

# **ANALYTIC CAPACITY AND THE CAUCHY SINGULAR INTEGRAL**

JOAN VERDERA

UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE  
BARCELONA

These slides were prepared for a course I gave  
at the

Fourth annual Meeting of the European Re-  
searchh Network “Analysis and Operstors”

Netherlands, May1-May7, 2004.

The pictures were drawn on the actual trans-  
parencies so they are missing here.

## Removable sets for bounded analytic functions

A compact set  $K$  in the plane is said to be **removable** when

$$f \in H^\infty(\Omega \setminus K) \implies f \text{ is analytic on } \Omega$$

Examples: a point is removable (Riemann). A disc is not removable:

**Painlevé (1888)** : a set of zero length is removable.

Length = one dimensional Hausdorff measure

**Painleve's problem (Ahlfors (1947))**: describe in geometric terms the removable sets.

To find more natural the definition of analytic capacity:

Remark:  $K$  is removable if and only if

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus K) = \{\text{constants}\}.$$

**Analytic capacity**: given a compact subset  $K$  of the plane

$$\gamma(K) = \sup |f'(\infty)|$$

where the sup is on those  $f$  in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$ ,  
 $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$  ( $f(\infty) = 0$ ).

The extremal is called the Ahlfors function.

Easy :  $\gamma(K) = 0$  iff  $K$  is removable.

## Examples

$$\gamma(\bar{D}(a, r)) = r \text{ and } f(z) = \frac{r}{z - a}.$$

For a continuum  $K$ ,  $\gamma(K) \simeq \text{diam}(K)$  and the Ahlfors function is the conformal mapping  $f$  of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus K$  into the unit disc with  $f(\infty) = 0$ .

For  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma(K) = \text{length}(K)/4$ . (Pommerenke)

$$\text{For } [-1, 1] : \quad \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{z+1}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{z+1}}}$$

$$\frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_K \frac{dt}{t-z}\right)}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_K \frac{dt}{t-z}\right)}$$

If  $\dim_H(K) > 1$ , then  $\gamma(K) > 0$ .

## Proof of Painlevé's theorem:

$$\text{length}(K) = 0 \implies \gamma(K) = 0$$

Cover the set  $K$  by squares  $Q_j$ .

If  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$  and  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(\infty)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial(\cup_j Q_j)} f(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \sum_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial Q_j} |f(z)| |dz| \\ &\leq \sum_j \frac{2}{\pi} l(Q_j). \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma(K) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \inf \sum_j l(Q_j) = \frac{2}{\pi} H^1(K)$$

where  $H^1(K)$  is the Hausdorff content of  $K$ .

**Proof of**  $\dim_H(K) > 1 \implies \gamma(K) > 0.$

Take a positive measure  $\mu$  supported on  $K$  satisfying for some  $\alpha > 1$

$$\mu D(z, r) \leq r^\alpha, \quad r > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\text{Set } f(z) = \int \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\mu(\zeta), \quad z \notin K.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \int \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\preceq \int_0^d \frac{1}{t} dt^\alpha = \int_0^d t^{\alpha-2} dt = C(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

In fact you get the inequality

$$(H^\alpha(K))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C(\alpha) \gamma(K), \text{ for every } \alpha > 1.$$

Only sets of Hausdorff dimension 1 and positive length are interesting

- Rectifiable curves
- Invisible sets (Besicovitch irregular): sets of finite positive length which project into sets of zero length in almost all directions.

**Denjoy proved:**  $\frac{1}{4} \text{length}(K) \leq \gamma(K)$ ,  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Start with an interval  $K = [a, b]$ .

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{t-z} dt = \log \frac{b-z}{a-z} = \log \left| \frac{b-z}{a-z} \right| + i \arg \left( \frac{b-z}{a-z} \right)$$

If  $K = \cup_j [a_j, b_j]$  then

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{2} \int_K \frac{1}{t-z} dt \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_j \arg \left( \frac{b_j - z}{a_j - z} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

$$\left| \frac{1 - \exp(\frac{1}{2} \int_K \frac{1}{t-z} dt)}{1 + \exp(\frac{1}{2} \int_K \frac{1}{t-z} dt)} \right| \leq 1$$

**The Denjoy conjecture:** If  $\Gamma$  is a rectifiable curve then  $\gamma(K) = 0$  iff  $\text{length}(K) = 0$ ,  $K \subset \Gamma$ .

It can be easily reduced to  $\Gamma$  a Lipschitz graph

The Cauchy Integral on the curve  $\Gamma$  is

$$C_\varepsilon f(z) = \int_{|\zeta-z|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} |d\zeta|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

If  $\int_{\Gamma} |C_{\varepsilon} f(z)|^2 |dz| \leq C \int_{\Gamma} |f(z)|^2 |dz|$  then the Denjoy conjecture is solved.

Calderón (1977), Coifman-McIntosh-Meyer (1982).

Garabedian ( $\simeq 50$ ):  $H^{\infty}$  removability  
 $= H^2$  removability. (Hahn-Banach)

$$f(z) = C(\chi_K)(z) = \int_K \frac{|d\zeta|}{\zeta - z}, \quad z \notin K \subset \Gamma,$$

is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \setminus K$ ,  $f'(\infty) = -\text{length}(K)$  and  
 is in  $H^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$ :

You get  $\text{length}(K) \leq C(\Gamma)\gamma(K)$ .

**Another way (Uy, Davie-Oksendal).**

$$C: L^2(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma) \implies C: L^1(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$$

by standard Calderón-Zygmund Theory

$$\left| \left\{ z \in \Gamma : |C_\varepsilon f(z)| > t \right\} \right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \|f\|_1$$

dualizes to (Hahn-Banach)

$$|K| \leq C \sup \left\{ \left| \int_K b(z) |dz| \right| : \text{spt } b \subset K, \right. \\ \left. 0 \leq b \leq 1, \|C_\varepsilon(b)\|_\infty \leq 1, \varepsilon > 0 \right\}$$

Then  $C(b)(z) = \int_K \frac{b(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} |d\zeta|, z \notin K \subset \Gamma,$

is in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$  and  $C(b)'(\infty) = - \int_K b(z) |dz|.$

Thus lenght  $(K) \leq C(\Gamma)\gamma(K).$

## Uy's Theorem (1979).

$K$  compact set of zero area.

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C|z - w|, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$

$f$  analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ .

Then  $\bar{\partial}f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and supported on  $K$ . Thus  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  (Weyl's lemma). Conversely, if  $K$  has positive area can we find  $f$  lipschitz on  $\mathbb{C}$ , analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ , not of the form  $a + bz$ ?

The obvious try  $f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_K \frac{dA(\zeta)}{z - \zeta}$  does not work because  $\bar{\partial} f = \chi_K$  but

$$\partial f(z) = P.V. - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_K \frac{dA(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^2} = B(\chi_K)(z),$$

which is not necessarily bounded.

The Beurling transform satisfies

$$\left| \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |Bg(z)| > t \right\} \right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \|g\|_1$$

and Uy showed that this dualizes to

$$|K| \leq C \sup \left\{ \left| \int_K g dA \right| : \text{spt } g \subset K, \|g\|_\infty \leq 1, \|Bg\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}$$

Take  $g$  attaining the supremum above, set

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{g(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} dA(\zeta).$$

Then  $\|\bar{\partial} f\|_\infty = \|g\|_\infty \leq 1$

and  $\|\partial f\|_\infty = \|Bg\|_\infty \leq 1.$

Thus  $f$  is lipschitz on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$   
and not of the form  $a + bz$ .

Open problem  
extremely interesting  
because it must be difficult.

### **Garnett-Jones.**

Given a compact  $K$  in a Lipschitz graph **construct** a non-constant bounded analytic function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ .

Recent paper by Jones-Mueller.

## Menger curvature.

Given three distinct points in the plane consider

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} & \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \\ \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} & 0 & \frac{1}{z_2 - z_3} \\ \frac{1}{z_3 - z_1} & \frac{1}{z_3 - z_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C^*C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|z_2 - z_1|^2} + \frac{1}{|z_3 - z_1|^2} & \frac{1}{(z_3 - z_2)(\overline{z_3 - z_1})} & \frac{1}{(z_3 - z_2)\overline{(z_1 - z_2)}} \\ \frac{1}{(z_3 - z_2)\overline{(z_1 - z_2)}} & \frac{1}{|z_1 - z_2|^2} & \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)\overline{(z_2 - z_3)}} \\ \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)\overline{(z_2 - z_3)}} & \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)\overline{(z_3 - z_1)}} & \frac{1}{|z_1 - z_3|^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Set  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C\mathbf{1}\|^2 &= \langle C\mathbf{1}, C\mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle C^*C\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i+j} \frac{1}{|z_i - z_j|^2} + \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{(z_{\sigma(2)} - z_{\sigma(1)})(\overline{z_{\sigma(3)} - z_{\sigma(1)}})} \end{aligned}$$

## Melnikov

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{(z_{\sigma(2)} - z_{\sigma(1)})(\overline{z_{\sigma(3)} - z_{\sigma(1)}})} \\ &= \left( \frac{4A(z_1, z_2, z_3)}{|z_2 - z_1| |z_3 - z_1| |z_3 - z_2|} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{R^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$c(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{R} \quad \text{Menger curvature}$$

**Proof:** Take  $z_1 = 0$ ,  $z_2 = 1$ ,  $z_3 = z$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(z_2 - z_1)(\overline{z_3 - z_1})} + \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)(\overline{z_3 - z_2})} \\ & + \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)(\overline{z_2 - z_3})} = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\overline{z-1}} + \frac{1}{z(\overline{z-1})} \\ & = \frac{z|z-1|^2 - |z|^2(z-1) + \bar{z}(z-1)}{|z|^2|z-1|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Twice the real part is  $\frac{4(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}{|z|^2|z-1|^2}$

$\mu$  positive Radon measure satisfying

$$\mu D(z, r) \leq C r, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, r > 0.$$

$$C_\varepsilon(f\mu)(z) = \int_{|\zeta-z|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\mu(\zeta), z \in \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |C_\varepsilon(\chi_D \cdot \mu)|^2 d\mu &= \int_D C_\varepsilon(\chi_D)(z) \overline{C_\varepsilon(\chi_D)(z)} d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_D \int_{D_\varepsilon} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)} \frac{1}{(w - z)} d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |C_\varepsilon(\chi_D \mu)|^2 d\mu &= \int \int \int_{T_\varepsilon} c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) d\mu(z) + O(\mu D) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varepsilon = \{(z, \zeta, w) \in D^3 : |z - \zeta| > \varepsilon, |z - w| > \varepsilon \\ |\zeta - w| > \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

What happens on the graph of  $y = A(x)$ ?

$$C(\gamma(x), \gamma(y), \gamma(z))^2 \leq 16 \left( \frac{\frac{A(y)-A(x)}{y-x} - \frac{A(z)-A(x)}{z-x}}{z-y} \right)^2$$

$$\int \int \int_{I^3} c^2(\gamma(x), \gamma(y), \gamma(z)) dx dy dz \leq C |I|$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\frac{a(y)-a(x)}{y-x} - \frac{a(z)-a(x)}{z-x}}{z-y} \right)^2 dx dy dz \\ &= c \|a'\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Given  $I$

$$\int \int \int_{I^3} \left( \frac{\frac{A(y)-A(x)}{y-x} - \frac{A(z)-A(x)}{z-x}}{z-y} \right)^2 dx dy dz \leq C \| (A - P_I)' \|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \| A' \|_\infty^2 |I|$$

Remark: A Lipschitz ( $A' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ) can be replaced by  $A' \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Total Menger curvature

Pointwise Menger curvature

$$c_\mu^2(z) = \int \int c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

Total Menger curvature

$$\int \int \int c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(z) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) = \int c_\mu^2(z) d\mu(z)$$

We have proved that

$$\int \int \int_{J^3} c^2(z, \zeta, w) |dz| |\d\zeta| |dw| \leq C \text{ length}(J)$$

$J =$  arc of Lipschitz graph.

The operator norm of the Cauchy Integral with respect to  $\mu$  is

$$\begin{aligned} ||C||_{L^2(\mu), L^2(\mu)}^2 &\simeq \\ ||M\mu||_\infty + \sup_D \frac{1}{\mu D} \int \int \int_{D^3} &c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(z) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) \\ \text{and } M\mu(z) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{\mu D(z, r)}{r}, &\quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

## First Calderón commutator

$$C_1 f(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A(y) - A(x)}{(y-x)^2} f(y) dy$$

Calderón proved (1965)  $C_1: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$C_n f(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(A(y) - A(x))^n}{(y-x)^{n+1}} f(y) dy$$

Set  $K(y, x) = \frac{A(y) - A(x)}{(y-x)^2}$  and symmetrize:

$$\begin{aligned} K(y, x)K(z, x) + K(x, y)K(z, y) + K(x, z)K(y, z) &= \\ \left( \frac{\frac{A(y)-A(x)}{(y-x)} - \frac{A(z)-A(x)}{(z-x)}}{z-y} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so the Cauchy integral is controlled by the first commutator.

Quotation from Montaigne taken from Y. Meyer's book on Wavelets.

Ce à quoi l'un s'était failli, l'autre y est arrivé et ce qui était inconnu à un siècle, le siècle suivant l'a éclairci, et les sciences et les arts ne se jettent pas en moule mais se forment et figurent en les maniant et polissant à plusieurs fois ...

## Other kernels

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(K) &= \sup |f'(\infty)| = \\ &\sup \left\{ |\langle T, 1 \rangle| : \text{spt } T \subset K, \left\| \frac{1}{z} * T \right\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$T = \frac{1}{\pi} \bar{\partial} f \quad f = \frac{1}{z} * T$$

$$\gamma_+(K) = \sup \left\{ ||\mu|| : \text{spt } \mu \subset K, \left\| \frac{1}{z} * \mu \right\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$C(K) = \sup \left\{ ||\mu|| : \text{spt } \mu \subset K, \left\| \frac{1}{|z|} * \mu \right\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}$$

Take  $0 < \alpha < n$  and  $K_\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\alpha(K) &= \sup \left\{ |\langle T, 1 \rangle| : \text{spt } T \subset K, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left\| \frac{x}{|x|^{1+\alpha}} * T \right\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

## Laura Prat (2003)

$$K_\alpha(y-x)K_\alpha(z-x) + K_\alpha(x-y)K_\alpha(z-y) + \\ K_\alpha(x-z)K_\alpha(y-z) \equiv P_\alpha(x, y, z)$$

$$\frac{2 - 2^\alpha}{L(x, y, z)^{2\alpha}} \leq P_\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2^{1+\alpha}}{L(x, y, z)^{2\alpha}}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

$P_\alpha(x, y, z)$  changes sign if  $\alpha > 1$ .

$$\gamma_\alpha(K) \simeq C_{\frac{2}{3}(n-\alpha), \frac{3}{2}}(K), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

$$C_{s,p}(K) = \inf \left\{ \|f\|_p^p : \frac{1}{|x|^{n-s}} * f \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}$$

Then  $\Lambda^\alpha(K) < \infty \implies \gamma_\alpha(K) = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$ .

$\gamma_\alpha$  is semiadditive amb bilipschitz invariant.

## **Case $\alpha = n - 1$**

Volberg:  $\gamma_{n-1}$  is semiadditive (2003).

The kernel is  $\frac{x}{|x|^n} = \nabla\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}\right)$

$\gamma_{n-1}$  is the capacity associated to lipschitz harmonic functions.

$$T * \frac{x}{|x|^n} \in L^\infty \iff T * \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} \text{ Lipschitz}$$

Very likely  $\gamma_\alpha$  is semiadditive for all  $\alpha$ .

## The Garnett set

$$E_N = \bigcup_{j=1}^{4^N} Q_j^N \quad E = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N$$

Take circles instead of squares (sorry!)

$$\mu = \mu_N = |dz_{\partial E_N}|$$

$$\|C\|_{L^2(\mu), L^2(\mu)} \simeq \sqrt{N}$$

$$c_\mu^2(z) = \iint_{E_N^2} c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w)$$

pointwise curvature.

Then  $c_\mu^2 \simeq N$  and so

$$\iint_{E_N^3} c^2(z, \zeta, w) d\mu(z) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) \simeq N.$$

Take squares (discs)  $Q_j$  in the  $j$ -th generation

$$Q_0 \supset Q_1 \supset \dots \supset Q_N \ni z.$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu^2(z) &= 2 \iint_{\substack{|w-z| \geq |\zeta-z|}} c^2(z, w, \zeta) d\mu(w) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \iint_{\substack{w \in Q_n \setminus Q_{n+1} \\ |w-z| \geq |\zeta-z|}} c^2(z, w, \zeta) d\mu(w) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{Q_N^2} c^2(z, w, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(w) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{4^n}\right)^2} \mu(Q_n)^2 + 2 \simeq N \end{aligned}$$

Choose two squares in the  $n+1$  generation not containing  $z$  and contained in  $Q_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu^2(z) &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \iint_{Q_1^{n+1} Q_2^{n+1}} c^2(z, w, \zeta) d\mu(w) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\geq C \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{4^n}\right)^2} \mu(Q_1^{n+1}) \mu(Q_2^{n+1}) \simeq N \end{aligned}$$

Thus Cauchy is unbounded on  $L^2(E, d\wedge^1)$  in spite of

$$\bigwedge^1 (E \cap D(z, r)) \simeq r, \quad z \in E, \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

**Vitushkins's conjecture:** If  $0 < \wedge^1(E) < \infty$ , then  $\gamma(E) = 0 \iff E$  is invisible.

**Proof of**  $\gamma(E) = 0 \implies E$  invisible.

By Besicovitch theory

$$E \text{ invisible} \iff \bigwedge^1 (E \cap \Gamma) = 0$$

for every rectifiable  $\Gamma$ .

If  $E$  is not invisible, then the Denjoy conjecture says that  $\gamma(E) > 0$ .

## The $T(b)$ -Theorem

The operator  $T$  will be the Cauchy Integral.  
The underlying measure  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\mu D(z, r) \leq Cr, \quad r > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and the doubling condition (if we are lucky)

$$\mu(D(z, 2r)) \leq C\mu D(z, r), \quad r > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

Assume that  $b \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ,  $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$  such that  $\|C_\varepsilon(b)\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Assume also that  $b$  is para-accretive, that is,

$$\frac{1}{|D|} \left| \int_D b d\mu \right| \geq \delta > 0,$$

for each disc  $D$  centered at a point of the support of  $\mu$ .

Then  $C: L^2(\mu) \longrightarrow L^2(\mu)$ .

$b = 1$      **$T(1)$ -Theorem** David-Journé

**$T(b)$ -Theorem:** David-Journé-Semmes.

Non-doubling context: Tolsa, Nazarov, Treil and Volberg.

**Local  $T(b)$ -Theorem** (Christ)

Assume that for each disc  $D$  there is

$$b_D \in L^\infty(\mu), \quad \text{spt } b_D \subset D, \quad \|b_D\|_\infty \leq 1,$$

$$\|C_\varepsilon(b_D)\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\mu D} \left| \int_D b_D \right| \geq \delta > 0.$$

Then  $C: L^2(\mu) \longrightarrow L^2(\mu)$  and

$$\|C\|_{L^2(\mu), L^2(\mu)} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{10}.$$

Let's show  $\gamma(E_N) \leq C \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{20}}}$ .

(Indeed, we know  $\gamma(E_N) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ ), but this is much more difficult)

Take  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus E_N)$ ,

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad f(\infty) = 0, \quad f'(\infty) = \gamma(E_N).$$

Express

$$f(z) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E_N} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \notin E_N.$$

$$\text{Set } b(z) = -\frac{f(\zeta) \dot{z}(\zeta)}{2\pi i}$$

Then  $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1, \|C(b)\|_\infty \leq 1$  and

$$\left| \int_{\partial E_N} b ds \right| = \gamma(E_N).$$

Take now  $Q_j^n \cap E_N$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$ :  
it is a dilated  $(\frac{1}{4^n})$  translation of  $E_{N-n}$ . Therefore:

There is  $b_j^n \in L^\infty(ds)$ ,  $\text{spt } b_j^n \subset Q_j^n \cap E_N$ ,

$$\|b_j^n\|_\infty \leq 1 \quad \|C(b_j^n)\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and

$$\int b_j^n ds = \gamma(E_{N-n}) \frac{1}{4^n} \geq C \gamma(E_N) l(Q_j^n).$$

By the local  $T(b)$ -Theorem:

$$\sqrt{N} \simeq \|C\|_{L^2(ds), L^2(ds)} \leq C \frac{1}{\gamma(E_N)^{10}}$$

which is  $\gamma(E_N) \leq C \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{20}}}$ .