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Abstract
In this paper we consider a nonlinear parabolic equation of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=h(x, u) \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions and initial data in the case when $1<$ $p<2$.

We construct supersolutions of $(\mathcal{P})$, and by use of them, we prove that, for $t_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$, the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$ converges to some solution of the elliptic equation associated with ( $\mathcal{P}$ ).

## 0 . Introduction

This is the second part of a work concerning the existence and asymptotic behaviour of bounded non negative solutions of the following problem:

$$
\mathcal{P}(\Omega)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta_{p} u-h(x, u)=0 \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}  \tag{0.1}\\
u(x, t)=0 \text { in } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), 1<p<+\infty$ and $\Omega$ is a regular open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$.
These problems arise from nonnewtonian fluid mechanics for $1<p<+\infty$ ([3]), and from glaciology for $p=\frac{N+1}{N}([2])$. In the first part ([5]), we were concerned with the case $p>2$ and have proved that if $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ admits a uniform supersolution with spatially bounded support which is independent on $T$, then the orbits are compacts and any $w$ in the $\omega$-limit set:

$$
\omega\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \mid \exists t_{n} \longrightarrow+\infty: u\left(., t_{n}\right) \longrightarrow w \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

is a solution of the elliptic problem associated with $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Here, we study the case $1<p<2$ and obtain similar results in the case when

$$
\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p<2
$$

In addition, we give in this paper the justification of the formal derivation of the regularized equation associated with (0.1), by means of finite dimensional problems. That was not done in [5]. We also show the following regularizing effect:

$$
|\nabla u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Existence and regularity results can be found in Tsutsumi [18], Nakao [13], Diaz and Herrero [3]. Stabilization results are obtained by Otani [15] for the one dimensional case and by Langlais and Phillips [8] for a problem closely related to $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and including the case $p=2$. But they do not prove the compacity of the orbits. All our results for $p>2$ were however extended to the case of a system by Elouardi and de Thelin [6] when $\Omega$ is bounded.

As in [5] our technique is based upon a comparaison principle and the construction of supersolutions. Some proofs already made in [5] are omited here. So we refer the reader [5] for completeness.

In the first section of this paper we give some preliminarics and state the main results. The proofs are given in section 2 and section 3 is devoted to the justification of the formal derivation.

## 1. Preliminaries and main results

1.1. Preliminaries. In all this paper $\Omega$ stands for a regular open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and may be unbounded. Let $h$ be an application from $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{P}) \text { and } h(x, 0) \geq 0 \text { for any } x \in \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any $M>0$, there exists $K_{M}>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, u)-h(x, v) \leq K_{M}(u-v) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall u, v: 0 \leq v \leq u \leq M \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (1.2) is satisfied if for some $\lambda>0, h-\lambda I$ is nonincreasing.
First we recall some notations and definitions used in [5]:
For $T>0$,

$$
Q_{T}=\Omega \times[0, T], S_{T}=\partial \Omega \times[0, T]
$$

and for $R>0$

$$
\Omega_{R}=\bar{\Omega} \cap B(0, R)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(\nabla u) & =|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \text { with }: 1<p<2 \\
\Delta_{p} u & =\operatorname{div}(F(\nabla u))
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u$ be given in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$; we say that $u \geq 0$ in $S_{T}$ [resp. $u=$ 0 in $\left.S_{T}\right]$ iff

$$
(-u)_{+}[r e s p . u] \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Let $u_{0}$ be given such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we say that $u$ is a solution of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ in $Q_{T}$ [resp. $\hat{u}$ is a supersolution of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ in $Q_{T}$ ] iff:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u[\text { resp. } \hat{u}] \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\left[\text { resp. } \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t}\right] \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta_{p} u-h(x, u)=0 \text { in } Q_{T}\left[\text { resp. } A \hat{u} \geq 0 \text { in } Q_{T}\right] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the distribution sense)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(., 0)=u_{0}\left[\text { resp. } \hat{u}(., 0) \geq u_{0}\right] \text { in } \Omega . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ [resp. $\left.\hat{u} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right]$ has a spatially bounded support in $Q_{T}[$ resp. has a bounded support in $\Omega]$ iff there exists $R>0$ such that:

$$
\text { Supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}_{R} \times[0, T]\left(\text { resp. Supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}_{R}\right)
$$

Let $\hat{u}$ be a supersolution of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ in $Q_{T}$ for any $T>0$. We say that $\hat{u}$ is a uniform supersolution with spatially bounded support iff there exists $R_{2}>0$ and $M>0$ both independent on $T$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Supp } \hat{u} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{R_{2}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
& \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=M(T) \leq M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Supersolutions are very useful in our problem owing to the following comparison principle.

Theorem 0. [5] Suppose that $f$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2), that $u_{0}$ and $\hat{u}_{0}$ satisfy (1.3) and that $u$ and $\hat{u}$ satisfy (1.4) and (1.5). If

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(, 0)= & u_{0} \leq \hat{u}_{0}=\hat{u}(., 0) \text { in } \Omega \\
& u \leq \hat{u} \text { in } S_{T} \\
& A u \leq A \hat{u} \text { in } \Omega \text { (in the distribution sense). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $u \leq \hat{u}$ in $Q_{T}$.
1.2. Main results. First we give some sufficient conditions for existence of supersolutions of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 1. Let $1<p<2$ be given.
If $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ has a bounded support and if there exists $\lambda>0, \mu \geq$ $0, \sigma>0, R_{0}>0$ and $\left.\gamma_{0}, \gamma \in\right] 0, p-1[$ such that:
(i) For any $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{R_{0}}$ and any $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: f(x, u) \leq \mu+\lambda u^{\gamma_{0}}$.
(ii) For any $x \in \Omega,|x|>R_{0}$ and for any $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, f(x, u) \leq-\sigma u^{\gamma}$.

Then $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a nonnegative uniform supersolution with spatiolly bounded support.

Theorem 2. (Existence) Let $1<p<2, T>0$ and $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega), u_{0} \geq 0$ be given. Suppose that $h$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2) and that $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ admits a nonnegative supersolution $\hat{u}$ with spatially bounded support in $Q_{T}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a unique solution $u$ in $Q_{T}$ satisfying:

$$
0 \leq u \leq \hat{u} \text { in } Q_{T}
$$

Remark 1. Theorem 2 extends some of Nakao's results [12] when $\Omega$ is unbounded and generalizes Diaz-Herrero's results [3] in the case when $h$ may be nonmonotone.

When $h(x, u)=|u|^{\gamma-1} u$, by use of Theorem 1, we can find again Tsutsumi's results [18].

Corollary. (Semi-group property) If the hypothesis of Theorem 2 are satisfied, $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ generates a continuous semi-group on $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3. (Regularizing effects) Let $1<p<2, u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be given.

Suppose that $h$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2) and that $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a nonnegative uniform supersolution $\hat{u}$ with spatially bounded support. Then for any $\left.t_{0} \in\right] 0,1[$, the solution $u$ of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following regularity estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p<2 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists some $\sigma: 0<\sigma<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; B_{\infty}^{1+\sigma_{,}, p}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{\infty}^{1+\sigma, p}(\Omega)$ is a Besov space [16] defined by the real interpolation method.
Let $u$ be the solution of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, we define the $\omega$-limit set by:

$$
\omega\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \mid \exists t_{n} \rightarrow \infty: u\left(., t_{n}\right) \rightarrow w \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of nonnegative solutions $w$ of the elliptic problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} w=h(x, w) \text { in } \Omega \\
w=0 \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 4. (Stabilization) Let $\frac{2 N}{N+2}<p<2, u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), u_{0} \geq$ 0 be given. Suppose that $h$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2) and that $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a nonnegative uniform supersolutions $\hat{u}$ with spatially bounded support. Then $\omega\left(u_{0}\right) \neq \phi$ and $\omega\left(u_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Remark 2. In some cases [4], $[10],[11] \mathcal{E}$ contains at least one nontrivial element $w$; if in addition we can construct some subsolution $\underline{u} \not \equiv 0, \underline{u} \geq 0$ of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ (see [5, corollary of Theorem 4 for sufficient conditions]), then $\omega\left(u_{0}\right)=$ $\{w\}$ and $\lim u(., t)=w$.
1.3. Examples. Theorems 2,3 and 4 apply to the following examples:

1) $\Omega$ is a nonnecessarily bounded set and

$$
h(x, u)=g(x)\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{\frac{7}{2}}
$$

where $0<\gamma<p-1$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies:

$$
g(x) \leq-\sigma<0 \text { for any } x \in \Omega,|x|>R_{0}>0
$$

(Apply Theorem I).
2) $\Omega$ is a bounded set and

$$
h(x, u)=g(x)|u|^{\gamma-1} u
$$

where $\gamma \geq \mathrm{I},(\gamma+1)(N-p)<N p, g \in C(\bar{\Omega}),\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}=\sigma$ and $u_{0} \leq w, w \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ being a nontrivial solution of the equation [17]

$$
-\Delta_{p} w=\sigma|w|^{\gamma-1} w \operatorname{in} \Omega .
$$

3) $\Omega$ is a bounded set and $h \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ is any function such that $h(x, 0)=$ $0, u \rightarrow h(x, u)$ is a non increasing function and $h(x, u) \leq 0$ for $u \geq M>0$.
4) $\Omega$ is a bounded set, $0 \leq u_{0} \leq 1, a \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies $0 \leq a(x) \leq 1$ and

$$
h(x, u)=u(1-u)(u-a(x))
$$

## 2. Proofs of the main results

2.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1: Let $M_{0}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, let $R_{0}^{\prime}$ be such that supp $u_{0} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{R_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $R=\max \left(R_{0}, R_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Define $\hat{u}$ by $\hat{u}(x, t)=\varphi(r)$ where $r=|x|$ and:

$$
\varphi(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a r^{p^{*}}+b \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq R \\
\alpha r+\beta \text { for } R<r \leq R_{1} \\
K\left(R_{2}-r\right)^{m} \text { for } R_{1}<\tau \leq R_{2} \\
0 \text { for } r>R_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $m=\frac{p}{p-1-\gamma}>1$.
As in [5] straithforward considerations enable us to choose the constants $a, b, \alpha, \beta, K, R_{1}, R_{2}$ so that $\hat{u}$ be a uniform supersolutions of $P(\Omega)$ in $Q_{T}$ is for any $T>0$. Whence Theorem 1 is proved.
2.2. Proof of Theorem 2: Let $T>0$ be given and consider $R>0$ such that

$$
\text { Supp } \tilde{u} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{R} \times[0, T] \text {. }
$$

Let $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ be bounded regular open sets such that:

$$
\Omega \cap \bar{\Omega}_{R} \subset \omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega \cap \bar{\omega}^{\prime} \subset \omega \subset \Omega
$$

Note $q_{T}=\omega \times[0, T]$ and $S_{T}=\partial \omega \times[0, T]$.
It is well known (see for instance [8]) that there exists a sequence $h_{\varepsilon} \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{\varepsilon} \searrow h \text { uniformly as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \text { and for any } \varepsilon>0 \\
\frac{\partial h_{\epsilon}}{\partial u}(x, u) \leq K_{M(T)}, h_{\varepsilon}(x, 0) \geq 0 \\
h_{\varepsilon}(x, u)=0 \text { if } u \geq 3 m(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

on the other hand, let $\left(u_{0^{\kappa}}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}(\omega), 0 \leq u_{0^{c}} \leq M(T)$, be such that $u_{0_{e}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $W_{0}^{1,2}(\omega)$.

From [7, pp. 457-459], for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is a unique classical solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\bar{q}_{T}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{2,1}\left(q_{T}\right)$ of:

$$
P_{\varepsilon}(\omega)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{\varepsilon} u_{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}-\Delta_{p}^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}-h_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0 \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{2.1}\\
u_{\varepsilon}(x, t)=0 \text { in } s T \\
u_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=u_{0^{e}}(x) \text { in } \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{p}^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}=\operatorname{div} F_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right), F_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)=\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}$.
Remark 3. Hereafter $C(M(T))$ stands for any constant which depends only on $M(T)$. In the case when $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a nonnegative uniform supersolution, $C(M(T))$ does not depend on $T$.

We have the following:
Lemma 1. There exists $C(M(T))$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in] 0,1[$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(q_{T}\right)} \leq C(M(T)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\omega)\right)} \leq C(M(T))  \tag{2.5}\\
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(q_{T}\right)} \leq C(M(T)) . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: 0 and $3 M(T)$ are respectively subsolutions and supersolutions of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(\omega)$; hence by Theorem 0 , we have:

$$
0 \leq u_{\varepsilon} \leq 3 M(T) \text { in } q_{T} \text { whence (2.4) }
$$

By the properties of $h_{\varepsilon}$ we have that $h_{\varepsilon}\left(., u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $q_{T}$. This implies that $H_{\varepsilon}$ defined by $H_{\varepsilon}(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} h_{\varepsilon}(x, v) d v$ satisfies

$$
\left|H_{\varepsilon}\left(., u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq C(M(T))
$$

whence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\int_{q_{\tau}} h_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} d x=\int_{\omega}\left[H_{\varepsilon}\left(., u_{\varepsilon}(., r)\right)-H_{\varepsilon}(., 0)\right)\right] d x \leq C(M(T)) \\
& \forall \tau: 0<\tau<T
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $\frac{\partial u_{c}}{\partial t}$ and integrating on $q_{\tau}$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{q_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(., r)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{p / 2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{I}{p} \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(., 0)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{p / 2} d x+C(M(T))
\end{aligned}
$$

By Holder inequality, $u_{\varepsilon}(., 0)$ converging to $u(., 0)$ we get

$$
\int_{w}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(., 0)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{p / 2} d x \leq C(M(T))
$$

whence (2.5) and (2.6) hold.

Lemma 2. $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ has a unique solution $u$ satisfying:

$$
0 \leq u \leq \hat{u} \text { in } q_{T}
$$

Moreover $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$.
Proof: By (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), there is a subsequence denoted again by $u_{\varepsilon}$ which converges to $u$ in weak $* L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\omega)\right)$ and in weak $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$ such that $\frac{\partial u_{c}}{\partial t}$ converges to $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ in weak $L^{2}\left(q_{T}\right)$ and $\Delta_{p}^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $\chi$ in $L^{p^{*}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{*}}(\omega)\right)$.

Moreover, multiplying (2.1) by $u_{\varepsilon}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\varepsilon} \equiv \int_{q_{T}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{2.7}\\
&=\int_{q_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} h_{\varepsilon}\left(., u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(., 0) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(., T) d x
\end{align*}
$$

$u_{\epsilon} h_{\varepsilon}$ being bounded, the same argument that [9, p. 160] shows that $u_{\varepsilon}(., T)$ converges to $u(,, T)$ in weak $L^{2}(\omega)$ and therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}-\int_{\omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(., T) \leq-\int_{\omega} u^{2}(., T) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by lemma $I, u_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in the space:

$$
W=\left\{v \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\omega)\right) ; \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{p}\left(q_{T}\right)\right\}
$$

and by $[9$, p. 58$], u_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $u$ in strong $L^{p}\left(q_{T}\right)$. By (2.7), (2.8) and the use of the dominated convergence theorem we obtain;

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\varepsilon} \leq \int_{q_{T}} u h(., u) d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} u_{0}^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} u^{2}(., T) d x=\int_{0}^{T}\langle-\chi, u\rangle
$$

By standard monotonicity argument [9, p. 160], $\chi=\Delta_{p} u$; so $u$ is a solution of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ satisfying $0 \leq u \leq \hat{u}$ and we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } E_{\varepsilon} \leq \int_{q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x d t . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any $m>0$, we define:

$$
q_{T, m}=\left\{(x, t) \in q_{T}:\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x, t)\right|^{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{m}\right\}
$$

we get:

$$
\int_{q_{T, m}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x d t \leq(1+m)^{\frac{2-\rho}{2}} \int_{q_{T . m}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

whence:

$$
\int_{q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x d t \leq\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{m}\right)^{p} \text { meas }\left(q_{T}\right)+(1+m)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} E_{\varepsilon}
$$

with (2.9), we therefore obtain for any $m>0$ :

$$
\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } \int_{q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x d t \leq(1+m)^{\frac{2-\bar{p}}{2}} \int_{q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x d t
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{x}^{p} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{x}^{p} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\omega)\right)$ is an uniformly convex space. So (2.10) and weak convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ to $u$ imply strong convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ to $u$ in $X$.

End of proof of Theorem 2: The supersolution $\hat{u}$ vanishes in $\left(\omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{R}\right) \times[0, T]$ and, by lemma $2, u$ has the same property; so we can extend $u$ by 0 out of $\omega$ and we get a unique solution of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ notes also by $u$ and satisfying:

$$
0 \leq u \leq \hat{u} \text { in } q_{T} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 3: Straightforward calculations give:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)= \\
& \quad\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}+(p-2)\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence:
(2.11) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}=\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-z}{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+$
$(p-2)\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \geq(p-1)\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}$.
On the other hand, by formal derivation of (2.1) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{\epsilon}}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\epsilon}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.12) by $\frac{\partial u z_{t}}{\partial t}$ and integrating, we get with (2.11):

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial t}(., t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+(p-1) \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} & \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq K \int_{\omega}\left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore by (2.6), there exists $\left.t_{\epsilon} \in\right] 0$, $t_{0}[$ such that:

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\left(., t_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}=\frac{1}{t_{0}} \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}(., t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} d t \leq C \leq+\infty .
$$

Integrating (2.13) on $\left[t_{\varepsilon}, T\right]$ we get with (2.6) and remark 3:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}(., T)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+(p-1) \int_{t_{0}}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{v^{2}}{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad \leq K \int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\left(,, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} \leq C<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

From lemma 2 we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \nabla u \text { a.e. on } q_{T} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.14) we obtain for any $T>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}(,, T)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} \leq C<+\infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{L^{2}}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\mid t_{0}, T\right) \times \omega\right)} \leq C<+\infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.16) we get:

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(., T)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} \leq C<+\infty \text { for any } T \geq t_{0} .
$$

Thus by (2.6) and remark 3 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\omega)\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore by (2.15) and (2.17) we have:

$$
\left\||\nabla u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u\right\|_{L^{2}([t 0, T] \times \omega)} \leq C<+\infty \text { for any } T>0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{\frac{k_{\overline{2}}^{2}}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; L^{2}(\omega)\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (1.9) and (1.10) hold respectively by (2.18) and (2.19), because $u$ vanishes on $(\Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}) \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

On the other hand, by (1.11) there is some $\sigma^{\prime}, 0<\sigma^{\prime}<1$, such that

$$
L^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{-\sigma^{\prime}, p^{*}}(\Omega)
$$

Simon's regularity results [17] concerning the equation:

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=h(x, u)-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}\left(t_{0},+\infty ; B_{\infty}^{-\sigma^{\prime}, p^{*}}(\Omega)\right)
$$

then give for any $t$ :

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{B_{\infty}^{1+\left\langle 1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)(1-p\rangle^{2} . p}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|h(., u)-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(., t)\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-\sigma^{\prime} \cdot p^{*}}}+C^{\prime}
$$

where $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ do not depend on $t$; whence (1.12) holds.
Remark 4. The compactness of the embedding

$$
B_{\infty}^{1+\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}\right)(1-p)^{2}, p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

ensures the compactness of the orbit

$$
\omega\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{w \in w_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) / \exists t_{n} \rightarrow \infty: u\left(., t_{n}\right) \rightarrow w \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4 and its corollary:
a) $\omega\left(u_{0}\right) \neq \phi$ because supp $u \subset \omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $B_{\infty}^{1+\sigma, p}(\omega)$ is compactly imbedded in $W^{1, p}(\omega)$.
b) Let $\omega=\lim _{n+\infty} u\left(., t_{n}\right) \in \omega\left(u_{0}\right)$, we get $w \in \mathcal{E}$.

The proof of this, as well as the proof of corollary is the same as in [5] and is omited.

## 3. Justification of the formal proof in section 2

Let $\left(w_{j}\right)$ be a basis of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ consisting of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$-functions. For $\varepsilon>0$ given, we seek a sequence of functions $u_{m}$ such that

$$
u_{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j} \text { and } u_{m} \longrightarrow u_{\epsilon} \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

The $g_{j m}(t)$ being solutions of the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$
(S)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+a_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{m}(t), w_{j}\right)=\left(h_{\varepsilon}\left(,, u_{m}(t)\right), w_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq m  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{m}(0)=u_{0 m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where: $(, .$.$) is the canonical inner product in L^{2}(\Omega)$

$$
u_{0 m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j m} w_{j} \longrightarrow u_{0 \varepsilon} \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

and $a_{\varepsilon}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon}(\nabla u) . \nabla v d x$ for any $u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
We shall use the following notations:

* For $q \in \mathbb{N}, \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{q}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{q}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi \cdot \eta & =\sum_{j=1}^{q} \xi_{j} \eta_{j} \\
\text { and }|\xi| & =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

* For any matrix $\mathcal{U}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}(m, N)$

$$
\|\mathcal{U}\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

* $G_{m}(t)=\left(g_{1 m}(t), \ldots, g_{m m}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in[0, T]$.
* For any $x \in \Omega$ :
$w(x)=\left(w_{1}(x), \ldots, w_{m}(x)\right)$ and $W(x)$ is the matrix $: W(x)=\left(\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$
* $B$ is the Gram matrix of the system $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$.
* For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{j}(\xi) & =\int_{\Omega} F_{\xi}(W(x) \xi) \cdot \nabla w_{j}(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m \\
\text { and } \varphi & =\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right) \\
\Psi_{j}(\xi) & =\int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon}(x, w(x) \cdot \xi) w_{j}(x) d x \\
\text { and } \Psi & =\left(\Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With these relations we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}(x, t) & =w(x) \cdot G_{m}(t) \text { and } \\
\nabla u_{m}(x, t) & =W(x) \cdot G_{m}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we go back to $(S)$. Since $B$ is inversible, we can write $(S)$ in the form:

$$
\left(S^{\prime}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d G_{m}}{d t}=\phi\left(G_{m}(t)\right) \\
G_{m}(0)=\alpha_{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi(\xi)=B^{-1}[\Psi(\xi)-\varphi(\xi)]$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\alpha_{m}=\left(\alpha_{1 m}, \ldots, \alpha_{m m}\right)$.
We shall prove that $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ admits a unique solution $G_{m}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{2}\left(0, T ; \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right)$. We begin by the following:

Lemma 3. Suppose that the hypothesis (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied and that $\Omega$ bounded.

Then $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ admits a unique solution on $] 0, T[$.
Proof: Let $F_{j}(x, \xi)=\left(|W(x) \xi|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} W(x) \xi \cdot \nabla w_{j}(x)$ and $\hat{h}_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)=$ $h_{\xi}(x, w(x) . \xi)$ for any $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{R}^{m}$.
$F_{j}$ and $\hat{h}_{\epsilon}$ are locally lipschitz with respect to $\xi$. Thus $\phi$ satisfies the same property. This ensures the existence of $G_{m}$ on an interval $] 0, t_{m}[$. The estimates that follows enable us to have in fact $t_{m}=T$. Multiply (3.1) by $g_{j m}(t)$; after adding from $j=1$ to $j=m$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{R-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{3.3}\\
&=\int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon}\left(., u_{m}\right) \cdot u_{m} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h_{\epsilon}(x, u) \leq K u_{\epsilon}+C_{0}$, where $C_{0}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} h_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)$, the left hand side of (3.3) is bounded by

$$
\left(K+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{2} C_{0} \text { meas }(\Omega)
$$

Whence, by Gronwall's lemma, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{i} L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C(M(T)) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, multiplying (3.1) by $g_{j m}^{\prime}$ and adding from $j=1$ to $j=m$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}(T)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{p / 2} d x \leq \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{m}(0)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{p / 2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[H_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{m}(T)\right)-H_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{m}(0)\right)\right] d x \leq C\left(M_{1}\right)
$$

where $H_{\varepsilon}(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} h_{\varepsilon}(x, v) d v$.
Whence we obtain the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C(M) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce:

$$
u_{m} \in \mathcal{C}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)
$$

Therefore, by classical theory of ordinary differential equations, see for example [1], we get $t_{m}=T$.

Now we have the main result of this section:

## Theorem 5.

$$
G_{m} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{B}^{m}\right)
$$

Proof: By classical theory of ordinary differential equations it suffices to show that $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

For any $x \in \Omega$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{j}(x, .) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}\right) \\
\text { and } \frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial \xi_{h}}(x, \xi)=\left(|W(x) \xi|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla w_{h} \cdot \nabla w_{j}+(p-2) . \\
\left(|W(x) \xi|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left(\sum_{k} \xi_{k} \nabla w_{k} \cdot \nabla w_{h}\right)\left(\sum_{k} \xi_{k} \nabla w_{k} \cdot \nabla w_{j}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

It's straithforward that $\left|\nabla w_{j}\right| \leq\|W(x)\|$ for any $j: 1 \leq j \leq m$; therefore using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get:
(3.8)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial \xi_{k}}\right| \leq\left(|W(x) \xi|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\|W(x)\|^{2}+(2-p)\left(|W(x) \xi|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p^{2-4}}{2}}|W(x) \xi|^{2}\|W(x)\|^{2} \\
\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\|W(x)\|^{2}+(2-p) \epsilon^{\frac{p-4}{2}}|\xi|^{2}\|W(x)\|^{4}
\end{array}
$$

From (3.8) and Lebesgue's theorem, we obtain:

$$
\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial \xi_{h}}(., \xi) \in L^{1}(\Omega) \text { for any } h: 1 \leq h \leq m \text { and any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

By the same way we get that $\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial \xi_{h}}$ exists and is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ whence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand let $h_{\varepsilon}^{j}(x, \xi)=h_{\varepsilon}(x, w(x), \xi) w_{j}(x)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{j}(\xi)=\int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon}^{i}(x, \xi) d x \\
\text { and } h_{\varepsilon}^{j}(x, .) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}\right) \text { for any } x \in \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore:

$$
\left|\frac{\partial h_{\epsilon}^{j}}{\partial \xi_{h}}(x, \xi)\right|=\left|\frac{\partial h_{\varepsilon}}{\partial u}(x, w(x) \cdot \xi) \cdot w_{h}(x) w_{j}(x)\right| \leq K\left|w_{h}(x)\right|\left|w_{j}(x)\right|
$$

Thus: $\frac{\partial h_{\varepsilon}^{j}}{\partial \xi_{h}}(., \xi) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ for any $h, j: 1 \leq h, j \leq m$ and any $\xi \in \mathbb{B}^{m}$.
Once again, by Lebesgue's continuity and derivability theorems, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we get $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. The proof of theorem 5 is now complete.
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