

## A NOTE ON SUPERSOLUBLE MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS AND THETA-PAIRS

JAMES C. BEIDLEMAN AND HOWARD SMITH

### Abstract

---

A  $\theta$ -pair for a maximal subgroup  $M$  of a group  $G$  is a pair  $(A, B)$  of subgroups such that  $B$  is a maximal  $G$ -invariant subgroup of  $A$  with  $B$  but not  $A$  contained in  $M$ .  $\theta$ -pairs are considered here in some groups having supersoluble maximal subgroups.

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of the group  $G$ . An ordered pair  $(A, B)$  of subgroups of  $G$  is called a  $\theta$ -pair for  $M$  if  $B$  is a  $G$ -invariant subgroup of  $A$  such that (i)  $B \leq M$  but  $A \not\leq M$  and (ii)  $A/B$  contains properly no nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G/B$ .

The set of all  $\theta$ -pairs for  $M$  is denoted by  $\theta(M)$  (see [3]). A partial order is defined on  $\theta(M)$  by means of  $(A, B) \leq (C, D)$  if and only if  $A \leq C$ . In this case  $B \leq D$  also. It is then clear what is meant by saying that  $(A, B)$  is a maximal  $\theta$ -pair for  $M$ . If  $(A, B)$  is in  $\theta(M)$  and  $A \triangleleft G$  then  $A/B$  is a chief factor of  $G$ .

This brief note is concerned with  $\theta$ -pairs in relation to the property of supersolubility. Our principal result is Theorem 1, which bears some relation to Theorem 1 of [1]. It will be seen that Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2. The concepts and results found here can be found in [4].

Let  $\text{Fit}(G)$  denote the Fitting subgroup of the group  $G$ . The main results presented here are as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group with a supersoluble maximal subgroup  $M$  and suppose that  $\text{Fit}(G) \cap M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\text{Fit}(G)$ . Then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $M$  a supersoluble maximal subgroup of  $G$  not containing  $\text{Fit}(G)$ . If  $\theta(M)$  has a maximal pair  $(A, B)$  such that  $A/B$  is cyclic and  $A$  is subnormal in  $G$  then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

An argument similar to that employed in proving Theorem 2 allows us to establish the following result (the proof of which is omitted).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $M$  a supersoluble maximal subgroup not containing  $\text{Fit}(G)$ . If  $A/B$  is cyclic for each maximal pair  $(A, B)$  in  $\theta(M)$  then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

## 2. Proofs

We require two preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $M$  a maximal subgroup of finite index in  $G$ . Let  $(A, B)$  be a maximal  $\theta$ -pair for  $M$ . Then, given any  $G$ -invariant subgroup  $N$  of finite index in  $M$ , there exists a maximal  $\theta$ -pair  $(C/N, D/N)$  for  $M/N$  such that  $C/D$  is isomorphic to a normal section of  $A/B$ . Further, if  $A$  is subnormal in  $G$  then  $C$  may be chosen subnormal in  $G$ .*

*Proof:* If  $N \leq B$  then  $(A/N, B/N)$  is a maximal member of  $\theta(M/N)$  and there is nothing to prove. Suppose that  $N$  is not contained in  $B$ . Then  $N$  is not contained in  $A$ , otherwise  $A = BN \leq M$ , a contradiction. Let  $K$  be the normal core of  $AN \cap M$  in  $G$ . Then  $BN \leq K$ . Since  $A < AN$  and  $(A, B)$  is maximal,  $(AN, K)$  is not in  $\theta(M)$ . Let  $H/K$  be a minimal  $G$ -invariant subgroup of (the finite group)  $AN/K$ . Then  $(H, K)$  belongs to  $\theta(M)$  and is contained in some maximal member  $(C, D)$  of  $\theta(M)$ . Now  $C = HD$  is normal in  $G$  and  $C/D = HD/D \cong H/H \cap D$ , an image of  $H/K$ , which is, in turn, normal in the image  $AN/K$  of  $A/B$ . Finally,  $(C/N, D/N)$  is a maximal member of  $\theta(M/N)$ . Note that  $C = A$  in the case where  $N \leq B$ , while if  $N \not\leq B$  then  $C \triangleleft G$ . ■

Note that some of the ideas in the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3] are used to establish Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $M$  a polycyclic maximal subgroup of  $G$  not containing  $\text{Fit}(G)$ . Then  $G$  is polycyclic.*

*Proof:* Let  $N$  be a nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G$  not contained in  $M$ . Then  $G = MN$  and so  $G$  is soluble. We may assume  $M$  is core-free in  $G$ . Then  $G$  is a soluble primitive group and it is known that  $G$  has a unique non-trivial abelian normal subgroup  $A$  which satisfies  $G = MA$ ,  $A \cap M = 1$  and  $A = C_G(A)$ . Thus  $A$  is a simple  $ZM$ -module and, by a result of Roseblende [5, p. 308],  $A$  is finite. Therefore,  $G$  is polycyclic. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2:* By Lemma 2,  $G$  is polycyclic and so, by a theorem of Baer [6, 11.11], it suffices to prove that every finite image  $G/N$  of  $G$  is supersoluble. Clearly we may assume that  $N \leq M$  and hence, by Lemma 1, that  $G$  is finite. Suppose that  $G$  is not supersoluble and let  $T$  be a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemma 1 and an obvious induction,  $G/T$  is supersoluble. Thus  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $W$  and  $G/W$  is supersoluble. If  $\phi(G) \neq 1$  then  $W \leq \phi(G)$  and  $G$  is supersoluble, by a result of Huppert [4, 9.4.5]. Thus  $\phi(G) = 1$  and  $\text{Fit}(G) = W$ , by a result of Gaschütz [4, 5.2.15]. Since  $W \not\leq M$  we see that  $M_G = 1$  and hence  $B = 1$  and  $A$  is cyclic and subnormal in  $G$ . Thus  $A \leq W$ . Certainly  $(W, 1)$  belongs to  $\theta(M)$  and so, by maximality,  $A = W$ . Thus  $G$  is supersoluble and we have the required contradiction. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1:* By Lemma 2,  $G$  is polycyclic and so, by a result of Hirsch [4, 5.4.19],  $\phi(G) \leq \text{Fit}(G)$  and  $\text{Fit}(G)/\phi(G) = \text{Fit}(G/\phi(G))$ . Hence, by a result of Lennox [2], we may assume that  $\phi(G) = 1$ . Since  $G$  is polycyclic,  $F = \text{Fit}(G)$  is nilpotent ([4, p. 129]) and consequently every maximal subgroup of  $F$  is normal and of prime index in  $F$ . Therefore,  $F$  is abelian and hence  $F \cap M$  is normal in  $G$  and of prime index in  $F$ . It follows that  $(F, F \cap M) \in \theta(M)$ . Let  $(A, B)$  be a maximal member of  $\theta(M)$  containing  $(F, F \cap M)$ . Then either  $FB = A$  or  $B \leq F = A$ . In either case,  $A/B$  is cyclic and  $A$  is normal in  $G$ . By Theorem 2,  $G$  is supersoluble. ■

## References

1. J. C. BEIDLEMAN AND H. SMITH, On supersolubility in some groups with finitely generated Fitting radical, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), 319–324.
2. J. C. LENNOX, A supersolubility criterion for finitely generated hyper (abelian-by-finite) groups, *Arch. Math.* **24** (1973), 247–248.
3. N. P. MUKHERJEE AND PRABIR BHATTACHARYA, On theta pairs for a maximal subgroup, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), 589–596.
4. D. J. S. ROBINSON, “A course in the theory of groups,” Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
5. J. E. ROSEBLADE, Group rings of polycyclic groups, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **3** (1973), 307–328.

6. B. A. F WEHRFRITZ, "*Infinite linear groups*," Springer Verlag, New York, 1973.

James C. Beidleman:  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington KY 40506  
U.S.A.

Howard Smith:  
Department of Mathematics  
Bucknell University  
Lewisburg PA 17837  
U.S.A.

Rebut el 24 de Febrer de 1992