.1.

We can improve inequality (15) in terms of the visual angle.
Theorem 5.3. Let $K$ be a compact strictly convex set of constant width and area $F$ bounded by a curve $\Gamma=\partial K$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and length L. Let $F_{e}$ be the area with multiplicities of the evolute of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{64}{9} \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-2 \sin \omega+\sin 2 \omega-\frac{1}{4} \sin 4 \omega-\sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P .
$$

The right hand side of this inequality is a strictly positive quantity except when $\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=0$ in which case it also vanishes.

Equality holds if and only if $K$ is a disk or it is bounded by a curve parallel to a Steiner curve or it is bounded by a curve parallel to an hypocycloid of five cusps or it is parallel to the Minkowski sum of compact sets of the previous types.

Proof. If $p$ is the support function of $K$ we have (see the proof of Theorem 5.1)

$$
\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta=\frac{2 \pi}{9}\left(W_{q^{\prime}}-9 W_{q}\right)=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{9} \sum_{n \geq 5}\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-9\right) c_{n}^{2}
$$

with $q(\varphi)=p(\varphi)-L / 2 \pi$ and $c_{n}^{2}=a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}$, being $a_{n}, b_{n}$ the Fourier coefficients of the support function of $K$. Recall that since $K$ has constant width we have $c_{n}=0$, for $n$ even, $n \neq 0$.

Since $\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-9\right) \geq 16\left(n^{2}-1\right)$ for $n \geq 5$ it follows that

$$
\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{32 \pi^{2}}{9} \sum_{n \geq 5}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}=\frac{32 \pi^{2}}{9}\left(\sum_{n \geq 2}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}-8 c_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

But

$$
\pi \sum_{n \geq 2}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(p^{\prime 2}-p^{2}\right) d \varphi+2 \pi a_{0}^{2}=-2 F+\frac{L^{2}}{2 \pi}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{32}{9}\left(-2 \pi F+\frac{L^{2}}{2}-8 \pi^{2} c_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Crofton's formula (11), the formula (9) for $n=3$ and the fact that $L^{2}=I_{2}$ it follows that the second member of (16) can be written as

$$
-\frac{16}{3} L^{2}+\frac{64}{9} \int_{P \notin K}(\omega-\sin \omega) d P+\frac{32}{9} I_{3}=\frac{64}{9} \int_{P \notin K}\left(\omega-2 \sin \omega+\sin 2 \omega-\frac{1}{2} \sin 4 \omega-\sin ^{3} \omega\right) d P .
$$

The right hand side of (16) vanishes if and only if $c_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 5$, as well as $\frac{4}{9} \pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$.
Moreover equality in (16) holds if and only if $c_{n}=0, n \geq 7$. If we put $p_{1}(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{3} \cos 3 \varphi+b_{3} \sin 3 \varphi$ and $p_{2}(\varphi)=a_{5} \cos 5 \varphi+b_{5} \sin 5 \varphi$, we have $p(\varphi)=p_{1}(\varphi)+p_{2}(\varphi)$. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be the non-necessarily convex domains with generalized support functions $p_{1}(\varphi)$ and $p_{2}(\varphi)$ respectively. As seen before $D_{1}$ is parallel to a Steiner curve. For $D_{2}$ we can write

$$
p_{2}(\varphi)=\sqrt{a_{5}^{2}+b_{5}^{2}} \sin \left(5\left(\varphi_{0} / 5+\varphi\right)\right)
$$

where $\tan \varphi_{0}=b_{5} / a_{5}$. Then $D_{2}$ corresponds to the curve with support function

$$
q(u)=\sqrt{a_{5}^{2}+b_{5}^{2}} \sin (5 u)
$$

which by section 2.2 is the interior of an hypocycloid of five cusps. Now we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Also the inequalities in Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 can be improved for the case of constant width (see Fig. 3), as shown by the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 and assuming moreover that $K$ has constant width one has

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq \frac{40}{9} \pi(A-F)
$$

Equality holds if and only if $K$ is a disk or it is bounded by a curve parallel to a Steiner curve.


Fig. 3. Different curves related to convex sets with central symmetry on the left and constant width on the right.
Proof. Just note that in the constant width case, (10) gives

$$
\frac{4}{3} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P=L^{2}
$$

and apply Theorem 4.3.
Corollary 5.5. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4 and assuming that $K$ has constant width one has

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta \geq 20 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}
$$

## Moreover

$$
\left|F_{e}\right| \geq 36 \delta_{2}(K)^{2} .
$$

Equality holds in both inequalities if and only if $K$ is a disk or it is bounded by a curve parallel to a Steiner curve.

Proof. When $K$ has constant width by (10) one has $L^{2}=\frac{4}{3} \int_{P \notin K} \sin ^{3} \omega d P$ and the first inequality follows from Theorem 4.4.

Then we have

$$
\pi\left|F_{e}\right| \geq 20 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}+\Delta=20 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}+2 \pi^{2} \sum_{n \geq 3}\left(n^{2}-1\right) c_{n}^{2} \geq 20 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2}+16 \pi^{2} \sum_{n \geq 3} c_{n}^{2}=36 \pi \delta_{2}(K)^{2},
$$

which gives the second inequality.
Equalities hold if and only if $c_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 5$.
Remark. If $p$ is the support function of $K$ the Wigner caustic of $\Gamma=\partial K$ is the curve given by the support function $q(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2}(p(\varphi)-p(\varphi+\pi))$. In $[7]$ the area $A_{w}$ of the Wigner caustic of $\Gamma$, counted with multiplicities, is considered. If this area is counted with multiplicities it is proved that

$$
L^{2}-4 \pi F \geq 4 \pi\left|A_{w}\right|
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is of constant width.

In the case of constant width the Wigner caustic and the interior parallel curve at distance $L / 2 \pi$ coincide. So using Theorem 5.1 and (5) one obtains, in the case of constant width, the estimate

$$
\left|A_{w}\right| \leq \frac{1}{9}\left|F_{e}\right|
$$

with equality if and only if $\Gamma$ is a circle or a curve parallel to a Steiner curve at distance $L / 2 \pi$.
A straightforward calculation involving the Fourier series of $p(\varphi)$ and $q(\varphi)$ shows that

$$
A-F \geq\left|A_{w}\right|,
$$

with equality in the case of constant width. So Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 5.4 give lower bounds for the Hurwitz deficit $\pi\left|F_{e}\right|-\Delta$ in terms of $\left|A_{w}\right|$.
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