ON THE GALOIS CORRESPONDENCE FOR HOPF GALOIS STRUCTURES ARISING FROM FINITE RADICAL ALGEBRAS AND ZAPPA-SZÉP PRODUCTS

LINDSAY N. CHILDS

Abstract: Let L/K be a *G*-Galois extension of fields with an *H*-Hopf Galois structure of type *N*. We study the Galois correspondence ratio GC(G, N), which is the proportion of intermediate fields *E* with $K \subseteq E \subseteq L$ that are in the image of the Galois correspondence for the *H*-Hopf Galois structure on L/K. The Galois correspondence ratio for a Hopf Galois structure can be found by translating the problem into counting certain subgroups of the corresponding skew brace. We look at skew braces arising from finite radical algebras *A* and from Zappa–Szép products of finite groups, and in particular when $A^3 = 0$ or the Zappa–Szép product is a semidirect product, in which cases the corresponding skew brace is a bi-skew brace, that is, a set *G* with two group operations \circ and \star in such a way that *G* is a skew brace with either group structure acting as the additive group of the skew brace. We obtain the Galois correspondence ratio for several examples. In particular, if (G, \circ, \star) is a biskew brace of squarefree order 2m where $(G, \circ) \cong Z_{2m}$ is cyclic and $(G, \star) \cong D_m$ is dihedral, then for large *m*, $GC(Z_{2m}, D_m)$ is close to 1/2 while $GC(D_m, Z_{2m})$ is near 0.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12F10.

Key words: Galois correspondence, Hopf Galois extension, skew brace.

1. Introduction

In 1969 S. U. Chase and M. E. Sweedler ([5]) defined the notion of an *H*-Hopf Galois structure on a finite extension of commutative rings. The notion in particular applies to the case of a separable field extension L/K, where it extends the classical notion of a Galois extension, the case where L/K is normal, *G* is the Galois group of L/K, and *H* is the group ring *KG*.

Let L/K be a *G*-Galois extension of fields. Let *H* be a cocommutative *K*-Hopf algebra that acts on *L* as an *H*-module algebra, and suppose *L* is an *H*-Hopf Galois extension of *K*. Then, as shown in [16], $L \otimes_K H = LN$ for some regular subgroup *N* of Perm(*G*), where *N* is normalized by the image $\lambda(G)$ of the left regular representation $\lambda: G \to \text{Perm}(G)$. In

turn, if N is a regular subgroup of Perm(G) normalized by $\lambda(G)$, then N yields by Galois descent a K-Hopf algebra $H = L[N]^G$ which acts on L/K making L/K a H-Hopf Galois extension. In this way there is a bijection between Hopf Galois structures on the G-Galois extension L/K and regular subgroups of Perm(G) normalized by $\lambda(G)$.

Given an *H*-Hopf Galois structure on L/K, there is a Galois correspondence, originally described by Chase and Sweedler [5], namely, an injective correspondence from *K*-subHopf algebras of *H* to fields *E* with $K \subset E \subset L$, by

$$H' \mapsto L^{H'} = \{ x \in L \mid h'x = \epsilon(h')x \text{ for all } h' \text{ in } H' \},\$$

where $\epsilon: H \to K$ is the counit map. But in contrast to classical Galois theory, the Galois correspondence for a Hopf Galois extension can fail to be surjective. The first set of examples where surjectivity fails is in [16]: let N be the regular subgroup $N = \lambda(G)$ of Perm(G). Then N is normalized by itself, and the subgroups N' of $N = \lambda(G)$ that are normalized by $\lambda(G)$ are the subgroups $\lambda(G')$ where G' is a normal subgroup of G. So if G is non-abelian and has non-normal subgroups, then surjectivity fails. (The classical Galois structure on L/K given by the Galois group corresponds to $\rho(G)$, the image in Perm(G) of the right regular representation of G in Perm(G), $\rho(g)(h) = hg^{-1}$ for g, h in G: $\rho(G)$, hence every subgroup of $\rho(G)$, is centralized, hence normalized by $\lambda(G)$.)

But except for the Greither-Pareigis examples, very little was known about the image of the Galois correspondence for an *H*-Hopf Galois structure on a *G*-Galois extension L/K of fields until it was observed in [**11**] that the *K*-subHopf algebras of *H* correspond bijectively to the subgroups N' of *N* that are normalized by $\lambda(G)$. Using that result, the paper [**6**] examined the *G*-Galois extensions L/K, where *G* is an abelian *p*-group of order p^n and L/K has an *H*-Hopf Galois structure of type *N*, also an abelian *p*-group of order p^n . If *H* has type *N*, then there is a regular embedding of *G* into Hol(*N*): call the image *T*. Then, that regular subgroup *T* defines a commutative nilpotent ring structure $A = (N, +, \cdot)$ on the additive abelian group *N* so that the regular subgroup *T*, and hence the Galois group *G*, is isomorphic to the adjoint group (A, \circ) of the nilpotent ring A([**4**], [**15**]). In that setting, for the Hopf Galois structure given by *H*, the image of the Galois correspondence is in bijective correspondence with the ideals of *A*.

If $A^e = 0$ and e < p, hence G and N are elementary abelian p-groups, upper and lower bounds on the proportion of subgroups of A that are ideals were computed in [10], and it was shown, for example, that if $4 \le e < p$, then the proportion of subgroups that are ideals is < .01. We note that the only known cases of a non-classical *H*-Hopf Galois structure on a *G*-Galois field extension, where the Galois correspondence for *H* is surjective, are for *G* a non-abelian Hamiltonian group (every subgroup is normal), where *H* corresponds to $\lambda(G)$, or for *G* cyclic of odd prime power order and *H* any Hopf Galois structure ([**6**, Proposition 4.3]).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the relationship between Hopf Galois structures and skew braces, and Section 3 describes the Galois correspondence ratio in the skew brace setting. Section 4 looks at that ratio for skew braces arising from radical algebras, illustrated by a four-dimensional example $A = A_{4,25}^0$. Section 5 introduces bi-skew braces and finds the Galois correspondence ratio for the other skew brace structure for A, which exists because $A^3 = 0$. Section 6 looks at the Galois correspondence ratios arising from fixed point free pairs of homomorphisms to a Zappa–Szép product of two finite groups from the corresponding direct product, with an example. Section 7 specializes to semi-direct products of groups, which yield biskew braces, hence two Galois correspondence ratios. Section 8 looks at examples where the order of G is squarefree and, in particular determines the two highly divergent ratios for G a class of generalized dihedral groups.

Acknowledgements. Many thanks to the referees for their numerous helpful comments and corrections on previous versions of this paper.

2. Hopf Galois structures and skew braces

The concept of skew brace was first defined in [17] and the connection of skew braces with Hopf Galois structures was described in [22]. Following [22], in what follows, "skew brace" and "brace" will always mean "skew left brace" and "left brace", respectively.

Finite radical rings are skew braces, so it was natural to generalize the description of the Galois correspondence ratio for radical rings in [6] to the setting of skew braces. This was done in [7].

To see how this works, we first reexamine the description of a Hopf Galois structure.

Let G be a finite group, and denote the operation on G by \circ . Let L/K be a (G, \circ) -Galois extension of fields. If L is also an H-Hopf Galois extension of K, then $L \otimes_K H = LN$ for some regular subgroup N of Perm(G), where N is normalized by the image $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ of the left regular representation $\lambda_{\circ} \colon G \to \text{Perm}(G)$.

Since N is a regular subgroup of Perm(G), the map $b: N \to G$ given by $n \mapsto n(e)$ is a bijection. Then b defines an operation \star on G, as follows: if $b(n_1) = g_1, b(n_2) = g_2$, we define

$$g_1 \star g_2 = b(n_1 n_2).$$

Then $N = \lambda_{\star}(G)$, the image of the left regular representation map λ_{\star} corresponding to the operation \star . For setting $b(n_1) = g_1$, $b(n_2) = g_2$, and $g_1 \star g_2 = b(n_1 n_2)$, then the action of $N \subset \text{Perm}(G)$ on G is

$$n_1(g_2) = n_1(n_2(e)) = (n_1n_2)(e)$$

= $b(n_1n_2) = g_1 \star g_2 = \lambda_\star(g_1)(g_2).$

If $N = \lambda_{\star}(G)$ in Perm(G) is normalized by $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$, then $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ is contained in Hol(G, \star), the normalizer of $\lambda_{\star}(G)$ in Perm(G). This observation connects Hopf Galois structures with skew braces.

Definition 1. A skew brace is a finite set B with two operations, \star and \circ , so that (B, \star) is a group (the "additive group"), (B, \circ) is a group, and the compatibility condition

$$a \circ (b \star c) = (a \circ b) \star a^{-1} \star (a \circ c)$$

holds for all a, b, c in B. Here a^{-1} is the inverse of a in (B, \star) . Denote the inverse of a in (B, \circ) by \overline{a} .

If B has two operations \star and \circ and is a skew brace with (B, \star) the additive group, then we write $B = B(\circ, \star)$ (i.e. the additive group operation is on the right).

A brace is a skew brace with abelian additive group. Every brace $(A, \circ, +)$ with abelian circle group is a radical algebra $(A, +, \cdot)$ [21], where the algebra multiplication on A is defined by $a \cdot b = a \circ b - a - b$.

A set B with two group operations \circ and \star has two left regular representation maps:

$$\lambda_{\star} \colon B \to \operatorname{Perm}(B), \lambda_{\star}(b)(x) = b \star x, \\ \lambda_{\circ} \colon B \to \operatorname{Perm}(B), \lambda_{\circ}(b)(x) = b \circ x.$$

Then Guarnieri and Vendramin proved ([17, Proposition 1.9]):

Theorem 2.1. A set (B, \circ, \star) with two group operations is a skew brace if and only if the group homomorphism $\lambda_{\circ} \colon (B, \circ) \to \operatorname{Perm}(B)$ has image in

$$\operatorname{Hol}(B,\star) = \lambda_{\star}(B)\operatorname{Aut}(B,\star) \subset \operatorname{Perm}(B),$$

the normalizer in $\operatorname{Perm}(B)$ of $\lambda_{\star}(G)$.

Let L/K be a Galois extension with group $G = (G, \circ)$. Let H be a K-Hopf algebra giving a Hopf Galois structure of type N on L/K. Using N to define the group structure (G, \star) on G and then identifying N with (G, \star) as above, then $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ normalizes $N = (G, \star)$, so λ_{\circ} is contained in Hol (G, \star) . Thus (G, \circ, \star) is a skew brace.

Conversely, let (G, \circ, \star) be a skew brace. Let L/K be a Galois extension with Galois group (G, \circ) . Then L/K has a Hopf Galois structure of type (G, \star) . For given the skew brace structure (G, \circ, \star) on the Galois group (G, \circ) of L/K, then $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Hol}(G, \star)$, and so the subgroup $N = \lambda_{\star}(G) \subset \operatorname{Perm}(G)$ is normalized by $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$. So N corresponds by Galois descent to a Hopf Galois structure on L/K of type (G, \star) .

Remark 2.2. We note that the correspondence that connects regular subgroups N of Perm(Γ) normalized by $\lambda(\Gamma)$ and isomorphic to (G, \star) to isomorphism types of skew braces (G, \circ, \star) with $(G, \circ) \cong \Gamma$ and $(G, \star) \cong N$ is not bijective. We have (c.f. [20, Corollary 2.4]):

Proposition 2.3 (Byott, Nejabati Zenouz). Given an isomorphism type (B, \circ, \star) of skew brace, the number of Hopf Galois structures on a Galois extension L/K with Galois group isomorphic to (B, \circ) and skew brace isomorphic to (B, \circ, \star) is

$$\operatorname{Aut}(B, \circ) / \operatorname{Aut}_{sb}(B, \circ, \star).$$

Here $\operatorname{Aut}_{sb}(B, \circ, \star)$ is the group of skew brace automorphisms of (B, \circ, \star) , that is, maps from B to B that are simultaneously group automorphisms of (B, \star) and of (B, \circ) .

3. The Galois correspondence for skew braces

Given that an *H*-Hopf Galois structure on a *G*-Galois extension corresponds to a skew brace (G, \circ, \star) so that $G \cong (G, \circ)$ and *H* has type (G, \star) , we can rephrase the question of identifying the *K*-sub-Hopf algebras of *H*, and hence the question of counting the size of the image of the Galois correspondence for *H*, into a question of identifying and counting certain subgroups of the skew brace (G, \circ, \star) . This was done in [7].

Let L/K be Galois with group (G, \circ) and H-Hopf Galois where H has type (G, \star) , so (G, \circ, \star) is a skew brace. We are interested in the Galois correspondence ratio

$$GC((G, \circ), (G, \star)) = \frac{|\{E \text{ in the image of the Galois correspondence for } H\}|}{|\{E : K \subset E \subset L\}|}.$$

The numerator counts the $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ -invariant subgroups of $\lambda_{\star}(G)$. Looking at them in the skew brace setting, we have

Definition 2. Let (G, \circ, \star) be a skew brace. A subgroup (G', \star) of (G, \star) is \circ -stable if $\lambda_{\star}(G')$ is closed under conjugation in Perm(G) by $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$.

This condition is equivalent to

For all
$$g \in G$$
, $g' \in G'$, $(g \circ g') \star g^{-1} = h'$ is in G' .

Remark 3.1. Lemma 2.2 of [12] introduces the automorphism ρ_g of (G, \star) defined by

$$\rho_g(g') = (g \circ g') \star g^{-1}.$$

So a \circ -stable subgroup G' of G is a subgroup invariant under the action of ρ_q for all g.

Section 5 of [19] recasts the Galois correspondence for a Hopf Galois structure of type (G, \star) on a (G, \circ) -Galois extension by replacing the skew brace (G, \circ, \star) by its opposite skew brace (G, \circ, \star') . Then a \circ -stable subgroup G' of G is what they call a quasi-ideal of the opposite brace. A quasi-ideal G' is an ideal of G if and only if G' is a normal subgroup of (G, \circ) ([17, Definition 2.1]).

We observed in Proposition 4.1 of [7] that a \circ -stable subgroup of (G, \circ, \star) is a subgroup of both (G, \circ) and (G, \star) .

Thus, if L/K is a (G, \circ) -Galois extension and an *H*-Galois extension where the *H* structure corresponds to a skew brace structure (G, \circ, \star) on *G*, so that *H* has type (G, \star) , then the Galois correspondence ratio becomes

$$GC((G, \circ), (G, \star)) = \frac{|\{\circ\text{-stable subgroups of } (G, \star)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \circ)\}|}.$$

4. Radical algebras and the Galois correspondence for corresponding Hopf Galois structures

A ring $A = (A, +, \cdot)$ (without unit) is a radical ring if the operation

$$a \circ b = a + b + a \cdot b$$

for all a, b in A makes (A, \circ) into a group with identity 0. If A is a finite ring, then A is Artinian (has descending chain condition on left (or right) ideals), so A is nilpotent (every element of A is nilpotent); c.f. Section 1.2 of [18].

Conversely, a nilpotent ring A is a radical ring. That means, if we define the operation \circ on A by

$$a \circ b = a + b + ab,$$

146

then (A, \circ) is a group. Associativity is clear, the identity is 0, and the inverse of a in (A, \circ) , denoted by \overline{a} , is

$$\overline{a} = -a + a^2 - a^3 + \cdots,$$

a finite sum because A is nilpotent. (The circle group of A is isomorphic (by $a \mapsto -a$) to what is sometimes called the adjoint group of A.)

A radical ring A is a brace $(A, \circ, +)$, as was first observed in [21], and hence is a skew brace.

Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a finite radical ring, and let $a \circ b = a + b + a \cdot b$. In [7] we observed:

Proposition 4.1. If $(A, \circ, +)$ is the skew brace arising from a radical ring A, then the \circ -stable subgroups of (A, +) are the left ideals of the ring A.

For the reader's convenience, here is a proof.

Proof: Suppose (G', +) is a \circ -stable subgroup of (A, +). Then for all g in A, g' in G', there is some h' in G' so that $g \circ g' = h' + g$ or, equivalently,

$$g + g' + gg' = h' + g$$

 $gg' = h' - g'$ in G'

So G' is closed under left multiplication by elements of A, hence is a left ideal of A.

Conversely, if G' is a left ideal of A, then for all g' in G', g in A, gg' is in G', so gg' + g' = h' is in G'. So

$$g \circ g' = g + g' + gg' = h' + g,$$

the condition that (G', +) is a \circ -stable subgroup of (A, +).

Thus if A is a finite radical ring and L/K is a Galois extension with Galois group (A, \circ) with a Hopf Galois structure with H of type (A, +), then the Galois correspondence ratio for H acting on L/K is

$$GC((A, \circ), (A, \star)) = \frac{|\{\text{left ideals of } A\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (A, \circ)|}.$$

In [7] we illustrated this result by looking at two non-commutative nilpotent \mathbb{F}_p -algebras of dimension 3 of [13]. Here is a four-dimensional example.

Example 4.2. We look at De Graaf's \mathbb{F}_p -algebra $A^0_{4,21}$ with an \mathbb{F}_p -basis consisting of elements a, b, c, d with multiplication given by $a^2 = c, ab = d$, and all other products of basis elements = 0 (see [13]). Assume p > 2. Then an \mathbb{F}_p -subspace J of A is a left ideal of A if and only if $aJ \subset J$.

So if J is a left ideal of A and $r = r_1a + r_2b + r_3c + r_4d$ is in J, then $ar = r_1c + r_2d$ is also in J.

A 4 × 4 row-reduced echelon matrix in $M_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with pivots (leading ones) in columns c_1, \ldots, c_r will be called a matrix of form $(c_1 \ldots c_r)$. Every non-zero subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^4 is generated by the non-zero rows of a unique row-reduced echelon matrix.

From that viewpoint, we find that the non-zero left ideals of A correspond to all echelon matrices of forms (3), (4), (34), (24), (134), (234), (1234) = I, and also matrices of form (13) with two parameters r and s: the non-zero rows of such a echelon matrix form the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & 0 & s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Counting the number of parameters for each form gives

$$p + 1 + 1 + p + p + 1 + 1 + p^{2} = p^{2} + 3p + 4$$

non-zero left ideals of A.

Suppose L/K is a Galois extension with Galois group (A, \circ) and has a *H*-Hopf Galois structure of type (A, +) corresponding to the skew brace $(A, \circ, +)$. Then the Galois correspondence ratio for the *H*-Hopf Galois structure is

$$GC((G, \circ), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{\text{left ideals of } A\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (A, \circ)\}|}.$$

Including the zero ideal, the numerator is $p^2 + 3p + 5$.

To find the denominator, the number of subgroups of (A, \circ) , is a bit more challenging.

Now A is the \mathbb{F}_p -algebra with \mathbb{F}_p -basis $\{a, b, c, d\}$, where $a^2 = c$, ab = d, and all other products = 0. For x, y in A, define $x \circ y = x + y + xy$. Since p > 2, (A, \circ) is a group of exponent p, for given any r, s, t, u in \mathbb{F}_p , $ra \cdot ra = r^2c$, $ra \cdot sb = rsd$, so one sees easily by induction that

$$(ra+sb+tc+ud)^{\circ m} = mra+msb+\left(mt+\binom{m}{2}\right)r^2c+\left(mu+\binom{m}{2}\right)rsd$$

for all $m \ge 1$.

Since $(\langle b, c, d \rangle, \circ) = (\langle b, c, d \rangle, +)$, there are $2p^2 + 2p + 4$ subgroups of $\langle b, c, d \rangle$.

Then we must count the subgroups of (A, \circ) that contain an element of the form $\alpha = ra + sb + tc + wd$ with $r \neq 0$. By the formula above, we can assume r = 1. Thus we have p^3 cyclic subgroups of order p. We need also to count non-cyclic subgroups G' that are not contained in $\langle b, c, d \rangle$. Let w_1, w_2, \ldots , denote elements of $\langle c, d \rangle$. Any subgroup of (A, \circ) that contains $\alpha_1 = a + sb + w_1$ also contains

$$\alpha_1^{-1} = -a - sb - w_1 + c + sd_2$$

where $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_1^{-1} = 0$. Let $G' = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$, where

let $G' = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$, where

$$\alpha_1 = a + sb + w_1$$
 and $\alpha_2 = a + s'b + w_2$.

First suppose $s' \neq s$. Then G' contains

$$\alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 = (s'-s)b + w_3$$

Now the \circ -subgroup $\langle b, c, d \rangle$ of (A, \circ) is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_p^3 , so if $(s'-s)b + w_3$ is in G' and $s-s' \neq 0$, then G' contains $b+w_4$, with inverse $-b-w_4$, so contains

$$(-s'b - w_5) \circ \alpha_2 = (-s'b - w_5) + a + s'b + w_2 = a + w_6,$$

with \circ -inverse $-a - w_6 + c$. So G' contains $a + w_6$ and $b + w_4$. But then G' contains

$$(-b - w_4) \circ (a + w_6) \circ (b + w_4) \circ (-a - w_6 + c)$$

= $(a - b - w_4 + w_6) \circ (-a + b + w_4 - w_6 + c)$
= $c - c + d = d$.

So if $G' = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$, then

$$G' = \langle a + sc, b + tc, d \rangle$$

for s, t in \mathbb{F}_p . There are p^2 such groups.

If s - s' = 0, then $G' = \langle a + sb + tc + ud, t'c + u'd \rangle$ for some t, t', u, u' in \mathbb{F}_p . If $t' \neq 0$, then $G' = \langle a + sb + u''d, c + u'''d \rangle$ for s, u'', u''' in \mathbb{F}_p , so there are p^3 groups of that form. If t' = 0, then $G' = \langle a + sb + tc, d \rangle$, so there are p^2 groups of that form.

Adjoining c to any of the groups of the last two forms yields p groups of the form $G' = \langle a + sb, c, d \rangle$.

Including G' = G, we have $2p^3 + 4p^2 + 3p + 5$ subgroups of (G, \circ) . Thus the Galois correspondence ratio is

$$GC((G, \circ), (G, +)) = \frac{p^2 + 3p + 5}{2p^3 + 4p^2 + 3p + 5}.$$

For large p this is near 1/2p.

5. Bi-skew braces

Definition 3. A bi-skew brace is a finite set B with two operations, \star and \circ , so that (B, \star) is a group, (B, \circ) is a group, and B is a skew brace with either group acting as the additive group: that is, the two compatibility conditions

$$a \circ (b \star c) = (a \circ b) \star a^{-1} \star (a \circ c)$$

and

$$a \star (b \circ c) = (a \star b) \circ \overline{a} \circ (a \star c)$$

hold for all a, b, c in B.

In Proposition 4.1 of [8] we showed that radical algebras A with $A^3 = 0$ yield bi-skew braces. That means that with \circ defined as before, $(A, +, \circ)$ is a skew brace. Thus if L'/K' is a Galois extension with Galois group (A, +), then L'/K' has a Hopf Galois structure of type (A, \circ) coming from the skew brace structure $(A, +, \circ)$ on A. The image of the Galois correspondence for that Hopf Galois structure is then in bijective correspondence with the set of +-stable subgroups of (A, \circ) .

A subgroup J of (A, \circ) is a +-stable subgroup if for all g in A and h in J, there is some h' in J so that

$$g+h=h'\circ g.$$

Let g, h be arbitrary elements of J and note that J is closed under \circ . Then J is also closed under +, hence is a subgroup of (A, +). Since $h' \circ g = h'g + h' + g$, an alternative version of +-stability is that for all g in A, h in J, there is h' in J so that

$$g + h = h' \circ g = h' + g + h'g,$$

or h = h' + h'g. We show:

Proposition 5.1. Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be the skew brace arising from the finite radical ring $(A, +, \cdot)$ with $A^3 = 0$. Then the +-stable subgroups of (A, \circ) are the right ideals of the ring A.

Proof: Let J be a right ideal of the radical ring A: then J is closed under addition and scalar multiplication on the right. We show that J is +-stable. Given h in J, g in G, let h' = h - hg. Then h' is in J since J is a right ideal, and since $A^3 = 0$,

$$h'g = (h - hg)g = hg = h - h'.$$

So J is +-stable.

Conversely, if J is +-stable, then J is an additive subgroup of A, and for all h in J, g in A, there is some h' in J so that h'g = h - h'. Since $A^3 = 0, 0 = h'gg = hg - h'g$, so hg = h'g = h - h'. Thus for all h in J, g in G, hg is in J, and so J is a right ideal of the radical ring A.

Thus for a G = (A, +)-Galois extension L'/K' with an H'-Hopf Galois structure of type (A, \circ) , the Galois correspondence ratio is

$$GC((A,+),(A,\circ)) = \frac{|\{\text{right ideals of } A\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (A,+)|}$$

Example 5.2. One motivation for this paper was to see if there might be any relationship between the Galois correspondence ratios for the two skew braces associated to a bi-skew brace.

So we look again at De Graaf's example $A_{4,21}^0$ with an \mathbb{F}_p -basis consisting of elements a, b, c, d with multiplication given by $a^2 = c, ab = d$, and all other products of basis elements = 0 (see [13]). Then an \mathbb{F}_p -subspace J of A is a right ideal of A if and only if $Ja \subset J$ and $Jb \subset J$, hence if J is a right ideal of A and $r = r_1a + r_2b + r_3c + r_4d$ is in J, then $ra = r_1c$ and $rb = r_1d$ are in J. Thus the non-zero right ideals of A correspond to all echelon matrices of forms (2), (3), (4), (23), (24), (134), (1234). Counting the number of parameters for each form gives

$$p^{2} + p + 1 + p^{2} + p + 1 + p + 1 + 1 = 2p^{2} + 3p + 4$$

non-zero right ideals of A.

To determine the proportions of intermediate fields that are in the image of the Galois correspondence for the Hopf Galois structures corresponding to the skew brace $(A, +, \circ)$, we also need the numbers of subgroups of (A, +). But since $(A, +) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^4$, the number of subgroups of (A, +) is equal to the number of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^4 , namely $p^4 + 3p^3 + 4p^2 + 3p + 5$.

Thus if L'/K' is a (A, +)-Galois extension with an H'-Hopf Galois structure of type (A, \circ) , then the proportion of subgroups of (A, +) that are in the image of the Galois correspondence for H is

$$GC((A, +), (A, \circ)) = \frac{|\{+\text{-stable subgroups of } (A, \circ)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (A, +)\}|}$$
$$= \frac{|\{\text{right ideals of } A\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (A, +)\}|}$$
$$= \frac{2p^2 + 3p + 5}{p^4 + 3p^3 + 4p^2 + 3p + 5}.$$

For large p this is near $2/p^2$.

The two Hopf Galois extensions related by the bi-skew brace arising from the radical algebra A with $A^3 = 0$ have Galois correspondence ratios that behave like 1/2p and $2/p^2$ for large primes p.

6. Zappa–Szép products and the Galois correspondence for corresponding Hopf Galois structures

A finite group G with identity e and subgroups G_L and G_R is an internal Zappa–Szép product if $G = G_L G_R$ and $G_L \cap G_R = e$. Other terminology: two subgroups G_L and G_R of a finite group are complementary if $|G_L| \cdot |G_R| = |G|$ and $G_L \cap G_R = e$ ([2, Section 7]), or G admits an exact factorization through the subgroups G_L and G_R ([22, Example 3.6]). Thus every element g of G can be uniquely written as $g = g_L g_R$ for g_L in G_L , g_R in G_R .

Denote the group operation on G by \cdot , usually omitted.

In general, for groups Γ , G of the same finite order, a fixed point free pair of homomorphisms from Γ to G yields a Hopf Galois structure of type G on a Γ -Galois extension of fields or, equivalently, a skew brace structure (G, \circ, \cdot) on the additive group $G = (G, \cdot)$, where $(G, \circ) \cong \Gamma$. In the Hopf Galois setting the method was first used for $\Gamma = G$ in [9] and in general in [3]; c.f. Remark 7.2 of [2]. In the skew brace setting the construction is noted without details in Example 3.6 of [22].

Here is how it works for Zappa–Szép products.

Given a Zappa–Szép product $G = G_L \cdot G_R$, there is a pair of homomorphisms β_L and $\beta_R \colon G_L \times G_R \to G$ given by $\beta_L(g_L, g_R) = g_L$, $\beta_R(g_L, g_R) = g_R$. Since $G_L \cap G_R = \{e\}$, (β_L, β_R) is a fixed point free pair of homomorphisms from $G_L \times G_R$ to $G \colon \beta_L(g_L, g_R) = \beta_R(g_L, g_R)$ if and only if $g_L = g_R = e$.

Using the left and right regular representations: $\lambda, \rho: G \to \text{Perm}(G)$ given by $\lambda(g)(x) = gx$, $\rho(g)(x) = xg^{-1}$ for g, x in G, the fixed point free pair (β_L, β_R) yields a regular embedding

$$\beta \colon G_L \times G_R \to \lambda(G) \rtimes \operatorname{Inn}(G, \cdot) \subset \operatorname{Hol}(G, \cdot)$$

defined for x in G by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(g_L, g_R)(x) &= \lambda(\beta_L(g_L, g_R)\rho(\beta_R(g_L, g_R)(x)) \\ &= \lambda(g_L)\rho(g_R)(x) \\ &= g_L x g_R^{-1} \\ &= g_L g_R^{-1} g_R x g_R^{-1} \\ &= \lambda(g_L g_R^{-1}) C(g_R)(x) \subset \lambda(G) \cdot \operatorname{Inn}(G, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$

where C(g) in $\operatorname{Inn}(G, \cdot)$ is conjugation by g. The regular embedding $\beta: G_L \times G_R \to \operatorname{Hol}(G, \cdot)$ yields a bijection $b: G_L \times G_R \to G$ by

$$b(g_L, g_R) = \beta(g_L, g_R)(e) = g_L e g_R^{-1} = g_L g_R^{-1}.$$

Then b defines a new group operation \circ on G from the direct product operation on $G_L \times G_R$ by

$$b(g_L, g_R) \circ b(h_L, h_R) = b((g_L, g_R)(h_L, h_R)) = b(g_L h_L, g_R h_R),$$

that is,

 $g_L g_R^{-1} \circ h_L h_R^{-1} = (g_L h_L)(g_R h_R)^{-1} = (g_L h_L)(h_R^{-1} g_R^{-1}) = g_L(h_L h_R^{-1})g_R^{-1},$ or more concisely, $g_L g_R^{-1} \circ h = g_L h g_R^{-1}$. Thus $b: G_L \times G_R \to (G, \circ)$ is an isomorphism, $\beta: G_L \times G_R \to \operatorname{Hol}(G, \cdot)$ becomes the left regular embedding $\lambda_o: (G, \circ) \to \operatorname{Hol}(G, \cdot)$, and the circle operation on $G = G_L G_R$ makes G into a skew brace by Theorem 2.1.

Suppose L/K is a Galois extension with Galois group $(G, \circ) \cong G_L \times G_R$. Since (G, \circ, \cdot) is a skew brace, L/K has a Hopf Galois structure by a K-Hopf algebra H of type $(G, \cdot) = G_L G_R$. Then the image of the Galois correspondence for H corresponds to the $\lambda_{\circ}(G)$ -invariant subgroups of (G, \cdot) , and from Section 3 above, these are the \circ -stable subgroups of (G, \cdot) , namely, the subgroups G' of (G, \cdot) with the property that for all h in G', g in G, the element $(g \circ h) \cdot g^{-1}$ is in G'.

Proposition 6.1. Let (G, \circ, \cdot) be the skew brace where $(G, \cdot) \cong G_L G_R$, a Zappa–Szép product and let \circ be the direct product operation on G given by $g \circ x = g_L x g_R^{-1}$ for $g = g_L g_R^{-1}$ in G. Then a subgroup G' of (G, \cdot) is \circ -stable if and only if G' is normalized by G_L .

Proof: G' is a \circ -stable subgroup of $(G, \cdot) \cong G_L G_R$ if and only if for all x in G', g in G, $(g \circ x) \cdot g^{-1}$ is in G', and

$$(g \circ x) \cdot g^{-1} = (g_L x g_R^{-1}) \cdot (g_R g_L^{-1}) = g_L x g_L^{-1}.$$

Example 6.2. Let $(G, \cdot) = A_5 = C_5 \cdot A_4$ where $C_5 = \langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle$ and $A_4 = \text{Perm}(\{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ is the stabilizer of 5 (c.f. [2, Example 7.4]). Let $\sigma = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. A subgroup G' of A_5 is \circ -stable if and only if G'is normalized by G_L . Three obvious \circ -stable subgroups are $\{(1)\}, C_5,$ and A_5 .

Claim: there is exactly one other \circ -stable subgroup.

Since $\sigma^{-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)\sigma = (a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_r + 1)$, any non-trivial subgroup G' of A_5 that is normalized by σ is transitive, so has order a multiple of 5. Since A_5 has no proper subgroups of order > 12, G' must have order 5 or 10, and has a characteristic subgroup H of order 5, normalized by σ . Thus $H = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $G' = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$ where ρ has order 2 and $\rho \sigma \rho^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}$. (We can choose $\rho = (1, 2)(3, 5)$.) So there are four o-stable subgroups of A_5 . If L/K is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = C_5 \times A_4$ with a Hopf Galois structure by H of type A_5 corresponding to the skew brace defined by the fixed point free pair of homomorphisms from G to A_5 as above, then of the intermediate fields E with $K \subseteq E \subseteq L$, exactly four are in the image of the Galois correspondence for H.

How many intermediate subfields are there? How many subgroups are there of $C_5 \times A_4$? Since $(|C_5|, |A_4|) = (12, 5) = 1$, the answer is: twice the number of subgroups of A_4 , hence $2 \cdot 10 = 20$ subgroups of $C_5 \times A_4$. (See [14, p. 112], for the lattice diagram of subgroups of A_4 .) So the proportion of intermediate subfields of L/K that are in the image of the Galois correspondence for H is

$$GC((G, \circ), (G, \cdot)) = \frac{|\{\circ\text{-stable subgroups of } A_5\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } C_5 \times A_4|\}} = \frac{4}{20}$$

7. Semi-direct products

One set of examples of Zappa–Szép products are semidirect products of groups.

Let $G = G_L \rtimes G_R$ be a semidirect product of two finite groups G_L and G_R , where G_L is normal in G and the action of G_R on G_L is by conjugation.

Denote the group operation in G by \cdot , which we will often omit. Thus for x, y in G, $xy = x \cdot y$.

An element of G has a unique decomposition as $x = x_L x_R^{-1}$ for x_L in G_L , x_R in G_R . In the semidirect product, an element y_R of G_R acts on x_L in G_L by conjugation:

$$y_R^{-1}x_L = (y_R^{-1}x_Ly_R)y_R^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= x_L x_R^{-1} y_L y_R^{-1} \\ &= x_L (x_R^{-1} y_L x_R) x_R^{-1} y_R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Along with the given group operation on G we also have the direct product operation \circ on G, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ y &= x_L x_R^{-1} \circ y_L y_R^{-1} \\ &= x_L y_L y_R^{-1} x_R^{-1} \\ &= x_L y x_R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.1. (G, \circ, \cdot) is a bi-skew brace.

This was proved in [8].

So suppose L'/K' is a Galois extension with Galois group $(G, \cdot) = G_L \rtimes G_R$. Since (G, \cdot, \circ) is a skew brace, L'/K' has a Hopf Galois structure by a K'-Hopf algebra H' of type $(G, \circ) \cong G_L \times G_R$. Then the image of the Galois correspondence for H' corresponds to the $\lambda_{\cdot}(G)$ -invariant subgroups of (G, \circ) , and these are the \cdot -stable subgroups of (G, \circ) , namely, the subgroups G' of (G, \circ) with the property that for all x in G', g in G, the element $(g \cdot x) \circ \overline{g}$ is in G'.

Proposition 7.2. Let (G, \circ, \cdot) be the bi-skew brace where $(G, \cdot) \cong G_L \rtimes G_R$, a semidirect product where G_R acts on G_L by conjugation, and let \circ be the direct product operation on G given by $g \circ x = g_L x g_R^{-1}$ for $g = g_L g_R^{-1}$ in G. Then a subgroup G' of (G, \circ) is \cdot -stable if and only if for every $x = x_L x_R^{-1}$ in G' and all g in G, $gx_L g^{-1} x_R^{-1}$ is in G'.

Proof: A subgroup G' of (G, \circ) is -stable if and only if for all x in G', g in G, the element $(g \cdot x) \circ \overline{g}$ is in G'. Note that if $g = g_L g_R^{-1}$, then $\overline{g} = g_L^{-1} g_R$. So for g, x, y in G,

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot x) \circ \overline{g} &= g_L g_R^{-1} x_L x_R^{-1} \circ \overline{g} \\ &= (g_L g_R^{-1} x_L g_R) (g_R^{-1} x_R^{-1}) \circ \overline{g} \\ &= (g_L g_R^{-1} x_L g_R) \overline{g} (g_R^{-1} x_R^{-1}) \\ &= (g_L g_R^{-1} x_L g_R) (g_L^{-1} g_R) (g_R^{-1} x_R^{-1}) \\ &= (g_L g_R^{-1}) x_L (g_R g_L^{-1}) (g_R g_R^{-1}) x_R^{-1} \\ &= g x_L g^{-1} x_R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the paper is devoted to examples. In the examples, the circle operation \circ is +, the usual addition of modular arithmetic.

Example 7.3. Let $(G, +) = G_L \times G_R = \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, the direct product with the usual operation, (r, s) + (r', s') = (r + r', s + s'), and identify (r, s) with $r \cdot 2^s$ in $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes_2 U_9 \cong \operatorname{Hol}(C_9)$. So $(r, s) \cdot (r', s') = (r + 2^s r', s + s')$.

We wish to find the +-stable subgroups of (G, \cdot) and the \cdot -stable subgroups of (G, +). Since a +-stable subgroup of (G, \cdot) is a subgroup of (G, +), and a \cdot -stable subgroup of (G, +) is a subgroup of (G, \cdot) , all subgroups of interest are subgroups of the abelian group (G, +). So we begin by finding the subgroups of the direct product (G, +), and then see which are +-stable and which are \cdot -stable. We find that there are twenty subgroups of $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$: sixteen are cyclic groups, with generators:

generator	order	generator	order
(0,0)	1	(0,3)	2
(1,0)	9	(1,3)	18
(3,0)	3	(3,3)	6
(0,2)	3	(0,1)	6
(1,2)	9	(1,5)	18
(3,2)	3	(3,5)	6
(1,4)	9	(1,1)	18
(3,4)	3	(3,1)	6

The non-cyclic subgroups of (G, +) are

$$\begin{split} \langle (3,0),(0,2)\rangle_+ &= \langle (3,0),(0,2)\rangle. \text{ of order } 9, \\ \langle (3,0),(0,1)\rangle_+ &= \langle (3,0),(0,1)\rangle. \text{ of order } 18, \\ \langle (1,0),(0,2)\rangle_+ &= \langle (1,0),(0,2)\rangle. \text{ of order } 27, \\ G &= \langle (1,0),(0,1)\rangle_+ &= \langle (1,0),(0,1)\rangle. \text{ of order } 54. \end{split}$$

All are subgroups of (G, \cdot) .

A subgroup G' of (G,+) is -stable if for all (r,s) in G' and (t,-u) in G,

$$(t, -u)^{-1}(r, 0)(t, -u)(0, s)$$

is in G'. Since $(-2^{u}t, u)(t, -u) = (-2^{u}t + 2^{u}t, u + (-u)) = (0, 0)$, we have that

$$(t, -u)^{-1}(r, 0)(t, -u)(0, s) = (-2^{u}t, u)(r, 0)(t, -u)(0, s)$$

= $(-2^{u}t + 2^{u}r, u)(t, -u)(0, s)$
= $(-2^{u}t + 2^{u}r + 2^{u}t, u - u)(0, s)$
= $(2^{u}r, 0)(0, s)$
= $(2^{u}r, s)$

is in G'. Setting u = 1 implies that (2r, s) is in G'. Since G' is a subgroup of (G, +), therefore

$$(2r, s) - (r, s) = (r, 0)$$

is in G'. Thus:

A subgroup G' of (G, \cdot) is \cdot -stable if and only if for all (r, s) in G', (r, 0) is in G'.

Using this criterion, we find that the four non-cyclic subgroups of (G,+) are \cdot -stable, and also the cyclic groups with generators

(0,0), (1,0), (3,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3), (3,3),and (1,3),

the last two because 10(1,3) = (1,0) and 4(3,3) = (3,0). Thus there are twelve --stable subgroups of (G, +).

GALOIS CORRESPONDENCE

There are thirty-two subgroups of $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6$, as follows: There are twenty-six cyclic subgroups: $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$ of order 1; $\langle r, 3 \rangle$ of order 2 for $0 \leq r < 9$; $\langle 0, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 0 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$, $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ of order 3; $\langle r, 1 \rangle$ of order 6 for $0 \leq r < 9$; and $\langle 1, 2s \rangle$ of order 9 for $0 \leq s < 3$. There are six non-cyclic subgroups, $\langle (a, 0), (0, b) \rangle$ for a = 1, 3 and b = 1, 2, 3.

So if L/K is G-Galois with $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong (G, \cdot)$, then for the Hopf Galois structure on L/K corresponding to $(G, +) \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, the ratio

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{\cdot\text{-stable subgroups of } (G, +)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \cdot)\}|} = \frac{12}{32}$$

Now we look at +-stable subgroups of (G, \cdot) .

A subgroup G' of (G, \cdot) is +-stable if G' is a subgroup of both (G, +)and (G, \cdot) and (c.f. Proposition 6.1) is normalized by G_L in (G, \cdot) , that is, (-t, 0)(r, s)(t, 0) is in G' for all t and all (r, s) in G'. Now

$$(-t,0)(r,s)(t,0) = (-t+r,s)(t,0)$$

= $(-t+r+2^{s}t,s)$
= $((2^{s}-1)t+r,s).$

Since G' is a group under +, G' contains

$$((2^{s}-1)t+r,s) - (r,s) = ((2^{s}-1)t,0).$$

Setting t = 1, we have:

Proposition 7.4. A subgroup G' of (G, \cdot) is +-stable if and only if G' is a subgroup of (G, +) and for all (r, s) in G', $(2^s - 1, 0)$ is in G'.

Thus, if s = 1, then (1,0) is in G'; if s = 2, then (3,0) is in G'; if s = 3, then (7,0), hence (1,0) is in G'; if s = 4, then (15,0), hence (3,0) is in G'; and if s = 5, then (31,0), hence (1,0) is in G'.

Among the cyclic subgroups of (G, \cdot) , those with generators (0, 0), (1, 0), and (3, 0) are clearly +-stable, and also those with generators (1, 2) and (1, 4) since they are also subgroups of (G, +) and 3(1, 2) = (3, 0) = 3(1, 4). But the conditions fail for all other cyclic subgroups of (G, \cdot) .

The conditions also hold for the non-cyclic subgroups

$$\langle (1,0), (0,3) \rangle, \langle (3,0), (0,2) \rangle, \langle (1,0), (0,2) \rangle, \text{ and } G,$$

but not for $H = \langle (3,0), (0,1) \rangle$ or $\langle (3,0), (0,3) \rangle$ because (1,0) is not in H.

Thus there are nine +-stable subgroups of (G, \cdot) . There are twenty subgroups of (G, +). So if L'/K' is G-Galois with $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong (G, +)$, then for the H'-Hopf Galois structure corresponding to $(G, \cdot) \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6$, the ratio

$$GC((G,+),(G,\cdot)) = \frac{|+\text{-stable subgroups of } (G,\cdot)|}{|\text{subgroups of } (G,+)|} = \frac{9}{20}.$$

8. Groups of squarefree order

Hopf Galois structures on groups of squarefree order were studied in [1], whose results included showing that if the field extension L/Khas a Galois group G cyclic of squarefree order mn, then L/K has a Hopf Galois structure of type N for every group N of order mn: each such group N must be a semidirect product of cyclic groups.

We look at some of those examples.

Let $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ under componentwise addition, where m and n > 1 are coprime and squarefree and n divides $\phi(m)$. Then (G, +) is cyclic of order mn, and every element of G may be written as (r, s) = (r, 0) + (0, s) for r modulo m, s modulo n. Also, $\langle (r, s) \rangle$ contains (r, 0) and (0, s) because m and n are coprime.

The subgroups of (G, +) are generated by (r, s) where r divides mand s divides n, so there are d(m)d(n) subgroups of (G, +), where d(m)is the number of divisors of m. If m is a product of g distinct primes, and n is a product of h distinct primes, then $d(m) = 2^g$, $d(n) = 2^h$. Hence the number of subgroups of (G, +) is 2^{g+h} .

Let b have order n in U_m , the group of units modulo m. Form the semidirect product $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the operation

$$(r,s) \cdot (r',s') = (r+b^s r',s+s').$$

Then $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a bi-skew brace.

We observe that every subgroup of (G, +) is also a subgroup of (G, \cdot) . For let $G' = \langle (r, s) \rangle = \langle (r, 0), (0, s) \rangle$ be a subgroup of (G, +). For elements (cr, ds), (er, fs) of G',

$$(cr, ds) \cdot (er, fs) = (cr + b^{ds}er, (d+f)s) = ((c+b^{ds})r, (d+f)s),$$

which is in $\langle (r,0), (0,s) \rangle$.

Since the +-stable subgroups and the \cdot -stable subgroups of $(G, +, \cdot)$ are subgroups of both (G, +) and (G, \cdot) , we may search for each from among the subgroups $\langle (r, s) \rangle$ of the cyclic group (G, +), where r divides m and s divides n.

We first find the \cdot -stable subgroups of (G, +).

Proposition 8.1. Let $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ with (m, n) = 1, and $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_n$ with b of order n modulo m. Then every subgroup of (G, +) is \cdot -stable.

Proof: By Proposition 7.2, a subgroup G' of (G, +) is \cdot -stable if and only if for all (x, y) in G' and all g in G, $(g \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}, y)$ is in G' (where g^{-1} is the \cdot inverse of G).

Let
$$(a, -h)$$
 be in G , then $(a, -h)^{-1} = (-b^h a, h)$. So for $(x, y) = (x, 0) + (0, y)$ in G' ,
 $(-b^h a, h)(x, 0)(a, -h) + (0, y) = (-b^h a + b^h x, h)(a, -h) + (0, y)$
 $= (-b^h a + b^h x + b^h a, 0) + (0, y)$
 $= (b^h x, 0) + (0, y).$

This is in G' because $(b^h x, 0)$ and (0, y) are in G'.

Thus every subgroup of (G, +) is \cdot -stable.

It follows that for a Galois extension L/K with Galois group isomorphic to $(G, \cdot) \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ with a Hopf Galois extension of type $(G, +) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ corresponding to the skew brace $(G, \cdot, +)$, the proportion of intermediate subfields of L/K that are in the image of the Galois correspondence for the Hopf Galois structure on L/K is

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{ \text{subgroups of } \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \}|}{|\{ \text{subgroups of } \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n \}|}$$

Now we look at the +-stable subgroups of (G, \cdot) .

A subgroup $\langle (r,0), (0,s) \rangle$ of $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes Z_n$ is +-stable if and only if $(b^s - 1, 0)$ is in $\langle (r, 0) \rangle$, if and only if r divides $b^s - 1$.

Now $m = p_1 \cdots p_g$ and $n = q_1 \cdots q_h$, products of distinct primes. So if $r = p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k}$ and b has order n_i modulo p_i , then $(b^s - 1, 0)$ is in $\langle (r, 0) \rangle$ if and only if the least common multiple $[n_{i_1}, \ldots, n_{i_k}]$ divides s.

Example 8.2. Consider $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ where q is a prime divisor of p-1. Let b have order q modulo p-1 and let $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_q$ with operation

$$(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + b^{s_1}r_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

Then (G, +) has four subgroups, generated by (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), and (0, 0), of orders p, q, pq, and 1, respectively. A subgroup $G' = \langle (r, s) \rangle$ of (G, \cdot) is +-stable if and only if it is a subgroup of (G, +) and $b^s \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. The only subgroup of (G, +) that is not +-stable is (0, 1), because b - 1 is not in $\langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. So for a (G, +)-Galois extension L/K with an H-Hopf Galois extension of type (G, \cdot) , the ratio

$$GC((G,+),(G,\cdot)) = \frac{|\{+\text{-stable subgroups of } (G,\cdot)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G,+)\}|} = \frac{3}{4}$$

Every subgroup of (G, +) is \cdot -stable. A computation shows that the number of subgroups of (G, \cdot) is p + 3: the p cyclic subgroups of order q generated by (r, 1) for $r = 0, \ldots, p-1$, together with the group generated by (1, 0), of order p, and the two trivial groups, $\{0\}$ and G. So for

a (G, \cdot) -Galois extension L'/K' with an H'-Hopf Galois extension of type (G, +), the ratio

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{\cdot\text{-stable subgroups of } (G, +)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \cdot)\}|} = \frac{4}{p+3}.$$

Example 8.3. Now let $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ where $m = p_1 \cdots p_g$, and $n = q_1 \cdots q_g$, all pairwise distinct primes, where for $i = 1, \ldots, g$, q_i divides $p_i - 1$. Let b have order q_i modulo p_i for all i, so b has order n modulo m. Then

Proposition 8.4. With m, n, b chosen as above,

$$(G,+) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_gq_g},$$

$$(G,\cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_g} \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_{q_g}$$

and every subgroup of (G, +), resp. (G, \cdot) , is a direct product of its projections onto the corresponding subgroups.

The decomposition of (G, +) is obvious; that of (G, \cdot) is a routine induction argument from the case g = 2, which in turn follows because the order of b modulo p_1p_2 is the product of the coprime orders of bmodulo p_1 and modulo p_2 . The statements about subgroups follow from Goursat's Lemma and the fact that the direct factors have coprime order (or by a Chinese Remainder Theorem argument).

Thus the ratios of Proposition 8.2 multiply to yield

Corollary 8.5. With (G, +) and (G, \cdot) as above,

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{\cdot \text{-stable subgroups of } (G, +)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \cdot)\}|} = \frac{4^g}{(p_1 + 3)(p_2 + 3)\cdots(p_g + 3)},$$

and

$$GC((G,+),(G,\cdot)) = \frac{|\{+\text{-stable subgroups of } (G,\cdot)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G,+)\}|} = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^g.$$

Both ratios go to zero for large g.

For a final example, we look at a generalization of the dihedral group D_m where m is odd and squarefree.

Example 8.6. Let $m = p_1 \cdots p_g$, a product of distinct primes, and let $n = q_1 \cdots q_h$ where q_1, \ldots, q_h are distinct primes that divide p - 1 for every prime p dividing m. (The dihedral case is h = 1, n = 2.) Let b have order n modulo p_i for every i. Let $(G, +) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, (G, \cdot) =$

 $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_b \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then the subgroups of (G, +) all have the form $\langle (r, s) \rangle = \langle (r, 0), (0, s) \rangle$ where r divides m, s divides n.

Since every +-stable subgroup G' of (G, \cdot) is a subgroup of (G, +), we can assume $G' = \langle (r, s) \rangle$ where r divides m, s divides n. Now G' is +-stable if and only if $b^s - 1$ is in $\langle r \rangle$. We have two cases:

Case 1: r = 1 and $b^s - 1$ is in $\langle 1 \rangle$ for all s.

Case 2: 1 < r and r divides m. Then some p_i divides r, so b has order n modulo p_i . Then $b^s \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Thus $\langle (r,s) \rangle$ is +-stable for r = 1 and all s, or for $r \neq 1$ and s = n. Since we may assume that r divides m, s divides n, then the number of (r,s) in Case 1 is 2^h , and the number of (r,s) in Case 2 is $2^g - 1$. So the number of +-stable subgroups of G is $2^h + 2^g - 1$.

The number of subgroups of (G, +) is $2^h \cdot 2^g$. So the ratio

$$GC((G,+),(G,\cdot)) = \frac{|\{+\text{-stable subgroups of } (G,\cdot)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G,+)\}|}$$
$$= \frac{2^h + 2^g - 1}{2^{h+g}}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 8.1, every subgroup of (G, +) is \cdot -stable, so the ratio

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{|\{\cdot\text{-stable subgroups of } (G, +)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \cdot)\}|}$$
$$= \frac{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, +)\}|}{|\{\text{subgroups of } (G, \cdot)\}|}.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ is metabelian, every subgroup of $(G, \cdot) = \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ has the form $H \rtimes K$ where $H < \mathbb{Z}_m$, $K < \mathbb{Z}_n$. If K = (0) then we are counting the 2^g subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_m . For each *s* dividing *n* and *r* dividing *m* there are *r* subgroups of order (m/r)(n/s) of the form $\langle (r, 1), (t, s) \rangle$ for $0 \leq t < r$. The total number of subgroups of (G, \cdot) is then

$$2^{g} + \sum_{s|n, s \neq n} \sum_{r|m} r = 2^{g} + (2^{h} - 1) \sum_{r|m} r = 2^{g} + (2^{h} - 1)\sigma(m),$$

where $\sigma(m)$, the sum of the divisors of $m = p_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_g$, is

$$\sigma(m) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (1+p_i).$$

Thus

$$GC((G, \cdot), (G, +)) = \frac{2^{h+g}}{2^g + (2^h - 1)\sigma(m)}$$

Since $\sigma(m) \geq 3^g$,

$$GC((G,\cdot),(G,+)) \leq 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^g,$$

which is close to 0 for large g.

In particular, for $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the dihedral case with m odd and square-free, the ratio

$$GC((G,\cdot)), (G,+)) = GC(D_m, \mathbb{Z}_{2m}) \le 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{g}$$

goes to 0 with g, while

$$GC((G,+)), (G,\cdot)) = GC(\mathbb{Z}_{2m}, D_m) = \frac{2^g + 1}{2^{g+1}} > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all g.

Responding to the question raised in Example 5.2, this example shows that given a bi-skew brace $(G, \cdot, +)$ with $(G, \cdot) \cong D_m$ and $(G, +) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ for highly composite squarefree odd m, the ratios describing the images of the Galois correspondences for the Hopf Galois structures of type \mathbb{Z}_{2m} , resp. D_m , on Galois extensions with Galois group D_m , resp. \mathbb{Z}_{2m} , corresponding to the bi-skew brace could hardly be more dissimilar.

References

- A. A. ALABDALI AND N. P. BYOTT, Counting Hopf-Galois structures on cyclic field extensions of squarefree degree, J. Algebra 493 (2018), 1-19. DOI: 10.1016/ j.jalgebra.2017.09.009.
- [2] N. P. BYOTT, Solubility criteria for Hopf–Galois structures, New York J. Math. 21 (2015), 883–903.
- [3] N. P. BYOTT AND L. N. CHILDS, Fixed-point free pairs of homomorphisms and nonabelian Hopf–Galois structures, New York J. Math. 18 (2012), 707–731.
- [4] A. CARANTI, F. DALLA VOLTA, AND M. SALA, Abelian regular subgroups of the affine group and radical rings, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 69(3) (2006), 297–308.
- [5] S. U. CHASE AND M. E. SWEEDLER, "Hopf Algebras and Galois Theory", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1969. DOI: 10. 1007/BFb0101433.
- [6] L. N. CHILDS, On the Galois correspondence for Hopf Galois structures, New York J. Math. 23 (2017), 1–10.
- [7] L. N. CHILDS, Skew braces and the Galois correspondence for Hopf Galois structures, J. Algebra 511 (2018), 270–291. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.06.023.
- [8] L. N. CHILDS, Bi-skew braces and Hopf Galois structures, New York J. Math. 25 (2019), 574–588.
- [9] L. N. CHILDS AND J. CORRADINO, Cayley's Theorem and Hopf Galois structures for semidirect products of cyclic groups, J. Algebra 308(1) (2007), 236-251.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.09.016.

162

- [10] L. N. CHILDS AND C. GREITHER, Bounds on the number of ideals in finite commutative nilpotent \mathbb{F}_p -algebras, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **92(3–4)** (2018), 495–516.
- [11] T. CRESPO, A. RIO, AND M. VELA, On the Galois correspondence theorem in separable Hopf Galois theory, *Publ. Mat.* 60(1) (2016), 221–234. DOI: 10.5565/PUBLMAT_60116_08.
- [12] K. DE COMMER, Actions of skew braces and set-theoretic solutions of the reflection equation, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 62(4) (2019), 1089–1113. DOI: 10.1017/s0013091519000129.
- [13] W. A. DE GRAAF, Classification of nilpotent associative algebras of small dimension, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 28(1) (2018), 133–161. DOI: 10.1142/ S0218196718500078.
- [14] D. S. DUMMIT AND R. M. FOOTE, "Abstract Algebra", 2nd edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1999.
- [15] S. C. FEATHERSTONHAUGH, A. CARANTI, AND L. N. CHILDS, Abelian Hopf Galois structures on prime-power Galois field extensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364(7) (2012), 3675–3684. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05503-6.
- [16] C. GREITHER AND B. PAREIGIS, Hopf Galois theory for separable field extensions, J. Algebra 106(1) (1987), 239–258. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(87)90029-9.
- [17] L. GUARNIERI AND L. VENDRAMIN, Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation, Math. Comp. 86(307) (2017), 2519–2534. DOI: 10.1090/mccm/3161.
- [18] I. N. HERSTEIN, "Theory of Rings", Mathematics Lecture Notes, University of Chicago, 1961.
- [19] A. KOCH AND P. J. TRUMAN, Opposite skew left braces and applications, J. Algebra 546 (2020), 218-235. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.10.033.
- [20] K. NEJABATI ZENOUZ, Skew braces and Hopf-Galois structures of Heisenberg type, J. Algebra 524 (2019), 187-225. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.01.012.
- [21] W. RUMP, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J. Algebra 307(1) (2007), 153-170. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.03.040.
- [22] A. SMOKTUNOWICZ AND L. VENDRAMIN, On skew braces (with an appendix by N. Byott and L. Vendramin), J. Comb. Algebra 2(1) (2018), 47-86. DOI: 10. 4171/JCA/2-1-3.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University at Albany, Albany, NY 12222 *E-mail address*: lchilds@albany.edu

> Primera versió rebuda el 5 de setembre de 2019, darrera versió rebuda el 16 de març de 2020.