

LIE FLOWS OF CODIMENSION 3

E. GALLEGO AND A. REVENTÓS

ABSTRACT. We study the following realization problem: given a Lie algebra of dimension 3 and an integer q , $0 \leq q \leq 3$, is there a compact manifold endowed with a Lie flow transversely modeled on \mathcal{G} and with structural Lie algebra of dimension q ? We give here a quite complete answer to this problem but some questions remain still open (cf. §2).

0. INTRODUCTION

Among the class of foliations with a transverse structure, Lie foliations stand out. These are foliations transversely modeled on Lie groups. They have been studied by several authors, mainly by Fedida (cf. [3]). Apart from its intrinsic interest, the importance of this study is increased by the fact that they arise naturally in Molino's classification of Riemannian foliations [6].

To each Lie foliation are associated two Lie algebras, the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of the Lie group on which it is modeled and the structural Lie algebra \mathcal{H} . The latter algebra is the Lie algebra of the Lie foliation \mathcal{F} restricted to the closure of any one of its leaves. In particular, it is a subalgebra of \mathcal{G} . We remark that although \mathcal{H} is canonically associated to \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} is not.

Thus, one natural and interesting question is to know which pairs of Lie algebras $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, with \mathcal{H} a subalgebra of \mathcal{G} , can arise as transverse algebra and structural Lie algebra, respectively, of a Lie foliation \mathcal{F} on a compact manifold M .

We shall study here a particular but interesting case; namely, given a Lie algebra of dimension 3 and an integer q , $0 \leq q \leq 3$, is there a compact manifold endowed with a Lie flow transversely modeled on \mathcal{G} and with structural Lie algebra of dimension q ? For simplicity's sake we shall say that the pair (\mathcal{G}, q) is (or is not) realizable.

By using the classification of the 3-dimensional Lie algebras and the fact that the structural Lie algebra of a Lie flow is abelian (cf. [1]) it becomes apparent that certain pairs (\mathcal{G}, q) are not realizable (for instance, $(\mathfrak{sl}(2), 2)$ and $(\mathfrak{so}(3), 2)$ are not realizable because $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ have no abelian subalgebras of dimension two).

Received by the editors April 14, 1989 and, in revised form, July 6, 1989.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53C12.

©1991 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/91 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Nevertheless, in some cases the obstruction for certain pairs to be realizable is rooted in the compactness of M and not based on purely algebraic reasons (for instance, the pair (*affine*, 0) is not realizable (cf. Theorem 1)).

We classify the 3-dimensional Lie algebras in 6 algebras $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_6$ and two families \mathcal{G}_7 (parametrized by $k \in \mathbf{R}$, $k \neq 0$) and \mathcal{G}_8 (parametrized by $h \in \mathbf{R}$, $h^2 < 4$) (cf. §1). We obtain

Theorem 1. *If the structural Lie algebra is zero, i.e. \mathcal{F} is a compact foliation, then $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3$, and \mathcal{G}_4 are realizable. \mathcal{G}_5 and \mathcal{G}_6 are not realizable. \mathcal{G}_7 is realizable if and only if $k = -1$, and \mathcal{G}_8 is realizable if and only if $h = 0$.*

Theorem 2. *If the structural Lie algebra has dimension 1, then $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_4$, and \mathcal{G}_5 are realizable. \mathcal{G}_6 and \mathcal{G}_7 are not realizable and \mathcal{G}_8 with $h = 0$ is realizable.*

We do not know any realization of \mathcal{G}_8 with $h \neq 0$ and 1-dimensional structural Lie algebra of dimension 1.

Finally, it is remarkable that the realization of the pair $(\mathcal{G}_7, 2)$ depends on k . In fact we have

Theorem 3. *If the structural Lie algebra has dimension 2, then $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_5$, and \mathcal{G}_8 with $h = 0$ are realizable. $\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_4, \mathcal{G}_6$, and \mathcal{G}_7 with $k \in \mathbf{Q}$ are not realizable.*

We give a realization of \mathcal{G}_7 with $k \notin \mathbf{Q}$. A characterization of those k for which \mathcal{G}_7 is realizable and the \mathcal{G}_8 case, are still open.

We wish to thank Professors G. Hector and M. Nicolau for their helpful comments during the development of this work.

1. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

Let \mathcal{F} be a smooth foliation of codimension n on a smooth manifold M given by an integrable subbundle $L \subset TM$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ the Lie algebra of foliated vector fields, i.e. $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ if and only if $[X, Y] \in \Gamma L$ for all $Y \in \Gamma L$. Thus, the set of sections of L , ΓL , is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. The elements of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})/\Gamma L(M, \mathcal{F})$ are called basic vector fields.

If there is a family $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of foliated vector fields of M such that the corresponding family $\{\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n\}$ of basic vector fields has rank n everywhere, the foliation is called transversely parallelizable and $\{\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n\}$ a transverse parallelism. If the vector subspace \mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ generated by $\{\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n\}$ is a Lie subalgebra, the foliation is called a Lie foliation.

We shall use the following structure theorems (cf. [3] and [6]):

Theorem A. *Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally parallelizable foliation on a compact manifold M of codimension n . Then:*

- (a) *There is a Lie algebra \mathcal{H} of dimension $q \leq n$.*
- (b) *There is a locally trivial fibration $\pi: M \rightarrow W$ with compact fibre F and $\dim W = n - q = m$.*

- (c) *There is a dense Lie \mathcal{H} -foliation on F such that:*
- (i) *The fibres of π are the closures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} .*
 - (ii) *The foliation induced by \mathcal{F} on each fibre of π is isomorphic to the \mathcal{H} -foliation on F .*

\mathcal{H} is called the structural Lie algebra of (M, \mathcal{F}) , π the basic fibration, and W the basic manifold. The foliation given by the fibres of π is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Note that $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} + q = \text{codim } \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem B. *Let \mathcal{F} be a \mathcal{G} -foliation on a compact manifold M and let G be the connected simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . Let $p: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . Then there is a locally trivial fibration $D: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow G$ equivariant by $\text{Aut}(p)$ (i.e. if $D(x) = D(y)$ then $D(gx) = D(gy)$ for all $x, y \in \widetilde{M}$ and $g \in \text{Aut}(p)$) such that the foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = p^*\mathcal{F}$ is given by the fibres of D .*

The natural morphism $h: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(G)$ is such that $\Gamma = \text{im}(h) \subset G$, where the inclusion $G \subset \text{Diff}(G)$ is by left translations.

We shall also use some cohomological properties of the foliation. Recall that the basic forms complex is given by the forms $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0$ and $i_X \alpha = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma L$. The cohomology of this complex, $H^*(M, \mathcal{F})$, is the basic cohomology of the foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) . If $H^n(M, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ we say that \mathcal{F} is homologically orientable or unimodular. We have (cf. [5]):

Theorem C. *Let \mathcal{F} be an unimodular Lie \mathcal{G} -foliation on a compact manifold M . Then the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is unimodular.*

Finally, we recall that the 3-dimensional Lie algebras can be classified in eight families.

\mathcal{G}_1 (Abelian):

$$[e_1, e_2] = [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0.$$

\mathcal{G}_2 (Heisenberg):

$$[e_1, e_2] = [e_1, e_3] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1.$$

\mathcal{G}_3 (so(3)):

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = e_2.$$

\mathcal{G}_4 (sl(2)):

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = e_2.$$

\mathcal{G}_5 (Affine):

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_1, \quad [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0.$$

\mathcal{G}_6 :

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1 + e_2.$$

\mathcal{G}_7 :

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = ke_2, \quad k \neq 0.$$

\mathcal{G}_8 :

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_2, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e_1 + he_2, \quad h^2 < 4.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3$, and \mathcal{G}_4 are unimodular, \mathcal{G}_5 and \mathcal{G}_6 are not unimodular, \mathcal{G}_7 is unimodular only if $k = -1$, and \mathcal{G}_8 only if $h = 0$.

Remark. We can think that \mathcal{G}_7 is parametrized by $k \in [-1, 0) \cup (0, 1]$. In fact two of these algebras are isomorphic if and only if $k \cdot k' = 1$.

2. LIE FLOWS OF CODIMENSION 3

Let \mathcal{F} be a Lie flow of codimension 3 on a compact manifold M . Since the closures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} are the fibres of a bundle (cf. Theorem A), there are four possible cases.

Case 1. $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 3$.

In this case \mathcal{F} is compact and the basic bundle is $M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{F}$. Thus the basic cohomology coincides with the de Rham cohomology of the compact manifold M/\mathcal{F} , and hence $H^3(M/\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. By Theorem C, if such a flow exists it is transversely modeled on a unimodular Lie algebra. So \mathcal{G}_5 and \mathcal{G}_6 are not realizable, \mathcal{G}_7 is realizable (a priori) only if $k = -1$, and \mathcal{G}_8 only if $h = 0$.

We now give examples for each one of the remainder algebras.

- \mathcal{G}_1 : Just consider the trivial bundle $T^1 \times T^3 \rightarrow T^3$.
- \mathcal{G}_2 : Consider the trivial bundle $T^1 \times M \rightarrow M$ where M is the homogeneous space N/Γ of the Heisenberg group

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

by the discrete uniform subgroup Γ of N given by the matrices of N with integer coefficients.

- \mathcal{G}_3 : Just consider the trivial bundle $T^1 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$.
- \mathcal{G}_4 : Consider the trivial bundle $T^1 \times T_1W \rightarrow T_1W$ where T_1W is the unit sphere bundle of the two hole torus W . T_1W is the homogeneous space $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})/\pi_1(W)$ and therefore we have the desired example.
- \mathcal{G}_7 (with $k = -1$): Let $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ be a matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda, 1/\lambda$ (being $\lambda > 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$). We can give a solvable Lie group structure on $\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2$ by

$$(t, u) \cdot (s, v) = (t + s, A^t \cdot v + u).$$

The Lie algebra of this group is \mathcal{G}_7 with $k = -1$ (cf. [4]). Moreover, the points of \mathbf{R}^3 with integer coordinates constitute a uniform discrete subgroup Γ of \mathbf{R}^3 . The quotient is usually denoted by T_A^3 . Then, one example of a Lie flow transversely modeled on \mathcal{G}_7 , with $k = -1$, is given by the trivial bundle $T^1 \times T_A^3 \rightarrow T_A^3$.

• \mathcal{E}_8 (with $h = 0$) (P. Molino): Let us consider the flow given by the fibres of the trivial bundle $T^1 \times T^3 \rightarrow T^3$. Let $\theta^0, \theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ denote the canonical coordinates in $T^1 \times T^3$. The parallelism given by $\partial/\partial\theta^1, \partial/\partial\theta^2, \partial/\partial\theta^3$ makes the fibres of the bundle an abelian Lie foliation. But we have basic functions enough to modify this parallelism. In fact, we can take

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \cos \theta^1 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^2 + \sin \theta^1 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^3, \\ e_2 &= -\sin \theta^1 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^2 + \cos \theta^1 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^3, \\ e_3 &= -\partial/\partial\theta^1, \end{aligned}$$

to obtain a new parallelism with $[e_1, e_2] = 0$, $[e_1, e_3] = e_2$, $[e_2, e_3] = -e_1$, i.e. the flow is also transversely modeled on \mathcal{E}_8 (with $h = 0$).

Case 2. $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 2$.

In this case we give examples for $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_5$, and \mathcal{E}_8 (with $h = 0$). We also prove that \mathcal{E}_6 and \mathcal{E}_7 are not realizable.

• \mathcal{E}_1 : One example is given by the flow $(X, 0)$ on $T^2 \times T^2$ where X is a dense linear flow on T^2 .

• \mathcal{E}_2 : Let M be the homogeneous space of the Heisenberg group considered before. The flow on $M \times T^1$ whose integral curves are given by

$$\varphi_t(p) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b+t \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, t+d \right)$$

where

$$p = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, d \right) \quad \text{and} \quad d \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$$

is transverse to M , and the closure of each leaf is T^2 . Hence it is one example of a \mathcal{E}_2 -Lie flow with $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 2$.

• \mathcal{E}_3 : As $S^3 = \text{SU}(3)$, an example can be constructed by suspending the representation $h: \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(S^3)$ given by $h(1) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$.

• \mathcal{E}_4 (A. ElKacimi): Let \mathcal{F}_0 be the transverse affine Lie flow on T_A^3 (cf. [1]). Using the fact that the affine group GA can be considered, lifting the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of GA in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$, as a Lie subgroup of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbf{R})$, and using also that the unfolding diagram of \mathcal{F}_0 (cf. Theorem B), $D_0: \widetilde{T}_A^3 \rightarrow GA$, $\rho_0: \pi_1(T_A^3) \rightarrow GA$, the desired foliation can be constructed as follows:

Let $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{T}_A^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ be the universal covering of $M = T_A^3 \times S^1$ and define $D: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbf{R})$ by $D(x, t) = D_0 x \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(t)$ and

$\rho(\gamma, n) = \rho_0(\gamma) \cdot \varphi(n)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbf{R})$ is a lift of the uniparametric subgroup $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ given by

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out, using the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}(n)$ is in the center of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbf{R})$, that ρ is an homomorphism and D is equivariant (i.e., $D((\gamma, n) \cdot (x, t)) = \rho(\gamma, n) \cdot D(x, t)$). Thus the fibres of D induce the desired Lie foliation on M (cf. [2] for details).

• \mathcal{G}_5 : Let X be the generator of the transversely affine Lie flow on T_A^3 . As we have that $\mathcal{G}_5 = \mathcal{A} + \mathbf{R}$, where \mathcal{A} is the affine Lie algebra of dimension 2, the vector field $(X, 0)$ on $T_A^3 \times S^1$ is transversely modeled on \mathcal{G}_5 and $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 2$.

• \mathcal{G}_6 and \mathcal{G}_7 are not realizable: Let \mathcal{F} be a \mathcal{G}_6 or a \mathcal{G}_7 Lie flow on a compact manifold M . Fix a generator X of \mathcal{F} and a transverse parallelism Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 such that $[\overline{Y}_1, \overline{Y}_2] = 0$, $[\overline{Y}_1, \overline{Y}_3] = \overline{Y}_1$, $[\overline{Y}_2, \overline{Y}_3] = \overline{Y}_1 + \overline{Y}_2$ for \mathcal{G}_6 , and $[\overline{Y}_1, \overline{Y}_2] = 0$, $[\overline{Y}_1, \overline{Y}_3] = \overline{Y}_1$, $[\overline{Y}_2, \overline{Y}_3] = kY_2$ for \mathcal{G}_7 . Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . Then we have the orthogonal decomposition $TM = T\overline{\mathcal{F}} + T\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ and we shall denote by Z^t and Z^n the tangent and the orthogonal parts of a vector field Z on M .

The set $T = \{p \in M; Y_1^n(p) = 0\}$ is open. In fact, if $p \in T$, Y_1 is tangent to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in p , therefore Y_2^n, Y_3^n are independent in p . Hence they are independent in an open neighborhood U of p and we can write $Y_1^n = \lambda Y_2^n + \mu Y_3^n$ where λ, μ are basic functions on U . Computing now $[Y_1^n, Y_2^n]$ and $[Y_1^n, Y_3^n]$, we deduce the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2^n(\lambda) + \mu\lambda + \mu &= 0, \\ Y_2^n(\mu) + \mu^2 &= 0, \\ Y_3^n(\lambda) - \lambda^2 &= 0, \\ Y_3^n(\mu) - \mu\lambda + \mu &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{G}_6 , and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2^n(\lambda) + k\mu &= 0, \\ Y_2^n(\mu) &= 0, \\ Y_3^n(\lambda) + (1-k)\lambda &= 0, \\ Y_3^n(\mu) + \mu &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{G}_7 , with the initial conditions $\lambda(p) = \mu(p) = 0$.

This implies that $\mu = 0$ on the integral curves of Y_3 and Y_2 . Due to transverse transitivity, $\mu = 0$ on U . It follows, in a similar way, that $\lambda = 0$ on U . Thus $Y = 0$ on U and T is open.

As it is also closed and M is supposed to be connected, $T = \emptyset$ or $T = M$.

But if $T = M$, we arrive in both cases (\mathcal{E}_6 and \mathcal{E}_7) to a contradiction. In fact, if we denote by $\theta^0, \theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ the dual basis of X, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 we have $d\theta^2 = -\theta^2 \wedge \theta^3$ in \mathcal{E}_6 and $d\theta^2 = k\theta^2 \wedge \theta^3$ ($k \neq 0$) in \mathcal{E}_7 . As $\theta^2(Z) = \theta^3(Z) = d\theta^2(Z, \cdot) = d\theta^3(Z, \cdot) = 0$ for each vector field Z tangent to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, the 1-forms θ^2 and θ^3 are projectable on the basic manifold $W = M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

So we would have an exact volume element on the compact manifold W , which is a contradiction.

Therefore $T = \emptyset$.

Next we consider the set $Q = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbf{R}} Q_a$ where $Q_a = \{p \in M; Y_2^n(p) = aY_1^n(p)\}$.

Q is open: If $p \in Q$, there is $a \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $Y_2^n(p) = aY_1^n(p)$ and hence Y_3^n and Y_1^n are independent in p . So $Y_2^n = \lambda Y_1^n + \mu Y_3^n$ is an open neighborhood U of p with $\lambda(p) = a$ and $\mu(p) = 0$. Computing now $[Y_1, Y_2^n]$, $[Y_3, Y_2^n]$ and considering their tangent and normal parts one obtains the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(\lambda) + \mu &= 0, \\ Y_1(\mu) &= 0, \\ Y_3(\lambda) + 1 &= 0, \\ Y_3(\mu) - \mu &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As before, this yields $\mu = 0$, i.e. $Y_2^n = \lambda Y_1^n$ on U . Thus every point $x \in U$ is in $Q_{\lambda(x)} \subset Q$ and Q is open.

Q is closed: If $p \notin Q$, for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $Y_2^n(p) \neq aY_1^n(p)$. In particular, $Y_2^n(p) \neq 0$. As we have proved that $Y_1^n \neq 0$, the vector fields Y_1, Y_2 are linearly independent on p . Hence they are independent in an open neighborhood U of p , i.e. $U \subset M \setminus Q$ and Q is closed.

As M is connected, $Q = \emptyset$ or $Q = M$.

If $Q = \emptyset$, Y_1^n and Y_2^n are linearly independent in each point. So there are differentiable functions λ and μ globally defined on M , such that $Y_3^n = \lambda Y_1^n + \mu Y_2^n$. Computing now $[Y_1, Y_3^n]$, we obtain $Y_1(\lambda) = 1$, but as M is compact this is impossible.

If $Q = M$, for each $p \in M$ there is $a(p) \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $Y_2^n(p) = a(p)Y_1^n(p)$. This gives rise to a differentiable basic function a on M with $Y_2^n = a \cdot Y_1^n$. Equivalently, $Y_2 - a \cdot Y_1$ is everywhere tangent to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $[Y_3, Y_2 - a \cdot Y_1]$ must be in $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ we obtain $Y_3(a) = -1$ for \mathcal{E}_6 , which is again a contradiction, and $Y_3(a) = (1 - k)a$ for \mathcal{E}_7 . If $k \neq 1$, the only possibility is $a = 0$ and so Y_2 is everywhere tangent to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. As before, this yields a contradiction because $d\theta^1 = -\theta^1 \wedge \theta^3$, with θ^1 and θ^3 projectables on $W = M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. If $k = 1$ it follows that a is constant over the integral curves of Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 , i.e. a is constant. With $\omega^0, \omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3$ the dual basis of $X, Y_2 - aY_1, Y_1, Y_3$, we obtain $d\omega^2 = -\omega^2 \wedge \omega^3$ with ω^2, ω^3 projectables on W , again a contradiction. This proves that \mathcal{E}_6 and \mathcal{E}_7 are not realizable.

• \mathcal{G}_8 (with $h = 0$): The same construction as before. If $\theta^0, \theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ are the canonical coordinates on $T^2 \times T^2$, the vector field $X = \partial/\partial\theta^0 + \alpha\partial/\partial\theta^1$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ is transversely abelian for the parallelism $\partial/\partial\theta^1, \partial/\partial\theta^2, \partial/\partial\theta^3$ and has $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 2$. We modify this parallelism by taking

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \cos \theta^2 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^1 + \sin \theta^2 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^3, \\ e_2 &= -\sin \theta^2 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^1 + \cos \theta^2 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^3, \\ e_3 &= -\partial/\partial\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus X is also transversely modeled on \mathcal{G}_8 (with $h = 0$).

Case 3. $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 1$.

In this case the structural Lie algebra has dimension 2. As this algebra is abelian (cf. [1]), \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_4 are not realizable because they do not have abelian subalgebras of dimension 2. Examples for the algebras $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_5$, and \mathcal{G}_8 ($h = 0$) are given. For the algebra \mathcal{G}_7 we prove that the only realizable cases are when $k \notin \mathbf{Q}$, an example will be given. We also prove that \mathcal{G}_6 is not realizable.

• \mathcal{G}_1 : Consider the flow $(X, 0)$ on $T^3 \times T^1$ where X is a dense linear flow on T^3 .

• \mathcal{G}_2 is not realizable: As \mathcal{G}_2 is unimodular and $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 1$, \mathcal{F} is unimodular (cf. [5]) and it follows, from the results by Molino (cf. [6]), that the central transverse sheaf \mathcal{E} admits a global trivialization, i.e. there are independent foliated vector fields v, w tangents to the \mathcal{F} closure which commute, as transverse fields, with every global foliated vector field. In particular, $[v, e_i] = [w, e_i] = 0$. Writing

$$v = \lambda e_1 + \mu e_2 + \nu e_3, \quad w = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 + \gamma e_3$$

we obtain $v = \lambda e_1$ and $w = \alpha e_1$, which is a contradiction.

• \mathcal{G}_5 : Let X be the generator of the transversely affine Lie flow on T_A^3 . The vector field $(X, \alpha\partial/\partial\theta)$ on $T_A^3 \times S^1$, with $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ and θ the coordinate function on S^1 , is transversely modeled on $\mathcal{G}_5 = \mathcal{A} + \mathbf{R}$ and $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 1$.

• \mathcal{G}_8 ($h = 0$): The same construction as before. If $\theta^0, \theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ are the canonical coordinates on $T^3 \times T^1$, the vector field $X = \partial/\partial\theta^0 + \alpha\partial/\partial\theta^1 + \beta\partial/\partial\theta^2$ with α, β rationally independent, admits

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \cos \theta^3 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^0 + \sin \theta^3 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^1, \\ e_2 &= -\sin \theta^3 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^0 + \cos \theta^3 \cdot \partial/\partial\theta^1, \\ e_3 &= -\partial/\partial\theta^3, \end{aligned}$$

as a transverse parallelism. But e_1, e_2, e_3 is a basis of \mathcal{G}_8 with $h = 0$.

• Next we study the remainder algebras $\mathcal{G}_6, \mathcal{G}_7$, and \mathcal{G}_8 ($h \neq 0$). As the center of these algebras are trivial, the corresponding connected simply-

connected groups G_6, G_7, G_8 can be obtained as $e^{t \cdot \text{ad } \alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$. We find that these groups can be thought of as $\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ with the product $(p, t) \cdot (p', t') = (p + e^{-\Lambda t} \cdot p', t + t')$ and Λ depending on the algebra.

For \mathcal{G}_6 ,

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-\Lambda t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} & -te^{-t} \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For \mathcal{G}_7 ,

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-\Lambda t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-kt} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For \mathcal{G}_8 ,

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-\Lambda t} = C(t) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi + t) & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos(\varphi - t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} e^{\beta t}$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{4 - h^2}$, $\beta = \tan \varphi = h/\alpha$, ($\sin \varphi = h/2$, $\cos \varphi = \alpha/2$).

The basis we have used to define the algebras are given in this case by the following left invariant fields.

For \mathcal{G}_6 ,

$$e_1 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = -te^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

For \mathcal{G}_7 ,

$$e_1 = e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-kt} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

For \mathcal{G}_8 ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \frac{2}{\alpha} e^{-\beta t} \left(\cos(t + \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \\ e_2 &= \frac{2}{\alpha} e^{-\beta t} \left(-\sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \cos(t - \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \\ e_3 &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that we have a $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ realization on a compact manifold M of one of these algebras. We shall denote the algebra by \mathcal{G} and the corresponding group by G . The basic fibration is: $T^3 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T^1$ and, as $\pi_1(T^3) = \mathbf{Z}^3$, $\pi_1(T^1) = \mathbf{Z}$, and $\pi_2(T^1) = 0$, the corresponding homotopy exact sequence is $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^3 \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$.

Since this exact sequence has a section, $\pi_1(M)$ is the semidirect product of \mathbf{Z}^3 with \mathbf{Z} , i.e. $\pi_1(M)$ is the product $\mathbf{Z}^3 \times \mathbf{Z}$ with the operation $(x, t) \cdot (y, s) = (x + t \cdot y, t + s)$ where $t \cdot y$ represents the natural action of \mathbf{Z} on \mathbf{Z}^3 . To be precise, if $\varphi: T^3 \rightarrow T^3$ is the diffeomorphism which gives the bundle, then the action is $t \cdot y = \varphi'_* \cdot y$ where $\varphi_*: \pi_1(T^3) \rightarrow \pi_1(T^3)$ is the morphism induced by φ . We shall denote the group by $\mathbf{Z}^3 \times_{\varphi} \mathbf{Z}$.

Since \mathcal{F} is a Lie foliation, we have the unfolding diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{D} & G \\ \downarrow & & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

and the holonomy representation $h: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow h(\pi_1(M)) = \Gamma \subset G$ with $D(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) = h(\gamma) \cdot D\tilde{x}$, $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$, $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$.

As $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is diffeomorphic to $G/\overline{\Gamma}$ (cf., for instance, [5]) we have that $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a two-dimensional closed subgroup of G .

The Lie algebra \mathcal{H} of $\overline{\Gamma}_e$ (the identity component of $\overline{\Gamma}$) is named the structural Lie algebra of \mathcal{F} and, in the case of flows, it is abelian (cf. [1]).

But it is easy to see that the only two-dimensional abelian subalgebra of \mathcal{G} is $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, thus $\mathcal{H} = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. Looking at the expressions for e_1 and e_2 in \mathcal{G}_6 , \mathcal{G}_7 , and \mathcal{G}_8 , we see that $\mathcal{H} = \langle \partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y \rangle$ and hence $\overline{\Gamma} \simeq \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{Z}\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Notice that $\overline{\Gamma}_e = \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is abelian.

Lemma. *Let A be an abelian subgroup of Γ . Then A is contained in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ or there is an element $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ with $a_3 \neq 0$ such that $A = \{a^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.*

Proof. If A is not in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$, then $A \cap (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) = 0 \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

Otherwise, there is $(p, 0) \in A$, $p \neq 0$, and $(q, t) \in A$, $t \neq 0$. As A is abelian we have that $(p, 0)(q, t) = (q, t)(p, 0)$. Then $q + e^{-\Lambda t} \cdot p = q + p$ and this implies that $t = 0$, except for \mathcal{G}_8 with $h = 0$, but this case is not considered here. Therefore $A \cap (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) = 0 \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

In particular, A has at most one element in each level $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{m\varepsilon\}$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. In fact, $a_1 \cdot a_2^{-1} \in A \cap (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) = 0$ and $a_1 = a_2$.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, n\varepsilon)$ be the element of A in the lower level. For each $b = (b_1, b_2, m\varepsilon) \in A$, we put $m = nd + r$; then ba^{-d} is an element of A in the $r\varepsilon$ level and hence $r = 0$, i.e. $b = a^d$ and this proves the lemma.

Proposition 1. *Let the notation be as above. Then $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) \cap \Gamma = h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$.*

Proof. Applying the lemma we have four possibilities:

(i) $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ and $h(\mathbf{Z})$ are both contained in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. Then Γ , generated by $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ and $h(\mathbf{Z})$, is contained in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$, which contradicts $\mathbf{R}^3/\overline{\Gamma} = S^1$.

(ii) $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ is contained in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $h(\mathbf{Z}) = \{a^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ with $a \notin \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. As $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ is a normal subgroup of Γ , for each $b \in h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ we have $aba^{-1} = b'$ which is in $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$. Hence, the elements of $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) \cap \Gamma$ can be written as

$$\sigma = b_1 a^{r_1} b_2 a^{r_2} b_3 a^{r_3} \dots b_k a^{r_k}$$

with $\sum r_i = 0$ and $b_i \in h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$. That is, $\sigma = \tilde{b} \cdot a^{\sum r_i} = \tilde{b} \in h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$, i.e. $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) \cap \Gamma = h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$.

(iii) $h(\mathbf{Z})$ is contained in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $h(\mathbf{Z}^3) = \{a^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ with $a \notin \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. In this case, Γ is abelian because if we let $h(1) = b$ we have $bab^{-1} = a^k$. This implies $k = 1$ and $ab = ba$. As in (ii), this implies that $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\} = h(\mathbf{Z})$ which is not dense in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$.

(iv) $h(\mathbf{Z}) = \{a^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ and $h(\mathbf{Z}^3) = \{b^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ with $a, b \notin \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. As before, $aba^{-1} = b^k$ and therefore Γ is abelian. So the elements of $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}) \cap \Gamma$ can be written as $a^n \cdot b^{-n} = (a \cdot b^{-1})^n$ and this is not dense in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$.

Remark. Three elements $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ can generate a dense subgroup of \mathbf{R}^2 . In fact, it suffices to take $\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{v} + \mu\mathbf{w}$ with λ, μ , and $\lambda/\mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. So, a priori, it is possible to have $\overline{h(\mathbf{Z}^3)} = \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$.

Proposition 2. \mathcal{E}_6 is not realizable.

Proof. If such a realization exists, the subgroup $h(\mathbf{Z}^3)$ is normal in Γ . Let $h(\mathbf{Z}^3) = \langle (p_1, 0), (p_2, 0), (p_3, 0) \rangle$ and $h(\mathbf{Z}) = \langle (p, t) \rangle$ with $t > 0$; then the normality condition can be written as $e^{-\Lambda t} \cdot p_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_1^j \cdot p_j$, where $\lambda_i^j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The matrix $A = (\lambda_i^j)$ corresponds, in fact, to $\varphi_*: \mathbf{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^3$ so it is invertible, and, as we are assuming orientability, we have $\det A = 1$. Let $v_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ and $v_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$, where $p_1 = (a_1, a_2)$, $p_2 = (b_1, b_2)$, and $p_3 = (c_1, c_2)$. From the above equations we have

$$Av_1 = a \cdot a_1 + a \log a \cdot v_2, \quad Av_2 = a \cdot v_2,$$

where $a = e^{-t}$.

Completing v_1, v_2 to a basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, the matrix A can be written

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \alpha \\ a \log a & a & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and satisfies

$$2a + \frac{1}{a^2} = p, \quad a^2 + \frac{2}{a} = q,$$

with $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 < a < 1$, and $a \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. But this is impossible because the equations imply that $pa^2 - 2qa + 3 = 0$ and hence $a = (q \pm \sqrt{q^2 - 3p})/p$.

In particular, $\sqrt{q^2 - 3p} \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. Substituting a in the first equation above we conclude, after a short computation, that $p = q = 3$, which is in contradiction with $a \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. So \mathcal{E}_6 is not realizable.

Proposition 3. The Lie algebras of the \mathcal{E}_7 family with $k \in \mathbf{Q}$ are not realizable.

Proof. Proceeding as in Proposition 2, we obtain that e^{-t} and e^{-kt} are eigenvalues of A .

The characteristic polynomial of A , $x^3 - px^2 + qx - 1$, has three roots, ξ , ξ^k , and $\xi^{-(k+1)}$ with $\xi = e^{-t}$. As $t > 0$ we have $0 < \xi < 1$. This implies,

from standard arguments in Galois theory (see lemma below), that $k \notin \mathbf{Q}$; i.e. the Lie algebras of \mathcal{E}_7 with $k \in \mathbf{Q}$ are not realizable.

The authors are grateful to P. Ara for his remarks about the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let $f(x) = x^3 - px^2 + qx - 1$ be a polynomial with $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$. If there are $k \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi \in (0, 1)$ such that the roots of $f(x)$ can be written as $\xi, \xi^k, \xi^{-(k+1)}$, then $k \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$.*

Proof. First we observe that any rational root of this polynomial must be 1 or -1 , and so it is irreducible over \mathbf{Q} . Hence the Galois group of $f(x)$ over \mathbf{Q} is \mathbf{Z}_3 or the symmetric group S_3 . In both cases there is an automorphism σ of the splitting field \mathcal{K} of order 3, which is the identity over \mathbf{Q} . This automorphism permutes the roots, i.e. $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^k, \sigma(\xi^k) = \xi^{-k-1}, \sigma(\xi^{-k-1}) = \xi$, or $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^{-k-1}, \sigma(\xi^k) = \xi, \sigma(\xi^{-k-1}) = \xi^k$.

If $k = p/q$, using that $\sigma(x^{1/q}) = \pm \sigma(x)^{1/q}$, we obtain $\xi^{-k-1} = \sigma(\xi^k) = \sigma(\xi^{p/q}) = \sigma((\xi^p)^{1/q}) = (\sigma(\xi)^p)^{1/q} = \xi^{k^2}$ in the first case and $\xi = \sigma(\xi^x) = \xi^{(-k-1)k}$ in the second. This implies that $k^2 + k + 1 = 0$, which is impossible. Thus $k \notin \mathbf{Q}$ and the lemma is proved.

Example. Now we give an example of a Lie flow on a compact manifold M transversely modeled over a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} of the family \mathcal{E}_7 with structural Lie algebra of dimension 2.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

be an element of $\mathrm{SL}(3; \mathbf{R})$.

The eigenvalues are $\lambda_j = 2 + 2 \cos((6\pi_j - 4\pi)/9)$ where $j = 1, 2, 3$. We have $2 + 2 \cos(8\pi/9) < 1 < 2 + 2 \cos(14\pi/9) < 2 + 2 \cos(2\pi/9)$. If we let $\xi = \lambda_2$, there is a $k < 0$ such that $\xi^k = \lambda_3$. In this case $\lambda_1 = \xi^{-k-1}$. Here k is the quotient of logarithms of algebraic numbers. Notice that this is a necessary condition for the corresponding algebra to be realizable.

Thus we have the eigenvectors $u_j = (\lambda_j - 3, \lambda_j(\lambda_j - 3) - 1, 1)$.

A computation shows that the components of these vectors have irrational quotient, i.e. they induce dense linear flows in T^3 .

Now we consider the compact manifold $T_A^4 = T^3 \times \mathbf{R} / \sim$, where $(x, t) \sim (A \cdot x, t + 1)$. As the direction given by u_1 is invariant by A , it induces a global flow in T_A^4 . This flow is transversely modeled over the Lie algebra of \mathcal{E}_7 with $k = \log \lambda_3 / \log \lambda_2 < 0$. To verify this we observe that an invariant transverse parallelism in $T^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ is given by

$$e_1 = \xi^t u_2, \quad e_2 = \xi^{kt} u_3, \quad e_3 = -\frac{1}{\log \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

and it satisfies $[e_1, e_2] = 0$, $[e_1, e_3] = e_1$, $[e_2, e_3] = k e_2$.

Remark. We do not know any realization of \mathcal{E}_8 with $h \neq 0$ and $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 1$.

Case 4. $\text{codim } \overline{\mathcal{F}} = 0$.

This is a trivial case because the transverse algebra coincides with the structural algebra and so it is abelian. Only \mathcal{E}_1 is realizable (a linear dense flow on T^4).

REFERENCES

1. Y. Carrière, *Journées sur les structures transverses. Toulouse 1982*, Asterisque **116** (1984).
2. A. ElKacimi and M. Nicolau, *Espaces homogènes moyennables et feuilletages de Lie*, Publ. IRMA **12**, Lille, 1988.
3. E. Fedida, *Sur les feuilletages de Lie*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **277** (1971), 999–1002.
4. C. Godbillon, *Feuilletages*, Institut de la Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg.
5. M. Llabrés and A. Reventós, *Unimodular Lie foliations*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. IV (5) (1988).
6. P. Molino, *Géométrie globale des feuilletages riemanniens*, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Ser. A1 **85** (1982), 45–76.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN