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#### Abstract

Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\chi=\chi_{1} \chi_{2}$ a Dirichlet character with $\chi_{i}$ primitive modulo $M_{i}$. Suppose that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are primes such that $\max \left\{(M|t|)^{1 / 3+2 \delta / 3}, M^{2 / 5}|t|^{-9 / 20}, M^{1 / 2+2 \delta}|t|^{-3 / 4+2 \delta}\right\}(M|t|)^{\varepsilon}<M_{1}<\min \left\{(M|t|)^{2 / 5}\right.$, $\left.(M|t|)^{1 / 2-8 \delta}\right\}(M|t|)^{-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, where $M=M_{1} M_{2},|t| \geq 1$, and $0<\delta<1 / 52$. Then we have $$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon}(M|t|)^{3 / 4-\delta+\varepsilon} .
$$
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ with normalized Fourier coefficients $\lambda\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ such that $\lambda(1,1)=1$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive

Dirichlet character modulo $M$. The $L$-function attached to the twisted form $\pi \otimes \chi$ is given by the Dirichlet series

$$
L(s, \pi \otimes \chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) \chi(n) n^{-s}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$, which can be continued to an entire function with a functional equation of arithmetic conductor $M^{3}$. Thus by the PhragmenLindelöf principle one derives the convexity bound $L(1 / 2+i t, \pi \otimes \chi)<_{\pi, \varepsilon}$ $(M(1+|t|))^{3 / 4+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary. The important challenge for us is to prove a sub-convexity bound which improves the convexity bound by providing a smaller exponent. There has been great progress for the sub-convexity problem of $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi)$ in the works $[\mathbf{1}]$, [5], and [12]-[16] (also see $[\mathbf{7}],[\mathbf{9}]$, and $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ for the $t$-aspect sub-convexity for $L(s, \pi)$ ). In [1], Blomer established the bound

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{\pi, t, \varepsilon} M^{3 / 4-1 / 8+\varepsilon}
$$

for $\pi$ self-dual and $\chi$ a quadratic character modulo prime $M$. This was extended by Huang in [5], where by combining the methods in [1] and [7], he showed that

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right)<_{\pi, \varepsilon}(M(1+|t|))^{3 / 4-1 / 46+\varepsilon}
$$

for the same form $\pi \otimes \chi$ as in [1]. For general $G L(3)$ Hecke-Maass cusp forms, the sub-convexity results have recently been established in several cases by Munshi in a series of papers [13]-[16]. In the $t$-aspect, Munshi proved in $[\mathbf{1 4}]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi\right)<_{\pi, \varepsilon}(1+|t|)^{3 / 4-1 / 16+\varepsilon} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\chi$ a primitive Dirichlet character modulo prime $M$, he proved in [15], [16] that

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \ll \pi, \varepsilon M^{3 / 4-1 / 308+\varepsilon} .
$$

For $\chi=\chi_{1} \chi_{2}$ a Dirichlet character with $\chi_{i}$ primitive modulo prime $M_{i}$ such that $\sqrt{M_{2}} M^{4 \vartheta}<M_{1}<M_{1} M^{-3 \vartheta}$, he showed in [13] that

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \chi\right)<_{\pi, \varepsilon} M^{3 / 4-\vartheta+\varepsilon},
$$

where $M=M_{1} M_{2}$ and $0<\vartheta<1 / 28$.
In this paper we want to extend some results by Munshi in [13] and $[\mathbf{1 4}]$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\chi=$ $\chi_{1} \chi_{2}$ a Dirichlet character with $\chi_{i}$ primitive modulo $M_{i}$. Suppose that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are primes such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{(M|t|)^{1 / 3+2 \delta / 3}, M^{2 / 5}|t|^{-9 / 20}\right. & \left., M^{1 / 2+2 \delta}|t|^{-3 / 4+2 \delta}\right\}(M|t|)^{\varepsilon}<M_{1} \\
< & \min \left\{(M|t|)^{2 / 5},(M|t|)^{1 / 2-8 \delta}\right\}(M|t|)^{-\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, where $M=M_{1} M_{2},|t| \geq 1$, and $0<\delta<1 / 52$. Then we have

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \lll \pi, \varepsilon(M|t|)^{3 / 4-\delta+\varepsilon} .
$$

We also have a result which can be compared with (1.1).
Theorem 2. Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\chi=$ $\chi_{1} \chi_{2}$ a Dirichlet character with $\chi_{i}$ primitive modulo $M_{i}$. Suppose that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are primes such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{M^{3 / 8-2 \delta / 3}|t|^{3 / 8}, M^{2 / 5}|t|^{-9 / 20}, M^{5 / 8-2 \delta}|t|^{-5 / 8}\right\}(M|t|)^{\varepsilon}<M_{1} \\
&<\min \left\{(M|t|)^{2 / 5}, M^{8 \delta}\right\}(M|t|)^{-\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, where $M=M_{1} M_{2},|t| \geq 1$, and $0<\delta \leq 1 / 16$. Then we have

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right)<_{\pi, \varepsilon} M^{\delta}(M|t|)^{3 / 4-1 / 16+\varepsilon}
$$

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 2 give us a sub-convexity bound for $L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\right.$ it, $\pi \otimes \chi$ ) for $M$ and $t$ in some range. For example, if $|t|>M^{1 / 5}$ and $(M|t|)^{1 / 3+2 \delta / 3+\varepsilon}<M_{1}<(M|t|)^{2 / 5-\varepsilon}$ with $0<\delta \leq 1 / 80$, then we have

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \lll \pi, \varepsilon(M|t|)^{3 / 4-\delta+\varepsilon} .
$$

If $|t|>M^{1 / 4}$ and $(M|t|)^{3 / 8+\varepsilon} M^{-2 \delta / 3}<M_{1}<M^{8 \delta-\varepsilon}$ with $0<\delta \leq 1 / 16$, then we have

$$
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \ll \pi, \varepsilon M^{\delta}(M|t|)^{3 / 4-1 / 16+\varepsilon} .
$$

To prove Theorems 1 and 2, we will use the same method as in [13] and [14]. Suppose that $t \geq 1$. Then by the approximate functional equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t, \pi \otimes \chi\right) \ll \pi, \varepsilon(M t)^{\varepsilon} \sup _{N \leq(M t)^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}} \frac{|\mathcal{S}(N)|}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{S}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) \chi(n) n^{-i t} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)
$$

for some smooth function $V$ supported in [1,2], normalized such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(v) \mathrm{d} v=1$ and satisfying $V^{(\ell)}(x) \ll_{\ell} 1$. Note that, by the Cauchy's inequality and the Rankin-Selberg estimate $\sum_{n \leq x}|\lambda(1, n)|^{2}<_{\pi} x$ (see [11]), we have the trivial bound $\mathcal{S}(N)<_{\pi, \varepsilon} \bar{N}$. Thus Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2) is true for $N \ll(M t)^{3 / 2-2 \delta}$ (resp. $N \ll(M t)^{11 / 8} M^{2 \delta}$ ). In the following, we will estimate $\mathcal{S}(N)$ in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M t)^{3 / 2-2 \delta}<N \leq(M t)^{3 / 2+\varepsilon} \quad\left(\text { resp. }(M t)^{11 / 8} M^{2 \delta}<N \leq(M t)^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first step is to separate the Fourier coefficients $\lambda(1, n)$ and $\chi(n) n^{-i t}$. Let $\delta(n)$ be equal to 1 if $n=0$ and 0 otherwise. Like in [13] and [14] we apply Kloosterman's version of the circle method, which states that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(n)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a q} e\left(\frac{n \bar{a}}{q}-\frac{n \zeta}{a q}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, throughout the paper, $e(z)=e^{2 \pi i z}$ and $\bar{a}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $a$ modulo $q$.

To construct a conductor lowering system to take care of both the $t$-aspect and the $M$-aspect, we introduce a parameter $K$ satisfying $(M t)^{\varepsilon}<$ $K<t$ and write

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathcal{S}(N)=\frac{1}{K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V & V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\
M_{1} \mid n-m}} \chi(m) m^{-i t} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \delta\left(\frac{n-m}{M_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{i v} \mathrm{~d} v,
\end{array}
$$

where $U$ is a smooth function supported in $[1 / 2,5 / 2], U(x)=1$ for $x \in[1,2]$, and $U^{(\ell)}(x) \ll_{\ell} 1$. Applying (1.4) and choosing

$$
Q=\sqrt{\frac{N}{K M_{1}}}
$$

we get

$$
\mathcal{S}(N)=\mathcal{S}^{+}(N)+\mathcal{S}^{-}(N)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}^{ \pm}(N)=\frac{1}{K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\
M_{1} \mid n-m}} \chi(m) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a q} e\left( \pm \frac{\bar{a}(n-m)}{q M_{1}} \mp \frac{(n-m) \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest of the paper we will estimate $\mathcal{S}^{+}(N)$ (and the same analysis holds for $\left.\mathcal{S}^{-}(N)\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}^{b}(N)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}(N)$ the contribution to $\mathcal{S}^{+}(N)$ from $M_{1} \mid q$ and $\left(M_{1}, q\right)=1$, respectively. Then Theorems 1 and 2 follow from (1.2), (1.3), and the following propositions:
Proposition 1. Assume $K<\min \left\{t, N M_{1} / M^{2}\right\}(M t)^{-\varepsilon}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{b}}(N) \ll N \sqrt{M t} / M_{1}^{3 / 2} .
$$

Proposition 2. Assume $(M t)^{6 / 5} /\left(N M_{1}\right)^{3 / 5} \leq K<\min \left\{t,(M t)^{2} / N M_{1}\right.$, $\left.N M_{1} / M^{2}\right\}(M t)^{-\varepsilon}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}(N) \ll \begin{cases}N^{5 / 8}(M t)^{1 / 2} & \text { if }(M t)^{24 / 17} M_{1}^{8 / 17}<N \leq(M t)^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}, \\ N^{1 / 5}(M t)^{11 / 10} M_{1}^{1 / 5} & \text { if } N \leq(M t)^{24 / 17} M_{1}^{8 / 17}\end{cases}
$$

For our purpose we choose the optimal $K$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\max \left\{\frac{N^{1 / 4}}{M_{1}}, \frac{(M t)^{6 / 5}}{\left(N M_{1}\right)^{3 / 5}}\right\} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Propositions 1 and 2 will be proved by summation formulas of Voronoi's type and stationary phase method, which are listed in Section 2.

Remark 2. With $K$ as in (1.5), one sees that the assumptions for $K$ in Propositions 1 and 2 are fulfilled if $M_{1}$ is in the range of Theorem 1 or Theorem 2.

Remark 3. In the appendix of [13], Munshi showed that Kloosterman's circle method with suitable conductor lowering mechanism also works for $\chi$ with a prime power modulus. For hybrid bounds in the $t$ and the $M$ aspects, we will study this in a separate paper.

Notation. Throughout the paper, the letters $q, m$, and $n$, with or without subscript, denote integers. The letter $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrarily small positive constant, not necessarily the same at different occurrences. The symbol $<_{a, b, c}$ denotes that the implied constant depends at most on $a, b$, and $c$. The symbols $q \sim C$ and $q \asymp C$ mean that $C<q \leq 2 C$ and $c_{1} C \leq q \leq c_{2} C$ for some absolute constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, respectively. Finally, fractional numbers such as $\frac{a b}{c d}$ will be written as $a b / c d$, and $a / b+c$ or $c+a / b$ mean $\frac{a}{b}+c$.

## 2. Voronoi formula and stationary phase method

2.1. $G L(3)$ cusp forms and Voronoi formula. Let $\pi$ be a HeckeMaass cusp form of type $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$ for $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$, which has a FourierWhittaker expansion (see [3]) with Fourier coefficients $\lambda\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, nor-
malized so that $\lambda(1,1)=1$. By Rankin-Selberg theory, the Fourier coefficients $\lambda\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n_{1}^{2} n_{2} \leq x} \sum_{x}\left|\lambda\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\pi, \varepsilon} x^{1+\varepsilon} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the Langlands parameters by

$$
\mu_{1}=-\nu_{1}-2 \nu_{2}+1, \quad \mu_{2}=-\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=2 \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}-1 .
$$

The generalized Ramanujan conjecture asserts that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{j}\right)=0,1 \leq j \leq$ 3, while the current record bound due to Luo, Rudnick, and Sarnak [8] is $\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2-1 / 10,1 \leq j \leq 3$. For $\ell=0,1$ we define

$$
\gamma_{\ell}(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{3(s+1 / 2)}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\left(1+s+\mu_{j}+\ell\right) / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(\left(-s-\mu_{j}+\ell\right) / 2\right)}
$$

and set $\gamma_{ \pm}(s)=\gamma_{0}(s) \mp i \gamma_{1}(s)$. Then for $\sigma \geq-1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{ \pm}(\sigma+i \tau) \ll \pi, \sigma(1+|\tau|)^{3(\sigma+1 / 2)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $|\tau| \gg(M t)^{\varepsilon}$, we can apply Stirling's formula to get (see [14])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right)=\left(\frac{|\tau|}{e \pi}\right)^{3 i \tau} \Psi_{ \pm}(\tau), \quad \text { where } \quad \Psi_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\tau) \ll \frac{1}{|\tau|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi(x)$ be a smooth function compactly supported on $(0, \infty)$ and denote by $\widetilde{\phi}(s)$ the Mellin transform of $\phi(x)$. Let

$$
\Phi_{\phi}^{ \pm}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} x^{-s} \gamma_{ \pm}(s) \widetilde{\phi}(-s) \mathrm{d} s,
$$

where $\sigma>\max _{1 \leq j \leq 3}\left\{-1-\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}$. Then we have the following Voronoi-type formula (see [4], [10]):

Lemma 1. Suppose that $\phi(x) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(0, \infty)$. Let $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \geq 1$, $(a, q)=1$, and $a \bar{a} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$. Then
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{a n}{q}\right) \phi(n)=q \sum_{ \pm} \sum_{n_{1} \mid q} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)}{n_{1} n_{2}} S\left(\bar{a}, \pm n_{2} ; \frac{q}{n_{1}}\right) \Phi_{\phi}^{ \pm}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{q^{3}}\right)$,
where $S(m, n ; c)$ is the classical Kloosterman sum.
2.2. Exponential integral and stationary phase method. Here we collect relevant results from $[\mathbf{2}],[\mathbf{6}],[\mathbf{1 4}]$, and $[\mathbf{1 8}]$ that will be used to estimate some exponential integrals in this paper. First we need the stationary phase estimates from [6] which will be used to derive asymptotic expansion of the exponential integral

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{a}^{b} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v
$$

where $f, g$ are smooth real valued functions and $\operatorname{Supp}(g) \subset[a, b]$. The following result can be found in Huxley [6].

Lemma 2. Assume that $\Theta_{f}, \Omega_{f} \gg b-a$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(i)}(v) \ll \Theta_{f} \Omega_{f}^{-i}, \quad g^{(j)}(v) \ll \Omega_{g}^{-j} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=2,3$ and $j=0,1,2$.
(1) Suppose $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ do not vanish in $[a, b]$. Let $\Lambda=\min _{[a, b]}\left|f^{\prime}(v)\right|$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{I} \ll \frac{\Theta_{f}}{\Omega_{f}^{2} \Lambda^{3}}\left(1+\frac{\Omega_{f}}{\Omega_{g}}+\frac{\Omega_{f}^{2}}{\Omega_{g}^{2}} \frac{\Lambda}{\Theta_{f} / \Omega_{f}}\right) .
$$

(2) Suppose $f^{\prime}$ changes sign from negative to positive at the unique point $v_{0} \in(a, b)$. Let $\kappa=\min \left\{b-v_{0}, v_{0}-a\right\}$. Further, suppose (2.4) holds for $i=4$ and

$$
f^{(2)}(v) \gg \Theta_{f} / \Omega_{f}^{2}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{I}=\frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}}+O\left(\frac{\Omega_{f}^{4}}{\Theta_{f}^{2} \kappa^{3}}+\frac{\Omega_{f}}{\Theta_{f}^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\Omega_{f}^{3}}{\Theta_{f}^{3 / 2} \Omega_{g}^{2}}\right) .
$$

For the special exponential integral

$$
U^{\dagger}(r, s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} U(x) e(-r x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

where $U$ is a smooth real valued function with $\operatorname{Supp}(U) \subset[a, b] \subset(0, \infty)$, we quote the following result from $[\mathbf{1 4}]$ which is derived from Lemma 2.
Lemma 3. Suppose $U^{(j)}(x)<_{a, b, j} 1$. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s=\sigma+i \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{\dagger}(r, s)= & \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} e(1 / 8)}{\sqrt{-\beta}} U\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi r}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi r}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi e r}\right)^{i \beta}  \tag{2.5}\\
& +O\left(\min \left\{|\beta|^{-3 / 2},|r|^{-3 / 2}\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the implied constant depends only on $a, b$, and $\sigma$. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\dagger}(r, s) \ll_{a, b, \sigma, j} \min \left\{\left(\frac{1+|\beta|}{|r|}\right)^{j},\left(\frac{1+|r|}{|\beta|}\right)^{j}\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In applications, the $O$-term in (2.5) is not essential. For our purpose, we will also use the following more precise asymptotic expansion to simplify computations (see [2, Proposition 8.2]). For a proof, see also [18].

Lemma 4. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s=\sigma+i \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x_{0}=\beta /(2 \pi r) \in$ $[a / 2,2 b]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{\dagger}(r, s)= & \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} e(1 / 8)}{\sqrt{-\beta}} U^{*}\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi r}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi r}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi e r}\right)^{i \beta}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +O\left(\min \left\{|\beta|^{-5 / 2},|r|^{-5 / 2}\right\}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $U^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{1-\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{5} p_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and

$$
p_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{i}{2 h^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{n} G^{(2 n)}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Here $h(x)=-2 \pi r x+\beta \log x, G(x)=U(x) x^{\sigma-1} e^{i H(x)}$, and

$$
H(x)=h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2!} h^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} .
$$

Moreover, $G^{(2 n)}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $\left.\left(U(x) x^{\sigma-1}\right)^{\left(\ell_{0}\right)}\right|_{x=x_{0}} H^{\left(\ell_{1}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdots H^{\left(\ell_{i}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $\ell_{0}+\ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{i}=2 n$, so that $U^{*(\ell)}\left(x_{0}\right)<_{\sigma, a, b, \ell} 1$.

## 3. Estimating $\mathcal{S}^{b}(N)$

Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{b}(N)=\frac{1}{K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} & \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q / M_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q M_{1}+Q \\
\left(a, q M_{1}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{a q M_{1}} e\left(\frac{\bar{a} n}{q M_{1}^{2}}-\frac{n \zeta}{a q M_{1}^{2}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\
M_{1} \mid n-m}} \chi(m) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a} m}{q M_{1}^{2}}+\frac{m \zeta}{a q M_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Poisson summation formula with modulus $q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}$ on the sum over $m$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\
M_{1} \mid n-m}} \chi(m) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a} m}{q M_{1}^{2}}+\frac{m \zeta}{a q M_{1}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{N^{1-i(t+v)}}{q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{E}(a, m, q) U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}}, 1-i(t+v)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U^{\dagger}(r, s)$ is defined in Section 2 and

$$
\mathscr{E}(a, m, q)=\sum_{\substack{c \bmod q M_{1}^{2} M_{2} \\ c \equiv n \bmod M_{1}}} \chi(c) e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c}{q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}}\right)
$$

Lemma 5. Let $q=q_{0} M_{1}^{j} M_{2}^{k},\left(q_{0}, M_{1} M_{2}\right)=1$ with $j, k \geq 0$. We have

$$
\mathscr{E}(a, m, q)=\varepsilon_{2} q M_{1} \sqrt{M_{2}} \chi_{1}\left(q_{0} M_{2}^{k+1} n\right) \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1} \overline{m^{*}}\right) e\left(m^{*} M_{2}^{k} n / M_{1}\right)
$$

if $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q M_{1}$, and is zero otherwise. Here $\varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{M_{2}}$ is the value of the Gauss sum corresponding to the character $\chi_{2}$, and

$$
m^{*}=\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) / M_{1}^{j+1} M_{2}^{k} .
$$

In particular, we have $a \equiv \bar{m} M_{2} \bmod q M_{1}$ if $k=0$. If $k \geq 1$, we have $M_{2} \mid m$ and $a \equiv \overline{\left(m / M_{2}\right)} \bmod q M_{1} / M_{2}$.

Proof: We have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathscr{E}(a, m, q)=\sum_{c_{1} \bmod q_{0}} & e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c_{1}}{q_{0}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{c_{2} \bmod M_{1}^{j+2} \\
c_{2} \equiv n \bmod M_{1}}} \chi_{1}\left(q_{0} M_{2}^{k+1} c_{2}\right) e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c_{2}}{M_{1}^{j+2}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{c_{3} \bmod M_{2}^{k+1}} \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1}^{j+2} c_{3}\right) e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c_{3}}{M_{2}^{k+1}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where the first sum vanishes unless $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q_{0}$, in which case it is $q_{0}$. The second sum vanishes unless $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod M_{1}^{j+1}$, in which case it equals

$$
\chi_{1}\left(q_{0} M_{2}^{k+1} n\right) e\left(\frac{m^{*} M_{2}^{k} n}{M_{1}}\right) M_{1}^{j+1}
$$

where $m^{*}=\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) / M_{1}^{j+1} M_{2}^{k}$. Finally, the last sum equals

$$
\varepsilon_{2} \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1}\right) \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m^{*}\right) M_{2}^{k} \sqrt{M_{2}}
$$

if $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod M_{2}^{k}$, and is zero otherwise, where $\varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{M_{2}}$ is the value of the Gauss sum corresponding to the character $\chi_{2}$.

Note that, if $m=0$ we have $k \geq 1$ and $\left(m, q M_{1}\right)=M_{2}$. Then

$$
\frac{N\left|0-\zeta M_{2}\right|}{a q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}} \leq \frac{N}{Q M_{2} M_{1}^{2}}<(M t)^{-\varepsilon} t
$$

For $|m| \geq 1$, we have (recall $a>Q$ )

$$
\frac{N\left|m a-\zeta M_{2}\right|}{a q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}} \asymp \frac{N|m|}{q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}} .
$$

Applying (2.6) one sees that the contribution from $m=0$ and $|m| \geq$ $q M_{1}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N$ is negligibly small. For smaller nonzero $m$, by the second derivative bound for the exponential integral, we have

$$
U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M_{1}^{2} M_{2}}, 1-i(t+v)\right) \ll t^{-1 / 2}
$$

Therefore, using (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{b}(N) & \ll \frac{N}{M_{1} \sqrt{M_{2} t}} \sum_{n \leq 2 N}|\lambda(1, n)| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q / M_{1} \\
\left(q, M_{2}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{Q q M_{1}} \frac{q M_{1}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon}}{N} \\
& +\frac{N}{M_{1} \sqrt{M_{2} t}} \sum_{n \leq 2 N}|\lambda(1, n)| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q / M_{1} \\
M_{2} \mid q}} \frac{M_{2}}{Q q M_{1}} \frac{q M_{1}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon}}{N} \\
& \ll N \sqrt{M t} / M_{1}^{3 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

## 4. Estimating $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}(\boldsymbol{N})$-I

First we detect the congruence $m \equiv n \bmod M_{1}$ using exponential sums to get (recall $M_{1}$ is a prime)

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}(N)=\mathcal{S}_{0}(N)+\mathcal{S}_{1}(N),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{0}(N)=\frac{1}{K M_{1}} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q \\
\left(q, M_{1}\right)=1}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a q} \\
& \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{\overline{a M_{1}} n}{q}\right) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{n \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(m) e\left(\frac{-\overline{a M_{1}} m}{q}\right) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{m \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{1}(N)= & \frac{1}{K M_{1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq Q \\
\left(q, M_{1}\right)=1}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \sum_{b \bmod M_{1}}^{*} \frac{1}{a q} \\
(4.1) & \quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right) n}{q M_{1}}\right) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{n \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(m) e\left(\frac{-\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right) m}{q M_{1}}\right) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{m \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta,
\end{align*}
$$

where the $*$ denotes the condition $\left(b, M_{1}\right)=1$. In the rest of the paper, we will estimate $\mathcal{S}_{1}(N)$. The analysis for $\mathcal{S}_{0}(N)$ is similar, and following the proof for $\mathcal{S}_{1}(N)$, one can see that it is smaller.

Applying Poisson summation with modulus $q M_{1} M_{2}=q M$ on the sum over $m$ in (4.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(m) e\left(\frac{-\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right) m}{q M_{1}}\right) m^{-i(t+v)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{m \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \\
\quad=\frac{N^{1-i(t+v)}}{q M} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{D}(a, b, m, q) U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M}, 1-i(t+v)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{D}(a, b, m, q)=\sum_{c \bmod q M} \chi(c) e\left(\frac{c m}{q M}-\frac{c\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right)}{q M_{1}}\right) .
$$

Lemma 6. Let $q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k},\left(q_{0}, M_{1} M_{2}\right)=1$ with $k \geq 0$. We have

$$
\mathscr{D}(a, b, m, q)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} q \sqrt{M} \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1}\right) \overline{\chi_{1}}\left(\overline{q M_{2}} m-b\right) \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right)
$$

if $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q$, and is zero otherwise. Here, $\varepsilon_{i} \sqrt{M_{i}}$ is the value of the Gauss sum corresponding to the character $\chi_{i}$ and $m_{0}=\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) / M_{2}^{k}$. In particular, we have $a \equiv \bar{m} M_{2}$ if $k=0$. If $k \geq 1$, we have $M_{2} \mid m$ and $a \equiv \overline{\left(m / M_{2}\right)} \bmod q / M_{2}$.

Proof: Note that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathscr{D}(a, b, m, q)=\sum_{c_{1} \bmod q_{0}} & e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c_{1}}{q_{0}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{c_{2} \bmod M_{2}^{k+1}} \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1} c_{2}\right) e\left(\frac{\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) c_{2}}{M_{2}^{k+1}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{c_{3} \bmod M_{1}} \chi_{1}\left(q_{0} M_{2}^{k+1} c_{3}\right) e\left(\frac{\left(\overline{q M_{2}} m-b\right) q_{0} M_{2}^{k+1} c_{3}}{M_{1}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where the first sum vanishes unless $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q_{0}$, in which case it is $q_{0}$. The second sum equals $\varepsilon_{2} \chi_{2}\left(q_{0} M_{1}\right) \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) M_{2}^{k} \sqrt{M_{2}}$ with $m_{0}=$ $\left(m-M_{2} \bar{a}\right) / M_{2}^{k}$ if $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod M_{2}^{k}$, and is zero otherwise. Here $\varepsilon_{i} \sqrt{M_{i}}$ is the value of the Gauss sum corresponding to the character $\chi_{i}$. Thus the lemma follows.

As before, by Lemma 6 and (2.6), one sees that the contribution from $m=0$ and $|m| \geq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N$ is negligibly small. For $1 \leq|m|<$ $q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N$, we have $N /(M t)^{1+\varepsilon}<q \leq Q$. Taking a dyadic subdivision for the sum over $q$ and denoting $C / 2<q \leq C$ by $q \sim C$, we have the following:

Lemma 7. Suppose $K<\min \left\{t, N M_{1} / M^{2}\right\}(M t)^{-\varepsilon}$. We have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}(N)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \chi_{2}\left(M_{1}\right) N^{-i t} \sum_{\substack{N /(M t)^{1+\varepsilon}<C \leq Q \\ C \text { dyadic }}} \mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C)+O\left((M t)^{-1000}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C)= \frac{N}{K M_{1} \sqrt{M}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{v}{K}\right) N^{-i v} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \times \sum_{b \bmod M_{1}}^{*} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{1}}\left(\overline{q M_{2}} m-b\right) \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M}, 1-i(t+v)\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right) n}{q M_{1}}\right) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{n \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the $G L(3)$ Voronoi formula in Lemma 1 with $\phi(y)=$ $y^{i v} V(y / N) e\left(-\zeta y / a q M_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{\left(\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q\right) n}{q M_{1}}\right) n^{i v} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{n \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \\
=q M_{1} N^{i v} \sum_{ \pm} \sum_{n_{1} \mid q M_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)}{n_{1} n_{2}} S\left(\overline{\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q}, \pm n_{2} ; \frac{q M_{1}}{n_{1}}\right) \\
\times \mathcal{J}_{ \pm}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}, \frac{\zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{J}_{ \pm}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(\sigma)}(N x)^{-s} \gamma_{ \pm}(s) V^{\dagger}(N y,-s+i v) \mathrm{d} s
$$

By (2.6),

$$
V^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\zeta N}{a q M_{1}},-s+i v\right)<_{j} \min \left\{1,\left(\frac{1}{q|v-\tau|} \sqrt{\frac{N K}{M_{1}}}\right)^{j}\right\}
$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Then shifting the contour to $\sigma=\ell$ (a large positive integer) and taking $j=3 \ell+3$ (in view of (2.2)) one has

$$
\mathcal{J}_{ \pm}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}, \frac{\zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right) \ll\left(\frac{1}{q} \sqrt{\frac{N K}{M_{1}}}\right)^{5 / 2}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{N^{1 / 2} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}}\right)^{-\ell}
$$

Thus the contribution from $n_{1}^{2} n_{2} \geq N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}$ is negligible. For $n_{1}^{2} n_{2}<N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}$ we shift the contour to $\sigma=-1 / 2$, and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{ \pm}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}, \frac{\zeta}{a q M_{1}}\right)=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{J}} & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{N n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2-i \tau} \gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right) \\
& \times V^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}, \frac{1}{2}+i(v-\tau)\right) W_{J}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+O\left((M t)^{-1000}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where as in [14], $\mathscr{J}$ is a collection of $O(\log (M t))$ many real numbers in the interval $\left[-(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}},(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}\right]$, and $W_{J}$ is a smooth partition of unity such that, for $J=0$, the function $W_{0}(x)$ is supported in $[-1,1]$ and satisfies $W_{0}^{(\ell)}(x) \lll 1$, for each $J>0$ (resp. $J<$ 0 ), the function $W_{J}(x)$ is supported in $[J, 4 J / 3]$ (resp. [4J/3, J]) and satisfies $y^{\ell} W_{J}^{(\ell)}(x) \ll_{\ell} 1$ for all $\ell \geq 0$, and finally

$$
\sum_{J \in \mathscr{J}} W_{J}(x)=1, \quad \text { for } \quad x \in\left[-\frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{C} \sqrt{\frac{N K}{M_{1}}}, \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{C} \sqrt{\frac{N K}{M_{1}}}\right] .
$$

We conclude with the following:
Lemma 8. Let $K$ be as in Lemma 7. We have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq L<N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} \\ L \text { dyadic }}} \sum_{K_{1}^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{J}} \mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C, L, J, \pm)+O\left((M t)^{-100}\right),
$$

where
$\mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C, L, J, \pm)=\frac{N^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{M M_{1}^{3}}} \sum_{n_{1}^{2} n_{2} \sim L} \frac{\lambda\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q M_{1}}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a}$

$$
\times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\ m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, m, a, q\right)=\sum_{b \bmod M_{1}}^{*} \overline{\chi_{1}}\left(\overline{q M_{2}} m-b\right) S\left(\overline{\overline{a M_{1}} M_{1}+b q}, n_{2} ; \frac{q M_{1}}{n_{1}}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}(q, m, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{N y}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{-i \tau} \gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right) \mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau) W_{J}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} & V(v) V^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}, \frac{1}{2}+i(K v-\tau)\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& \times U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M}, 1-i(t+K v)\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta
\end{array}
$$

Let $n=n_{1}^{\prime} l,\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, M_{1}\right)=1$, and $l \mid M_{1}$. Since $M_{1}$ is a prime, we have $l=M_{1}$ or 1 . For $l=M_{1}$, by Weil's bound for Klooertman sums $\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}^{\prime} M_{1}\right.$, $\left.n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \ll\left(q / n_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Trivially, we have $\mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{\prime 2} M_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right) \ll$ $C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1} t}$. Thus the contribution from $l=M_{1}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(N, C, L, J, \pm)$ is at most $N^{3 / 4} K^{7 / 4}(M t)^{1 / 2} M_{1}^{-5 / 4}$, which is admissible by the range of $M_{1}$. For $l=1$, we will need extra cancellation from the character sum $\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, m, a, q\right)$ and the integral $\mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{\prime 2} M_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right)$. Then, the rest of the paper is devoted to estimating

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}(N, C, L, J, \pm)=\frac{N^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{M M_{1}^{3}}} \sum_{n_{1}^{2} n_{2} \sim L} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M_{2}\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\lambda\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}}^{\sum_{\substack{3 / 2 \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a}} \begin{array}{l}
(4.5) \\
\times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right) .
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. A decomposition of the integral $\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)$

The aim of this section is to give a decomposition of $\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)$ for $|\tau| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}$. Since we are working on both the variables $M$ and $t$, we need more precise estimates than those used by Munshi.
5.1. Stationary phase expansion for $\boldsymbol{U}^{\dagger}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}^{\dagger}$. Applying (2.7) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N\left(m a-\zeta M_{2}\right)}{a q M}, 1-i(t+K v)\right)=\frac{e(1 / 8)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{a q M \sqrt{t+K v}}{N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)} \\
& \quad \times U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right)\left(\frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi e N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right)^{-i(t+K v)}+O\left(t^{-5 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{\dagger}\left(\frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}, \frac{1}{2}+i(K v-\tau)\right)= \frac{e(1 / 8)}{\sqrt{\tau-K v}} \\
& \times V\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi N \zeta}\right)\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{N \zeta}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi e N \zeta}\right)^{i(K v-\tau)} \\
&+O\left(\min \left\{|K v-\tau|^{-3 / 2},\left(\frac{N \zeta}{q Q M_{1}}\right)^{-3 / 2}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging the above asymptotic expansions into (4.4) we obtain $\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)=c_{1} M_{2}\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N}\right)^{3 / 2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{1} V(v) \frac{\sqrt{t+K v}}{\zeta^{1 / 2}\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)} U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi e N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right)^{-i(t+K v)} V\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi N \zeta}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi e N \zeta}\right)^{i(K v-\tau)} \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta+O\left(t^{-5 / 2}+E^{* *}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{1}$, where

$$
E^{* *}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{1}^{2} \min \left\{|K v-\tau|^{-3 / 2},\left(\frac{N \zeta}{q Q M_{1}}\right)^{-3 / 2}\right\} \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} \zeta
$$

To estimate the error term $E^{* *}$, we split the integral over $v$ into two pieces: $|K v-\tau|<N \zeta / a q M_{1}$ and $|K v-\tau| \geq N \zeta / a q M_{1}$ as in [14] to get

$$
E^{* *} \ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{t^{1 / 2} K^{3 / 2}} \min \left\{1, \frac{10 K}{|\tau|}\right\}
$$

We also note that, by our choice $K$ in (1.5) and $|\tau| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}$, we have

$$
t^{-5 / 2} \ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{t^{1 / 2} K^{3 / 2}} \min \left\{1, \frac{10 K}{|\tau|}\right\} .
$$

5.2. Stationary phase expansion for the $\boldsymbol{v}$-integral. Now we will study the integral over $v$ in (5.1). Note that the weight function restricts the $v$-integral to a range of length $(M t)^{\varepsilon} N \zeta / a q K M_{1}$. Thus, for $\zeta<K^{-1}$ we can bound the integral over $v$ trivially to get the bound $(M t)^{\varepsilon} t^{-1 / 2} K^{-5 / 2}\left(N / a q M_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Denote by $\mathcal{I}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)$ the integral in (5.1). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)= & c_{1}\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v \frac{\mathrm{~d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \\
& +O\left(\frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{t^{1 / 2} K^{5 / 2}}\left(\frac{N}{q Q M_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(v)=\frac{a q M \sqrt{t(t+K v)}}{N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)} U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right) V\left(\frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi N \zeta}\right) V(v)
$$

and

$$
f(v)=-\frac{t+K v}{2 \pi} \log \frac{(t+K v) a q M}{2 \pi e N\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}+\frac{K v-\tau}{2 \pi} \log \frac{(K v-\tau) a q M_{1}}{2 \pi e N \zeta}
$$

By explicit computations,

$$
f^{\prime}(v)=\frac{K}{2 \pi} \log \frac{(K v-\tau)\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}{(t+K v) \zeta M_{2}}
$$

and for $j \geq 2$,

$$
f^{(j)}(v)=\frac{(-1)^{j}(j-2)!}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{K^{j}}{(K v-\tau)^{j-1}}-\frac{K^{j}}{(K v+t)^{j-1}}\right) .
$$

The stationary phase is given by

$$
v_{0}=\frac{(t+\tau) M_{2} \zeta-\tau m a}{-K m a} .
$$

In the support of the integral, we have

$$
g^{(j)}(v) \ll\left(1+\frac{a q K M_{1}}{N \zeta}\right)^{j}, \quad j \geq 0
$$

and by the range of $K$,

$$
f^{(j)}(v) \asymp \frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}\left(\frac{a q K M_{1}}{N \zeta}\right)^{j}, \quad j \geq 2 .
$$

Moreover, if $v_{0} \notin[0.5,3]$, then in the support of the integral we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(v) & =\frac{K}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+\frac{K\left(v_{0}-v\right)}{t+K v}\right)-\frac{K}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+\frac{K\left(v_{0}-v\right)}{K v-\tau}\right) \\
& \asymp K \log \left(1+\frac{K\left(v_{0}-v\right)}{K v-\tau}\right) \gg K \min \left\{1, \frac{a q K M_{1}}{N \zeta}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the lower bound of $f^{\prime}(v)$, we distinguish two cases.
Case a. $N \zeta / a q K M_{1} \geq 1$. If $v_{0} \notin[0.5,3]$, then the length of the integral is $b-a=1$. Applying Lemma 2(1) with

$$
\Theta_{f}=\frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}, \quad \Omega_{f}=\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}}, \quad \Omega_{g}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda=\frac{a q K^{2} M_{1}}{N \zeta}
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v \ll \frac{1}{K^{2}}\left(\frac{N}{q Q K M_{1}}\right)^{3} .
$$

If $v_{0} \in[0.5,3]$, then treating the integral as a finite integral over the range $[0.1,4]$ and applying Lemma $2(2)$, it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v=\frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}}+O\left(\left(\frac{N}{q Q K^{2} M_{1}}\right)^{3 / 2}\right) .
$$

Thus, for $K$ as in (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} 1_{\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}} \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v \frac{\mathrm{~d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \\
=\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} 1_{\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}} \geq 1} \frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}}  \tag{5.3}\\
+O\left(\frac{N}{q Q K^{3} M_{1} \sqrt{t}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $1_{S}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $S$.
Case b. $N \zeta / a q K M_{1}<1$. In this case $[a, b]=\left[\tau / K-2 \pi N \zeta / a q K M_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau / K+4 \pi N \zeta / a q K M_{1}\right]$ and we apply Lemma 2 with

$$
\Theta_{f}=\frac{N \zeta}{a q M_{1}}, \quad \Omega_{f}=\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}}, \quad \Omega_{g}=\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda=K .
$$

If $v_{0} \notin[a, b]$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v \ll \frac{1}{K^{2} \Omega_{f}} .
$$

If $v_{0} \in[a, b]$, treating the integral as a finite integral over $[\tau / K-$ $\left.3 \pi N \zeta / a q K M_{1}, \tau / K+5 \pi N \zeta / a q K M_{1}\right]$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v=\frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}}+O\left(\frac{1}{K^{2} \Omega_{f}}+\frac{1}{K^{3 / 2} \Omega_{f}^{1 / 2}}\right) .
$$

Recall that $\zeta>K^{-1}$. We have $\Omega_{f}>K^{-1}$ and the $O$-term above is at most $K^{-1} \sqrt{a q M_{1} / N \zeta}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} 1_{\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}}<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(v) e(f(v)) \mathrm{d} v \frac{\mathrm{~d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} 1_{\frac{N \zeta}{a q K M_{1}}<1} \frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}}+O\left(\frac{q Q M_{1}}{K N \sqrt{t}}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the $O$-terms in (5.2) and (5.4) are dominated by the $O$-term in (5.3). By (5.2)-(5.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)= & c_{1}\left(\frac{a q M_{1}}{N t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} \frac{g\left(v_{0}\right) e\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)+1 / 8\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta}}  \tag{5.5}\\
& +O\left(\frac{N}{q Q K^{3} M_{1} \sqrt{t}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we compute the main term. We have

$$
f\left(v_{0}\right)=-\frac{t+\tau}{2 \pi} \log \left(\frac{-(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right), \quad f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)=\frac{(K m a)^{2}}{2 \pi(t+\tau)\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right) \zeta M_{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(v_{0}\right)=\frac{a q M}{N} & \left(\frac{-t(t+\tau)}{m a\left(\zeta M_{2}-m a\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} V\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) \\
& \times U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) V\left(\frac{\tau}{K}-\frac{(t+\tau) M_{2} \zeta}{K m a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging these into (5.5) we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{I}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)=c_{2} \frac{t+\tau}{K}\left(\frac{q M}{-m N}\right)^{3 / 2} V\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) \\
\times\left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)^{-i(t+\tau)} \int_{K^{-1}}^{1} V\left(\frac{\tau}{K}-\frac{(t+\tau) M_{2} \zeta}{K m a}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
+O\left(\frac{N}{q Q K^{3} M_{1} \sqrt{t}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{2}$. Extending the integral to the interval $[0,1]$ at a cost of an error term dominated by the $O$-term in (5.1), we conclude the following:

Lemma 9. We have

$$
\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)=\mathcal{J}_{1}(q, m, \tau)+\mathcal{J}_{2}(q, m, \tau)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{1}(q, m, \tau)=\frac{c_{3}}{K \sqrt{t+\tau}} & \left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)^{3 / 2-i(t+\tau)} V\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right)  \tag{5.6}\\
\times & U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{\tau}{K}-\frac{(t+\tau) M_{2} \zeta}{K m a}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{2}(q, m, \tau)=\mathcal{J}^{* *}(q, m, \tau)-\mathcal{J}_{1}(q, m, \tau)=O\left(\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)(M t)^{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)=\frac{1}{t^{1 / 2} K^{3 / 2}} \min \left\{1, \frac{10 K}{|\tau|}\right\}+\frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t^{1 / 2} K^{5 / 2} M_{1}^{1 / 2} C} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Estimating $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}(\boldsymbol{N})$-II

Denote by $\mathcal{J}_{\ell, J, \pm}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1, \ell}(N, C, L, J, \pm)$ the contribution of $\mathcal{J}_{\ell}(q, m, \tau)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{J, \pm}^{*}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right)$ in (4.3) and $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}(N, C, L, J, \pm)$ in (4.5), respectively.
6.1. Estimating $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{J}, \pm)$. By the Cauchy inequality and the Rankin-Selberg estimate in $(2.1), \mathcal{S}_{1,1}(N, C, L, J, \pm)$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{M M_{1}^{3}}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \log C} \sum_{n_{1}^{2} n_{2} \sim L} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\left|\lambda\left(n_{2}, n_{1}\right)\right|}{\sqrt{n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}}^{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi 2}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right) \mid  \tag{6.1}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\frac{N^{3} L}{M_{1}^{3} M}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \log C} \sqrt{\mathcal{T}(k)},
\end{align*}
$$

where, temporarily,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(k)= & \left.\sum_{n_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}} \frac{1}{n_{2}} W\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{L}\right)\right|_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \times\left.\sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $m_{0}$ defined in Lemma 6 and $W$ a smooth function supported on $[1 / 2,3]$, which equals 1 on $[1,2]$ and satisfies $W^{(\ell)}(x)<_{\ell} 1$. Opening the absolute square and interchanging the order of summations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}(k)= \sum_{\substack{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}}} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon / N} \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \frac{\overline{\chi_{2}}\left(q_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{q^{\prime 3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a^{\prime} \leq q^{\prime}+Q \\
\left(a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{\bar{a}^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m^{\prime} \equiv M_{2}\left(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bmod q^{\prime}\right.}} \chi_{2}\left(m_{0}^{\prime}\right) T^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{*}=\sum_{n_{2}} \frac{1}{n_{2}} W\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{L}\right) \mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}\left(q, m, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right) \overline{\mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n_{1}^{2} n_{2}\right)} \\
& \times \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \overline{\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $\widehat{q}=q / n_{1}$. Then $\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, m, a, q\right)$ in (4.2) is

$$
\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, m, a, q\right)=\chi_{1}(q) S\left(a \overline{M_{1}}, n_{2} \overline{M_{1}} ; \widehat{q}\right) \sum_{b \bmod M_{1}}^{*} \overline{\chi_{1}}\left(m \overline{M_{2}}-b\right) S\left(\overline{b \widehat{q}}, n_{2} \overline{\widehat{q}} ; M_{1}\right)
$$

Applying Poisson summation formula with modulus $\widehat{q q^{\prime}} M_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}} \sum_{n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)=\sum_{c \bmod \widehat{q} \widehat{q^{\prime}} M_{1}} \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, c, m, a, q\right) \overline{\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, c, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)} e\left(\frac{n_{2} c}{\widehat{q}{q^{\prime}}^{\prime} M_{1}}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(6.5) $\quad \mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} W(y) \mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}(q, m, L y) \overline{\mathcal{J}_{1, J, \pm}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, L y\right)} e\left(-\frac{n_{2} L y}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{y}$.

Lemma 10. We have $\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ is arbitrarily small unless

$$
\left|n_{2}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C \sqrt{N K M_{1}} / L \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right),
$$

where $B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{1 / 2} C} & \text { if } n_{2}=0 \\ \frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2}\left(\left|n_{2}\right| L\right)^{1 / 2}} & \text { if } n_{2} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

The following estimate for the character sum $\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ was proved in [14] by using Deligne's bound.

Lemma 11. For $n_{2} \neq 0$ we have

$$
\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \ll \widehat{q} \widehat{q^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{q}, \widehat{q^{\prime}}, n_{2}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, n_{2}, m \widehat{q}^{2}-m^{\prime}{\widehat{q^{\prime}}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and for $n_{2}=0$ the sum vanishes unless $\widehat{q}=\widehat{q^{\prime}}$ (i.e., $q=q^{\prime}$ ) in which case

$$
\mathscr{C}^{*}(0) \ll \widehat{q}^{2} R_{\widehat{q}}\left(a-a^{\prime}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, m-m^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $R_{c}(u)=\sum_{\gamma \bmod c}^{*} e(u \gamma / c)$ is the Ramanujan sum.

By (6.2), (6.3), and Lemma 10, we have, up to an arbitrarily small error term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}(k) \ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{M_{1} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a^{\prime} \leq q^{\prime}+Q \\
\left(a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{a^{\prime}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N\left|n_{2}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C \sqrt{N K M_{1} / L} \\
m^{\prime} \equiv M_{2} \overline{a^{\prime}} \bmod q^{\prime}}}\left|\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)\right| B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, for $\left(q, M_{2}\right)=1$ the condition $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q$ implies that $a \equiv \bar{m} M_{2} \bmod q$. By Lemmas 10 and 11, the contribution from $k=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{M_{1} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q \sim C \\(q, M)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\ a \equiv M_{2} \bar{m} \bmod 1}} \frac{1}{a} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime} \sim C \\\left(q^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon / N}}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a^{\prime} \leq q^{\prime}+Q \\ a^{\prime} \equiv M_{2} \bar{m}^{\prime} \bmod q^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{a^{\prime}} \sum_{\left|n_{2}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C \sqrt{N K M_{1} / L}}\left|\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)\right| B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{Q^{2} M_{1} C^{5}} \frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{1 / 2} C} \sum_{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q \sim C \\(q, M)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon / N}}}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N} \widehat{q}^{2}\left(m-m^{\prime}, \widehat{q}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, m-m^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
+\frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{Q^{2} M_{1} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q \sim C \\(q, M)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime} \sim C \\\left(q^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N}} \sum_{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{1 \leq\left|n_{2}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C \sqrt{N K M_{1} / L}} \widehat{q} \widehat{q^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{q}, \widehat{q^{\prime}}, n_{2}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, n_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2}\left(\left|n_{2}\right| L\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& \ll \frac{M_{1}^{5 / 2} M^{2} t}{N^{5 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}+\frac{M_{1}^{2} M^{2} t}{N^{3 / 2} K L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, for $k \geq 1$ the condition $m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q$ implies that $M_{2} \mid m$ and $a \equiv \overline{\left(m / M_{2}\right)} \bmod q / M_{2}$. Thus

$$
\sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\ m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \frac{1}{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{M_{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{Q+i q / M_{2}<a \leq Q+(i+1) q / M_{2} \\ a \equiv \overline{\left(m / M_{2}\right)} \bmod q / M_{2}}} \frac{1}{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{M_{2}-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}(m, q)} \asymp \frac{M_{2}}{Q},
$$

where $a_{i}(m, q)$ is the unique solution of $a \equiv \overline{\left(m / M_{2}\right)} \bmod q / M_{2}$ in $Q+$ $i q / M_{2}<a \leq Q+(i+1) q / M_{2}$. Bounding similarly as in the case $k=$ 0 , one sees that the contribution from $k \neq 0$ is dominated by (6.6). Therefore

$$
\mathcal{T}(k) \ll \frac{M_{1}^{5 / 2} M^{2} t}{N^{5 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}+\frac{M_{1}^{2} M^{2} t}{N^{3 / 2} K L},
$$

and by (6.1) (also recall that $L \leq N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{1,1}(N, C, L, J, \pm) & \ll \sqrt{\frac{N^{3} L}{M_{1}^{3} M}}\left(\frac{M_{1}^{5 / 4} M \sqrt{t}}{N^{5 / 4} K^{1 / 4}}+\frac{M_{1} M \sqrt{t}}{N^{3 / 4} \sqrt{K L}}\right) \\
& \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} N^{3 / 4}(M t)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{M_{1}^{1 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}{N^{1 / 4}}+\frac{1}{M_{1}^{1 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

6.2. Bounding $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}(N, C, L, J, \pm)$. Applying the Cauchy inequality and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{1,2}(N, C, L, J, \pm) \ll & \sqrt{\frac{N^{3} L}{M_{1}^{3} M}}  \tag{6.8}\\
& \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \log C} \int_{|\tau| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}} \sqrt{\mathcal{R}(k, \tau)} \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where, temporarily,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(k, \tau)=\sum_{n_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}} & \frac{1}{n_{2}} W\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{L}\right) \left\lvert\, \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a}\right. \\
& \times\left.\sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \mathcal{J}_{2}(q, m, \tau)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we open the absolute square and interchange the order of summations to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}(k, \tau)= \sum_{\substack{n_{1} \leq \sqrt{3 L}}} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \frac{\chi_{2}\left(q_{0}\right)}{q^{3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \overline{\chi_{2}}\left(m_{0}\right) \mathcal{J}_{2}(q, m, \tau) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \frac{\overline{\chi_{2}}\left(q_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{q^{\prime 3 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a^{\prime} \leq q^{\prime}+Q \\
\left(a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{a^{\prime}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m^{\prime} \equiv M_{2} \overline{a^{\prime}} \bmod q^{\prime}}} \chi_{2}\left(m_{0}^{\prime}\right) \overline{q_{2}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, \tau\right)} R^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R^{*}=\sum_{n_{2}} \frac{1}{n_{2}} W\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}}{L}\right) \mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m, a, q\right) \overline{\mathscr{B}\left(n_{1}, \pm n_{2}, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Applying Poisson summation with modulus $\widehat{q} \widehat{q^{\prime}} M_{1}$, we obtain

$$
R^{*}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}} \sum_{n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) W^{\dagger}\left(\frac{n_{2} L}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}}, 0\right),
$$

where $\mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ is defined in (6.4). By (2.6), the integral is arbitrarily small if $\left|n_{2}\right| \gg(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{2} M_{1} / L$. By (5.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}(k, \tau) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)^{2}}{M_{1} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq 2 C} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q=q_{0} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a \leq q+Q \\
(a, q)=1}} \frac{1}{a} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq|m| \leq q(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m \equiv M_{2} \bar{a} \bmod q}} \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime} M_{2}^{k} \sim C \\
\left(q_{0}^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \sum_{\substack{Q<a^{\prime} \leq q^{\prime}+Q \\
\left(a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{a^{\prime}} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq q^{\prime}(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N \\
m^{\prime} \equiv M_{2} \bar{a}^{\prime} \bmod q^{\prime}}}\left|\mathscr{C}_{2}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{2} M_{1} / L \\
& \mathscr{C}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \mid,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)$ is defined in (5.8). By Lemmas 10 and 11, we have $R(0, \tau) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)^{2}}{M_{1} Q^{2} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq 2 C} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q \sim C \\(q, M)=1 \\ n_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{1 \leq|m| \leq C(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N}$

$$
\times \sum_{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq C(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} / N} \widehat{q}^{2}\left(\widehat{q}, m-m^{\prime}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, m-m^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +(M t)^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathcal{B}(C, \tau)^{2}}{M_{1} Q^{2} C^{5}} \sum_{n_{1} \leq 2 C} n_{1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{q \sim C \\
(q, M)=1[1 p t]]_{1} \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{q^{\prime} \sim C \\
\left(q^{\prime}, M\right)=1 \\
n_{1} \mid q^{\prime}}} \sum_{1 \leq|m| \leq C(M t)^{1+\varepsilon / N}}  \tag{6.9}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{1 \leq\left|m^{\prime}\right| \leq C(M t)^{1+\varepsilon / N}} \widehat{\widehat{q} q^{\prime}\left(\widehat{q}, \widehat{q^{\prime}}, n_{2}\right) M_{1}^{5 / 2}\left(M_{1}, n_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \sum_{1 \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{2} M_{1} / L} \\
& \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{B}(C, \tau)^{2}\left(\frac{K M_{1}^{3} M t}{N^{2}}+\frac{K C^{3} M_{1}^{7 / 2}(M t)^{2}}{N^{3} L}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly the contribution from $k \neq 0$ is dominated by (6.9). Thus by (6.8),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_{1,2}(N, C, L, J, \pm) \ll \sqrt{\frac{N^{3} L}{M_{1}^{3} M}}\left(\frac{K^{1 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}(M t)^{1 / 2}}{N}+\frac{K^{1 / 2} C^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{7 / 4} M t}{N^{3 / 2} L^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
\times \int_{|\tau| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}} \mathcal{B}(C, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau,
\end{gathered}
$$

where by (5.8)

$$
\int_{|\tau| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon} C^{-1} \sqrt{N K / M_{1}}} \mathcal{B}(C, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{t^{1 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{N}{C^{2} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}}\right) .
$$

Thus (note that $L \ll N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 2}$ and $N /(M t)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq C \leq \sqrt{N / K M_{1}}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1,2}(N, C, L, J, \pm) \\
& \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} N^{3 / 4}\left(K^{3 / 4} M_{1}^{3 / 4}+\frac{(M t)^{2}}{N K^{3 / 4} M_{1}^{1 / 4}}+\frac{(M t)^{1 / 2}}{K^{3 / 4} M_{1}^{1 / 2}}+\frac{M t}{N^{1 / 4} K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{3 / 4}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second term dominates the last two terms by the range of $M_{1}$ and our choice of $K$ in (1.5). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{1,2}(N, C, L, J, \pm) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} N^{3 / 4}\left(K^{3 / 4} M_{1}^{3 / 4}+\frac{(M t)^{2}}{N K^{3 / 4} M_{1}^{1 / 4}}\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumptions $(M t)^{6 / 5} /\left(N M_{1}\right)^{3 / 5} \leq K \leq(M t)^{2} / N M_{1}$, we see that the bound in (6.10) can be controlled by (6.7). By (6.7), Lemmas 7 and 8 we conclude that

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}(N) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} N^{3 / 4}(M t)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{M_{1}^{1 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}{N^{1 / 4}}+\frac{1}{M_{1}^{1 / 2} K^{1 / 2}}\right) .
$$

Then Proposition 2 follows in view of our choice of $K$ in (1.5).
6.3. Proof of Lemma 10. We follow closely [14]. By (4.3) and (6.5), $\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{N L}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{-i \tau}\left(\frac{N L}{q^{\prime 3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{i \tau^{\prime}} \gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right) \overline{\gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{6.11}\\
& \times \mathcal{J}_{1}(q, m, \tau) \overline{\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)} W_{J}(\tau) W_{J}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) W^{\dagger}\left(\frac{n_{2} L}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}},-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.6), the integral $W^{\dagger}\left(n_{2} L / q q^{\prime} M_{1},-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is negligible if $\left|n_{2}\right| \geq$ $(M t)^{\varepsilon} C \sqrt{N K M_{1}} / L$. For smaller $\left|n_{2}\right|$, we plug (5.6) into (6.11) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)= & \frac{\left|c_{3}\right|^{2}}{4 \pi^{2} K^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{N L}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{-i \tau}\left(\frac{N L}{q^{\prime 3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{i \tau^{\prime}} \gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right) \\
& \times \overline{\gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau^{\prime}\right)}\left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)^{-i(t+\tau)}\left(-\frac{\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right) q^{\prime} M}{2 \pi e N m^{\prime}}\right)^{i\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \times H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau) H_{J}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right) W^{\dagger}\left(\frac{n_{2} L}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}},-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\tau}}\left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)^{3 / 2} V\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) \\
& \times U^{*}\left(\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{-2 \pi N m}\right) W_{J}(\tau) \int_{0}^{1} V\left(\frac{\tau}{K}-\frac{(t+\tau) M_{2} \zeta}{K m a}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies the bound

$$
H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau) \ll t^{-1 / 2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau) \ll \frac{(M t)^{\varepsilon}}{t^{1 / 2}(1+|\tau|)} .
$$

For $n_{2}=0$, by (2.6) we have $W^{\dagger}\left(0,-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is arbitrarily small if $\left|\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right| \geq(M t)^{\varepsilon}$. For $\left|\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right| \leq(M t)^{\varepsilon}$, we have $W^{\dagger}\left(0,-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \ll 1$ and

$$
\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} \frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2} M_{1}^{1 / 2} C}
$$

For $n_{2} \neq 0$ we apply (2.5) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}\left(\frac{n_{2} L}{q q^{\prime} M_{1}},-i\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)= & \frac{c_{4}}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}-\tau}} W\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi n_{2} L}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi e n_{2} L}\right)^{i\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right)} \\
& +O\left(\min \left\{\frac{1}{\left|\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right|^{3 / 2}},\left(\frac{C^{2} M_{1}}{\left|n_{2}\right| L}\right)^{3 / 2}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{4}$. The contribution from the above $O$-term towards $\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{K^{2} t} \int_{|\tau| \leq 1+2|J|} \int_{\left|\tau^{\prime}\right| \leq 1+2|J|} \min \left\{\frac{1}{\left|\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right|^{3 / 2}},\right. & \left.\left(\frac{C^{2} M_{1}}{\left|n_{2}\right| L}\right)^{3 / 2}\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime} \\
& \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} \frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2}\left(\left|n_{2}\right| L\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the main term, we write by Fourier inversion

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{2 \pi n_{2} L}{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} W\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi n_{2} L}\right) \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{\dagger}\left(r, \frac{1}{2}\right) e\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi n_{2} L} r\right) \mathrm{d} r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_{5}}{K^{2}}\left(\frac{q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{\left|n_{2}\right| L}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{\dagger}\left(r, \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau\right) \overline{\gamma_{ \pm}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \tau^{\prime}\right)} H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau) \\
& \quad \times H_{J}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{N L}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{-i \tau}\left(\frac{N L}{q^{\prime 3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)^{i \tau^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \times\left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)^{-i(t+\tau)}\left(-\frac{\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right) q^{\prime} M}{2 \pi e N m^{\prime}}\right)^{i\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi e n_{2} L}\right)^{i\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right)} e\left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi n_{2} L} r\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{d} r+O\left((M t)^{\varepsilon} B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some absolute constant $c_{5}$ where, for $n_{2} \neq 0$,

$$
B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)=\frac{N^{1 / 2}}{t K^{3 / 2}\left(\left|n_{2}\right| L\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Note that, for $J=0$ we have trivially $\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2} / t K^{5 / 2}\left(\left|n_{2}\right| L\right)^{1 / 2}$, which is dominated by $B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)$. In the following, for notational simplicity we only consider the case of $J>0$. The same analysis holds for $J<0$.

By (2.3), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)= & \frac{c_{5}}{K^{2}}\left(\frac{q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{\left|n_{2}\right| L}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{\dagger}\left(r, \frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{6.12}\\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) e\left(f\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{d} r+O\left((M t)^{\varepsilon} B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\Psi_{ \pm}(\tau) \overline{\Psi_{ \pm}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)} H_{J}(q, m, a, \tau) H_{J}\left(q^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi f\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)= & 3 \tau \log \left(\frac{\tau}{e \pi}\right)-3 \tau^{\prime} \log \left(\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{e \pi}\right)-\tau \log \left(\frac{N L}{q^{3} M_{1}^{3}}\right)+\tau^{\prime} \log \left(\frac{N L}{q^{\prime 3} M_{1}^{3}}\right) \\
& -(t+\tau) \log \left(-\frac{(t+\tau) q M}{2 \pi e N m}\right)+\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right) \log \left(-\frac{\left(t+\tau^{\prime}\right) q^{\prime} M}{2 \pi e N m^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) \log \left(\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1}}{2 \pi e n_{2} L}\right)+\frac{\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) q q^{\prime} M_{1} \ell^{2}}{n_{2} L} r .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the double integral over $\tau, \tau^{\prime}$ in (6.12), Munshi [14] showed that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) e\left(f\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \tau^{\prime} \ll J t^{-1+\varepsilon} .
$$

Then using $W^{\dagger}\left(r, \frac{1}{2}\right) \ll_{j}|r|^{-j}$ we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) \ll(M t)^{\varepsilon} B^{*}\left(n_{2}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10.
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