

Orbits of Galois Invariant n -Sets of \mathbb{P}^1 under the Action of PGL_2

Amparo López, Daniel Maisner,¹ Enric Nart, and Xavier Xarles²

*Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain*

E-mail: alopez@mat.uab.es, danielm@mat.uab.es, nart@mat.uab.es, xarles@mat.uab.es

Communicated by Michael Tsfasman

Received October 16, 2000; published online January 30, 2002

For any finite field k we count the number of orbits of galois invariant n -sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ under the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$. For k of odd characteristic, this counts the number of k -points of the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves of genus g over k . We get in this way an explicit formula for the number of hyperelliptic curves over k of genus g , up to k -isomorphism and quadratic twist. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

Key words: hyperelliptic curves; n -sets of projective spaces.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements. For any positive integer n , the number of orbits of n -sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ under the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ was counted in [5]. In this way, we get a formula for the number of isometry classes of Goppa codes of genus zero of length n and a fixed dimension r (cf. [7]) or equivalently, for the number of classes modulo the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_r(k)$ of n -arcs in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} whose points lie in a rational normal curve (cf. [4]). It is remarkable that these numbers are independent of r .

On the other hand, there is a well-known connection between n -sets of \mathbb{P}^1 and hyperelliptic curves. Consider for any positive integer n the variety

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \binom{\mathbb{P}^1}{n} \setminus \mathrm{PGL}_2.$$

¹Supported by CONACYT.

²Supported by DGI, BHA 2000-0180.



Then, if the characteristic of k is odd, the variety \mathcal{M}_{2g+2} is a coarse moduli space for hyperelliptic curves of genus g . In this context the formula of [5] certainly counts isomorphy classes of hyperelliptic curves, but only of those curves having all their Weierstrass points defined over k (cf. Section 3).

The aim of this paper is to find a formula for the number of k -points of this variety \mathcal{M}_n for any finite field (of even or odd characteristic) and for any positive integer n . That is, we want to count the cardinal of

$$\mathcal{M}_n(k) = \binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})}{n}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} \setminus \text{PGL}_2(k).$$

This is achieved in Section 2, where we prove that for $n > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_n(k)| &= \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \sum_{e=0}^2 \binom{2}{e} \sum_{m|(q-1, n-e)} \varphi(m)(q^{(n-e)/m} - (-1)^{(n-e)/m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{e=0}^1 \sum_{m|(p, n-e)} (-1)^{\varphi(m^2)} (q^{(n-e)/m} - q^{(n-e)/m-1} + [1]_{n-e=m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(q^2+1)} \sum_{e \in \{0, 2\}} \sum_{m|(q+1, n-e)} \varphi(m) q^{((n-e)/m)+1} - q^{(n-e)/m} + (-1)^{[(n-e)/2m]} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{[(n-e-m)/2m]} q, \end{aligned}$$

where φ is Euler’s phi function, p is the characteristic of k , and $[1]_{n-e=m}$ means “add 1 if $n - e = m$.”

As we explain in Section 3, for $n = 2g + 2 \geq 6$, this formula counts, in the odd characteristic case, the number of hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over k , up to k -isomorphism and quadratic twist.

In Section 1 we find explicit formulas for the number of points of the discriminant variety, which are used in Section 2 to obtain the above formula.

1. THE DISCRIMINANT VARIETY

Let $n > 1$ be a positive integer and let

$$f(x) = v_n x^n + v_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + v_1 x + v_0$$

be a generic polynomial of degree n . The n th discriminant is an homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n - 2$ in the variables v_n, \dots, v_0 , with integral

coefficients, defined as

$$D_n(v_n, \dots, v_0) = R(f, f')/v_n,$$

where $R(\cdot)$ denotes the resultant of two polynomials. The following property is easy to check:

$$D_n(0, v_{r-1}, \dots, v_0) = (-1)^{n-1} v_{n-1}^2 D_{n-1}(v_{n-1}, \dots, v_0).$$

Let k be a field and $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in k$. If $v_n \neq 0$, then $D_n(v_n, \dots, v_0) = 0$ if and only if the polynomial $v_n x^n + \dots + v_0$ has multiple roots.

The n th discriminant variety is defined as the projective variety $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by the equation $D_n(v_n, \dots, v_0) = 0$.

For any $0 \leq i \leq n$, let Z_i be the closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n defined by $v_i = 0$ and let $U_i = \mathbb{P}^n - Z_i$. We can express the discriminant variety as the disjoint union, $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3$, where

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta \cap U_n, \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta \cap Z_n \cap U_{n-1}, \quad \Delta_3 = \Delta \cap Z_n \cap Z_{n-1}.$$

We call Δ_1 the *affine n th discriminant variety*. By the considerations above, the sets of k -points of the three subvarieties $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ are in bijection respectively with

$$\Delta_1(k) \leftrightarrow \{\text{inseparable polynomials } x^n + v_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + v_0 \in k[x]\},$$

$$\Delta_2(k) \leftrightarrow \{\text{inseparable polynomials } x^{n-1} + v_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + v_0 \in k[x]\},$$

$$\Delta_3(k) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-2}(k).$$

The n th discriminant variety is the dual variety of the rational normal curve C in \mathbb{P}^n , with points $P_\infty = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and $(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{n-1}), t \in \bar{k}$. Under this point of view, the points of Δ_1 correspond to hyperplanes $v_0 x_0 + \dots + v_n x_n$ cutting the affine part of C with multiplicity greater than one at some point and not containing P_∞ , the points of Δ_2 correspond to hyperplanes cutting the affine part of C with multiplicity greater than one at some point and cutting C with multiplicity one at P_∞ , whereas the points of Δ_3 correspond to hyperplanes cutting C with multiplicity greater than one at P_∞ .

Our aim in this section is to count, when k is a finite field, the number of k -rational points of the affine and projective discriminant varieties. The variety Δ is birationally equivalent to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , but it has many singularities, so that it is not clear how could one compute the number of k -points by geometric methods. Nevertheless, as we have seen, this computation amounts

to counting the number of inseparable polynomials of a given degree. By unique factorization, it is not difficult to find explicit formulas for the number $s(n)$ of monic separable polynomials of degree n in terms of the numbers N_m of monic irreducible polynomials of degree m . Considering that a polynomial is in a unique way a product of r_1 irreducible polynomials of degree one, r_2 irreducible polynomials of degree two, etc., we have

$$s(n) = \sum_{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = n} \binom{N_1}{r_1} \binom{N_2}{r_2} \dots \binom{N_n}{r_n},$$

understanding that $\binom{N}{r} = 0$ if $N < r$.

However, these kind of formulas where the sum runs over all partitions of n are very unsatisfactory from the combinatorial point of view. The partitions are easy to generate, but we cannot consider that the expression above is quite *explicit* as a closed formula for $s(n)$. In the next theorem we find a very simple computation of $s(n)$.

As a general rule for the rest of the paper, a term $[a]_{b=c}$ in a formula means “add a if $b = c$.” Similarly, a term $[a]_{b \equiv c(d)}$ in a formula means “add a if b is congruent to c modulo d .”

THEOREM 1.1. *For any positive integer n the number $s(n)$ of monic separable polynomials of degree n with coefficients in $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is*

$$s(n) = q^n - q^{n-1} + [1]_{n=1}.$$

Proof. Any monic polynomial $t(x)$ of degree n with coefficients in k can be written in a unique way as $t(x) = a(x)^2 b(x)$, where $a(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $0 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $b(x)$ is a monic separable polynomial of degree $n - 2r$, both $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ with coefficients in k . Hence we have

$$q^n = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^r s(n - 2r), \tag{1}$$

where we put $s(0) = 1$ understanding that the constant 1 is the unique monic separable polynomial of degree 0.

We can proceed now to prove the theorem by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the assertion $s(1) = q$ is clear. Assume $n > 1$; by (1) and the induction hypothesis we can calculate $s(n)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} s(n) &= q^n - \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^r s_{n-2r}(q) = q^n - \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} q^r (q^{n-2r} - q^{n-2r-1}) - q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} s\left(n - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &= q^n - q^{n-1} + q^{n-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} - q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} s\left(n - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in both cases $n = 2r$ even or $n = 2r + 1$ odd we have

$$q^{n - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} - q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} s \binom{n - 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{2} = \begin{cases} q^r - q^r s(0) = 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ q^{r+1} - q^r s(1) = 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 1.1. *For $n > 1$, the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of the affine and projective n th discriminant varieties is*

$$|\Delta_1(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n-1},$$

$$|\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + [-1]_{n=2} + \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} + q^{n-2} + [-1]_{n=2}.$$

This result suggests that the affine n th discriminant variety could be parameterized by $n - 1$ affine parameters. We have not been able to check this.

2. ORBITS OF GALOIS INVARIANT n -SETS OF $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ UNDER THE ACTION OF $\text{PGL}_2(k)$

Let p be a prime number, q a power of p , and $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with q elements. We choose a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, which we call infinity. This choice determines a k -embedding $\mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, as well as an identification: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \text{PGL}_2$. From now on we denote the group $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ simply by Γ . We recall that the galois group $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ is topologically generated by the Frobenius automorphism F , acting as $x^F = x^q$, for all $x \in \bar{k}$. The group G has a natural action over $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ and by our choice we have $\infty^F = \infty$. To say that some object is *galois invariant* or *defined over k* means that it is fixed by all elements of G , or equivalently, that it is fixed by F .

Let us fix throughout a positive integer $n > 2$. The number of orbits of n -sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ under the action of Γ have been counted in [5, Theorem C]. As we explain in Section 3, taking $n = 2g + 2$ one obtains an explicit formula, in the odd characteristic case, for the number of hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over k having all Weierstrass points defined over k . In order to count all hyperelliptic curves defined over k we have to count orbits under the action of Γ of n -sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ which are defined over k (as a set).

Let $\mathcal{X} := \binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})}{n}^G$ be the set of galois invariant elements of $\binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})}{n}$. The elements of \mathcal{X} are families $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ of n different points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ such that

$$\{P_1, \dots, P_n\} = \{P_1^\sigma, \dots, P_n^\sigma\}, \quad \forall \sigma \in G.$$

Our aim is to count the number of orbits of the finite set \mathcal{X} under the action of Γ . To this end we need to consider the following subsets of \mathcal{X} ,

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = \binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k}) - \{\infty\}}{n}^G, \quad \mathcal{X}_2 = \binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k}) - \{\infty, 0\}}{n}^G,$$

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \binom{\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k}) - \{\alpha, \alpha'\}}{n}^G,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} - \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\alpha' = \alpha^q$ is the conjugate of α .

We denote the cardinals of these sets by

$$S(n) := |\mathcal{X}|, \quad S_i(n) := |\mathcal{X}_i|, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2.$$

To any n -subset $T = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$, not containing ∞ , we can attach the separable polynomial $f_T(x) = (x - P_1) \dots (x - P_n)$ and the fact that T is galois invariant is equivalent to $f_T(x)$ having coefficients in k . Needless to say, the n -set T is recovered from $f_T(x)$ as the set of roots in \bar{k} of this polynomial. This correspondence between certain galois invariant subsets of the set of n -sets and certain subsets of separable polynomials with coefficients in k enables us to use Theorem 1.1 to find very explicit formulas for the numbers $S(n), S_i(n)$ as polynomials in q .

LEMMA 2.1. *For any positive integer $n > 1$ we have:*

- (1) $S(n) = q^n - q^{n-2} + [1]_{n=2}$,
- (2) $S_1(n) = q^n - q^{n-1}$,
- (3) $S_2(n) = (q - 1)(q^n + (-1)^{n-1})/(q + 1)$,
- (4) $S_0(n) = (q + 1)(q^{n+1} - q^n + (-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + (-1)^{(n-1)/2}q)/(q^2 + 1)$.

Proof. The first two assertions are clear. In fact, $s(n)$, (resp. $s(n - 1)$) coincides with the number of elements in \mathcal{X} not containing (resp. containing) ∞ , so that $S(n) = s(n) + s(n - 1)$ and $S_1(n) = s(n)$.

Let us think that $S_2(n)$ is equal to the number of monic separable polynomials of degree n with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q , which are not divisible by x . We prove now (3) for all $n \geq 1$ by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the formula says $S_2(1) = q - 1$, which is true. For $n > 1$ we have $s(n) = S_2(n) + S_2(n - 1)$, since each separable polynomial is either not divisible by x or decomposes as $xg(x)$, where $g(x)$ is separable and not divisible by x . Hence, by induction hypothesis,

$$S_2(n) = s(n) - S_2(n - 1) = q^n - q^{n-1} - (q - 1)(q^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-2})/(q + 1)$$

$$= (q - 1)(q^n + (-1)^{n-1})/(q + 1).$$

Finally, let $q(x) \in k[x]$ be a fixed irreducible quadratic polynomial and let us denote by $s_0(n)$ the number of monic separable polynomials of degree n with coefficients in k and not divisible by $q(x)$. We claim that

$$s_0(n) = \frac{q^{n+2} - q^{n+1} + (-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{n-2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (q+1)}{q^2 + 1}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Let us prove this by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the formula claims that $s_0(1) = q$, which is true. For $n > 1$ we have as above $s(n) = s_0(n) + s_0(n - 2)$, since each separable polynomial is either not divisible by $q(x)$ or decomposes as $q(x)g(x)$, where $g(x)$ is separable and not divisible by $q(x)$. Hence, by induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(n) &= q^n - q^{n-1} - \frac{q^n - q^{n-1} + (-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} q^{n-2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (q+1)}{q^2 + 1} \\ &= \frac{q^{n+2} - q^{n+1} + (-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{n-2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (q+1)}{q^2 + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. We can now deduce (4) from $S_0(n) = s_0(n - 1) + s_0(n)$, since any n -set in \mathcal{X}_0 either contains ∞ or not. ■

The main tool in counting $|\mathcal{X} \setminus \Gamma|$ is the following formula, which in [1] is called the Cauchy–Frobenius Lemma,

$$|\mathcal{X} \setminus \Gamma| = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{X}_\gamma| = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|}{|\Gamma_\gamma|},$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}_\gamma = \{T \in \mathcal{X} \mid \gamma(T) = T\}, \quad \Gamma_\gamma = \{\rho \in \Gamma \mid \rho\gamma\rho^{-1} = \gamma\},$$

and \mathcal{C} is a system of representatives of conjugation classes of Γ . The set \mathcal{C} and the cardinals $|\Gamma_\gamma|$ are well known. To compute the last sum in the above formula we need also to know for any fixed positive integer m the number of elements in \mathcal{C} of order m as elements of the group Γ . This was computed in [5, Lemma 2.4]. For convenience of the reader we sum up all this information in the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. *In the finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ let U_0 be the subset of elements $a \in k^*$ such that the polynomial $x^2 - x - a$ is irreducible over k and let U_2 be a system of representatives of $k^* - \{\pm 1\}$ under the equivalence relation,*

$b \sim b^{-1}$. Let us consider the following elements and subsets of Γ :

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in U_0 \right\}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in U_2 \right\}.$$

If q is odd we take also into consideration the following two elements of Γ ,

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where c is some fixed non-square in k . Then,

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{cases} \{1\} \cup \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \{\gamma_1\}, & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ \{1\} \cup \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\}, & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \neq 1$, let $f(\gamma)$ denote the number of fixed points of γ in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Then

$$f(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_0, \text{ or } \gamma = \gamma_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_2, \text{ or } \gamma = \gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$|\Gamma_\gamma| = \begin{cases} q+1, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_0, \\ q-1, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_2, \\ q, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_1, \\ 2q+2, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0, \\ 2q-2, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

If $m(\gamma)$ denotes the order of γ as an element of Γ we have

$$m(\gamma) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0 \text{ or } \gamma_2, \\ a \text{ divisor greater than } 2 \text{ of } q+1, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_0, \\ a \text{ divisor greater than } 2 \text{ of } q-1, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for any divisor m of $q+1$ (resp. $q-1$), $m > 2$, there are exactly $\varphi(m)/2$ elements in Σ_0 (resp. Σ_2) with $m(\gamma) = m$.

Our aim now is to count $|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|$ for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. The following observation is useful:

LEMMA 2.3. *Let γ be an element with finite order $m > 1$ in the group Γ and let $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$. If P is not a fixed point of γ then the orbit of P under the cyclic group $\langle \gamma \rangle$ consists of m different points $P, \gamma(P), \dots, \gamma^{m-1}(P)$.*

Proof. The jordan normal form of any representative of γ in $\text{GL}_2(k)$ determines if γ has 1 or 2 fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$. It is easy to check that the powers $\gamma^r, 1 \leq r < m$, have a jordan normal form of the same type; hence, all these powers have the same set of fixed points. ■

The crucial result allowing us to count $|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|$ is the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *For any $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of finite order, the quotient $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \langle \gamma \rangle$ exists in the category of algebraic varieties over k and the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \langle \gamma \rangle$ is k -isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .*

Proof. The existence of the quotient under the action of a finite group is well known [3, Lect. 10]. Moreover, it is easy to check that the quotient of a normal variety is again normal. In our case, the quotient will be a smooth projective curve, which by Lüroth’s theorem is birationally equivalent (thus isomorphic) to \mathbb{P}^1 . ■

We are ready to give an explicit formula for $|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|$ in terms of the number $f(\gamma)$ of fixed points of γ in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ (which can be 0, 1, or 2) and the order $m(\gamma)$ of γ as an element of Γ :

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let γ be an element of order m in Γ and, for $\gamma \neq 1$, let $f \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ be the number of fixed points of γ in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{X}_\gamma| = \begin{cases} S(n) & \text{if } \gamma = 1, \\ S_0\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) + S_0\left(\frac{n-2}{m}\right) & \text{if } f = 0, \\ S_1\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) + S_1\left(\frac{n-1}{m}\right) & \text{if } f = 1, \\ S_2\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) + 2S_2\left(\frac{n-1}{m}\right) + S_2\left(\frac{n-2}{m}\right) & \text{if } f = 2, \end{cases}$$

where we understand that $S_i(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let T be a galois invariant n -subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ such that $\gamma(T) = T$. We can express T as a disjoint union, $T = T_f \cup T'$, where T_f is the set of all fixed points of γ contained in T and T' is a union of orbits of cardinal m by

Lemma 2.3. Clearly T_f is galois invariant too, hence, it contains either fixed points defined over k , or a pair of quadratic conjugate elements (if $f = 0$). On the other hand, T' is also galois invariant and if it has r orbits then it corresponds in a unique way with an r -subset defined over k of the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^1/\langle\gamma\rangle$. By Theorem 2.1 the number of possibilities for T' is equal to the number of r -subsets defined over k of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k}) - \{\text{fixed points of } \gamma\}$ and these numbers are given by $S_i(r)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, according to the three different possibilities for the set of fixed points of γ .

The formulas for $|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|$ are obtained by taking into consideration for each possible set T_f the different possibilities for T' . ■

After this result and Lemma 2.2 we are able to write down an explicit formula for $|\mathcal{X}\setminus\Gamma|$, as the sum of the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{X}|}{|\Gamma|} &= \frac{S(n)}{q(q-1)(q+1)}, \\ \frac{|\mathcal{X}_{\gamma_1}|}{|\Gamma_{\gamma_1}|} &= \frac{S_1(n/p) + S_1((n-1)/p)}{q}, \\ \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}, f(\gamma)=0} \frac{|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|}{|\Gamma_\gamma|} &= \sum_{m|(q+1), m>1} \frac{\varphi(m) S_0(n/m) + S_0((n-2)/m)}{2(q+1)}, \\ \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}, f(\gamma)=2} \frac{|\mathcal{X}_\gamma|}{|\Gamma_\gamma|} &= \sum_{m|(q-1), m>1} \frac{\varphi(m) S_2(n/m) + 2S_2((n-1)/m) + S_2((n-2)/m)}{2(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the contributions of γ_0 and γ_2 have been introduced in the last two sums by letting m take the value $m = 2$. If q is even, this never happens since m is a divisor of $q + 1$ or $q - 1$, whereas for q odd, $\varphi(m)/2$ times $1/(q + 1)$, resp. $1/(q - 1)$, takes for $m = 2$ the right value $1/(2q + 2)$, resp. $1/(2q - 2)$ corresponding to the contribution of γ_0 , resp. γ_2 .

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1 our formula reads:

THEOREM 2.2 *For $n > 2$ a positive integer we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{X}\setminus\Gamma| &= q^{n-3} + \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \sum_{e=0}^2 \binom{2}{e} \sum_{m|(q-1, n-e), m>1} \varphi(m) (q^{(n-e)/m} - (-1)^{(n-e)/m}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{q} \sum_{e=0}^1 ([q^{(n-e)/p} - q^{(n-e)/p-1}]_{n \equiv e(p)} + [1]_{n-e=p}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(q^2+1)} \sum_{e \in \{0,2\}} \sum_{m|(q+1, n-e), m>1} \varphi(m) (q^{(n-e)/m+1} - q^{(n-e)/m} + (-1)^{(n-e)/2m}) \\ &+ (-1)^{\lfloor (n-e-m)/2 \rfloor} q. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks 2.1 (1) It is easy to check that $|\mathcal{X} \setminus \Gamma| = n$ for $n = 1, 2$.
 (2) The term q^{n-3} can be expressed as

$$q^{n-3} = \frac{q^n + 2q^{n-1} + q^{n-2}}{2(q+1)} - \frac{q^n - q^{n-2}}{q} + \frac{q^{n+1} - q^n + q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}}{2(q^2 + 1)},$$

hence we can obtain a more compact formula just by distributing this term q^{n-3} among the others, taking into consideration all cases $m = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{X} \setminus \Gamma| &= \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \sum_{e=0}^2 \binom{2}{e} \sum_{m|(q-1, n-e)} \varphi(m)(q^{(n-e)/m} - (-1)^{(n-e)/m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{e=0}^1 \sum_{m|(p, n-e)} (-1)^{\varphi(m^2)} (q^{(n-e)/m} - q^{(n-e)/m-1} + [1]_{n-e=m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(q^2+1)} \sum_{e \in \{0, 2\}} \sum_{m|(q+1, n-e)} \varphi(m)(q^{(n-e)/m+1} - q^{(n-e)/m}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\lfloor (n-e)/2m \rfloor} + (-1)^{\lfloor (n-e-m)/2m \rfloor} q. \end{aligned}$$

3. COUNTING HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES

As a general reference for the basic properties of hyperelliptic curves see [2, 6]. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic different from 2. Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in k[x]$ be a separable polynomial of degree $n \geq 5$ and consider the plane affine curve C_0 defined by the equation

$$y^2 = f(x). \tag{2}$$

The curve C_0 is smooth and its closure \tilde{C} in \mathbb{P}^2 has only one point at infinity, P_∞ , which is always a singular point. The normalization $C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ of \tilde{C} is an hyperelliptic curve of genus $[n - 1/2]$. If n is odd, the point P_∞ has only one preimage in C , which we still denote by P_∞ ; this point is a Weierstrass point and it is always defined over k . If n is even the point P_∞ has two preimages in C , which we denote by $P_{\infty_1}, P_{\infty_2}$; they are defined over k if and only if a_n is a square in k^* .

Since the rest of the points of C are in bijection with the points in C_0 , it is common to attach to these points of C the affine coordinates (x, y) of the corresponding points in C_0 . If we introduce affine coordinates in \mathbb{P}^1 (by declaring some point in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ to be ∞), the map

$$x : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x, \tag{3}$$

extends to a degree 2 map from C to \mathbb{P}^1 sending P_∞ or the pair $P_{\infty_1}, P_{\infty_2}$ to ∞ . The Weierstrass points of C coincide with the ramification points of x . Every hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over k is k -isomorphic to some curve C obtained as above. If k is algebraically closed, two hyperelliptic curves of genus g are k -isomorphic if and only if the images in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ of the $2g + 2$ Weierstrass points under any degree 2 map from the curve to \mathbb{P}^1 differ by a k -automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 . For a non-algebraically closed field there are quadratic twists to deal with.

Given any $\lambda \in k^*/k^{*2}$ and a curve C given by Eq. (2) we define the twisted curve C^λ as the one determined by the equation

$$y^2 = \lambda f(x).$$

For a fixed positive integer $g \geq 2$ denote by \mathcal{H} the set of k -isomorphism classes of hyperelliptic curves defined over k of genus g . The curves C and C^λ are isomorphic over the quadratic extension $k(\sqrt{\lambda})$, but they are not necessarily k -isomorphic. This induces a well-defined action of k^*/k^{*2} on \mathcal{H} and we denote by \mathcal{H}^t the quotient set $\mathcal{H}/(k^*/k^{*2})$.

Denote by \mathcal{X} the set of k -points of the variety $(\binom{\mathbb{P}^1}{2g+2})$ of $2g + 2$ -subsets of \mathbb{P}^1 . That is, the elements in \mathcal{X} are families $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2g+2}\}$ of $2g + 2$ different points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ invariant under the galois action:

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{2g+2}\} = \{x_1^\sigma, \dots, x_{2g+2}^\sigma\}, \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k).$$

The variety $\mathcal{M} = (\binom{\mathbb{P}^1}{2g+2}) \backslash \text{PGL}_2$ is a coarse moduli space for hyperelliptic curves of genus g . Its sets of k -points is $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathcal{X} \backslash \text{PGL}_2(k)$.

Consider the map

$$W : \mathcal{H}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(k), \tag{4}$$

which assigns to any curve C the class of the set $\{x(P_1), \dots, x(P_{2g+2})\}$ of images of the Weierstrass points P_1, \dots, P_{2g+2} of C under any degree 2 map, $x : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This map W is well defined and bijective. The inverse map sends $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2g+2}\}$ to the curve C defined by the equation

$$y^2 = \prod_{x_i \neq \infty} (x - x_i).$$

Therefore, if $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with odd characteristic, the formula of Theorem 2.2 for $n = 2g + 2$ counts the number of hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over k , up to k -isomorphism and quadratic twist.

In the table below we write down these numbers for $g = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

g	$ \mathcal{H}^t $
2	$q^3 + q^2 + q + [4]_{q \equiv 1(5)} + [1]_{q \equiv 0(5)} + [-1]_{q \equiv 0(3)}$
3	$q^5 + q^3 - 1 + [q]_{q \not\equiv 0(3)} + [6]_{q \equiv 1(7)} + [1]_{q \equiv 0(7)} + [2]_{q \equiv \pm 1(8)}$
4	$q^7 + q^4 + [q^2 - q + 2]_{q \equiv 1(3)} - [q^2 - q]_{q \equiv -1(3)} + [q - 1]_{q \equiv 0(5)}$ $+ [2q]_{q \equiv \pm 1(5)} + [6]_{q \equiv 1(9)} + [2]_{q \equiv \pm 1(8)}$
5	$q^9 + q^5 + 1 + [2q - 2]_{q \equiv 1(3)} + [2q]_{q \equiv \pm 1(5)} + [10]_{q \equiv 1(11)} + [1]_{q \equiv 0(11)}$ $+ [-2]_{q \equiv -1(4)} + [2]_{q \equiv \pm 1(12)}$

Furthermore, it is clear that the set of $2g + 2$ Weierstrass points of an hyperelliptic curve C defined over k is galois invariant. The cardinals of the invariant subsets of this galois set furnish a partition of the positive integer $2g + 2$ and since all galois groups over a finite field are cyclic, this partition actually determines the structure of the galois set. Clearly, the structure of this galois set is invariant under isomorphism and under quadratic twist; thus, the set \mathcal{H}^t is the disjoint union of $p(2g + 2)$ subsets, each one gathering classes of curves with the same galois structure of the set Weierstrass points. For instance, if $g = 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^t = \mathcal{H}^t_{1,1,1,1,1,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{2,1,1,1,1,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{2,2,1,1,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{2,2,2} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{3,1,1,1} \cup$$

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{3,2,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{3,3} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{4,1,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{4,2} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_{5,1} \cup \mathcal{H}^t_6,$$

where, for instance, $\mathcal{H}^t_{4,1,1}$ denotes the set of classes of curves in \mathcal{H} having two Weierstrass points defined over k and four Weierstrass points defined over the quartic extension of k , forming a complete orbit under the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Exactly in the same way, the sets \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathcal{X} \setminus \text{PGL}_2(k)$ split as the union of $p(2g + 2)$ different subsets and the map W of (4) respects this decomposition. This is clearly seen if we consider the particular degree 2 map from C to \mathbb{P}^1 given in (3) for which the Weierstrass points have affine coordinates $(x, 0)$.

Corresponding to the partition $n = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$ we get the subset of \mathcal{H}^t of classes, modulo k -isomorphism and quadratic twist, of hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over k having all Weierstrass points defined over k , that is, hyperelliptic curves given by Eqs. (2) with a polynomial $f(x)$ having all its roots in k . By the above considerations, the map W gives a bijection between this set of classes of curves and the set of orbits of n -sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ under the action of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$. In [5] a closed formula was obtained for this latter number of orbits.

More generally, it would be interesting to find explicit formulas for the cardinal of each subset of $\mathcal{X} \backslash \text{PGL}_2(k)$ gathering classes of n sets with fixed structure as a galois set. In this way we would obtain, in the odd characteristic case, explicit formulas for the number of hyperelliptic curves defined over k , up to k -isomorphism and quadratic twist, with a fixed galois structure for the set of Weierstrass points. We hope to deal with this question elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. A. Betten, H. Friepertinger, A. Kerber, A. Wassermann, and K.-H. Zimmermann, "Codierungstheorie," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1998.
2. J. Cassels and E. Flynn, "Prolegomena to a Middlebrow Arithmetic of Curves of Genus 2," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996.
3. J. Harris, "Algebraic Geometry, a First Course," Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
4. J. W. P. Hirschfeld, "Projective Geometries over Finite Fields," Clarendon, Oxford, 1979.
5. A. López and E. Nart, Classification of Goppa codes of genus zero, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **517** (1999), 131–144.
6. A. J. Menezes, Y.-H. Wu, and R. J. Zuccherato, An elementary introduction to hyperelliptic curves, in "Algebraic Aspects of Cryptography," (N. Koblitz, Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1999.
7. M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlăduț, "Algebraic-Geometric Codes," Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.