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We study the number of limit cycles of polynomial differential systems of the form

$$
\dot{x}=y-g_{1}(x)-f_{1}(x) y \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{y}=-x-g_{2}(x)-f_{2}(x) y,
$$

where $g_{1}, f_{1}, g_{2}$ and $f_{2}$ are polynomials of a given degree. Note that when $g_{1}(x)=f_{1}(x)=0$, we obtain the generalized polynomial Liénard differential systems. We provide an accurate upper bound of the maximum number of limit cycles that the above system can have bifurcating from the periodic orbits of the linear centre $\dot{x}=y, \dot{y}=-x$ using the averaging theory of first and second order.
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## 1. Introduction

The second part of the 16th Hilbert problem wants to find an upper bound on the maximum number of limit cycles that a polynomial vector field of a fixed degree can have. In this paper, we will try to give a partial answer to this problem for the class of polynomial differential systems given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=y-g_{1}(x)-f_{1}(x) y \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{y}=-x-g_{2}(x)-f_{2}(x) y . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $g_{1}(x)=f_{1}(x)=0$ coincides with generalized polynomial Liénard differential systems. The classical polynomial Liénard differential systems are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=y \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{y}=-x-f(x) y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ is a polynomial in the variable $x$ of degree $n$. For these systems, Lins et al. (1977) stated the conjecture that if $f(x)$ has degree $n \geq 1$, then system (1.2) has at most $[n / 2]$ limit cycles. They proved this conjecture for $n=1,2$. Recently, the conjecture has also been proved for $n=3$, see Li \& Llibre (2012). For $n \geq 5$, Dumortier et al. (2007) and De Maesschalck \& Dumortier (2011) showed that the conjecture is not true for $n \geq 5$. In short, at this moment, the conjecture is only open for $n=4$.
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