## CORRIGENDUM TO: "AN INTERPOLATION PROPERTY OF LOCALLY STEIN SETS"

## VIOREL VÂJÂITU

To the memory of my teacher and friend Ilie Bârză

**Abstract:** The purpose of this note is to supply a correct proof of a proposition in the article quoted above.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32E10, 32E35, 32U10.

Key words: Stein space, Riemann domain, boundary distance, thin set.

Here we revise Proposition 3 from [5], which is restated below for the convenience of the reader, and give an accurate proof by expanding an idea proposed by Siu ([4, pp. 486–487, Section 4.4]), avoiding the patching technique used in [5].

We need to recall some notations. For a reduced complex space X,  $X_{\text{reg}}$  denotes the set of smooth points of X and  $X_{\text{sg}} := X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$  is the singular locus of X. An open subset  $\Omega$  of X is locally Stein on a set  $T \subset \partial \Omega$ , if every point in T has a neighborhood U in X such that  $U \cap \Omega$  is Stein.

**Proposition 1.** Let X be a normal Stein space of pure dimension n and let  $\Omega \subset X$  be an open set that is locally Stein on  $\partial \Omega \setminus X_{sg}$ .

Then, there exists a smooth function  $\phi: \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  that is strictly plurisubharmonic, and for every closed subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Omega$  whose closure in Xis disjoint with  $X_{sg}$ , the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $\Lambda$  is proper.

First, for the proof we need an auxiliary result.

**Lemma 1.** Let X be a normal complex space of pure dimension, A a hypersurface in X, and  $S \subset X$  a thin set of order 2.

If  $\varphi \colon X \setminus S \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a plurisubharmonic function that vanishes on  $A \setminus S$ , then the trivial extension  $\tilde{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  to X with value 0 on S is plurisubharmonic on X and continuous on S. In particular,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  turns out to be continuous if  $\varphi$  is continuous.

Recall that a closed subset S of a complex space X is said to be *thin* of order  $k \ (k \in \mathbb{N})$  if S is locally contained in a (not necessarily closed) analytic subset of X of codimension k. Proof of Lemma 1: First note that, if Z is a complex space of pure dimension, granting the maximum principle for plurisubharmonic functions one has that, for every plurisubharmonic function u on Z and any point  $z_0 \in Z$ ,

$$\limsup_{z \mapsto z_0} u(z) = u(z_0).$$

On the other hand, since S is thin of order 2, by  $[\mathbf{1}, \text{Satz } 4, \text{ p. } 181]$  there is a unique plurisubharmonic extension  $\psi \colon X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  of  $\varphi$ . Let  $a \in S$ and  $\Gamma$  a one-dimensional irreducible analytic set in a neighborhood of ain A such that  $\Gamma \cap S = \{a\}$ .

Since the restriction  $\psi|_{\Gamma}$  is plurisubharmonic, by the above remark and hypothesis,  $\psi(a) = 0$ . Thus  $\psi$  vanishes on S and  $\psi$  is continuous on S, because for every point  $a \in S$ ,

$$0 \le \liminf_{x \mapsto a} \psi(x) \le \limsup_{x \mapsto a} \psi(x) = 0 = \psi(a),$$

so that  $\psi = \widetilde{\varphi}$ , whence the lemma.

Proof of Proposition 1: We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1) Let X be a normal Stein space of pure dimension n and consider a discrete holomorphic map  $\Phi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n)$ .

The branching locus  $B(\Phi)$  of  $\Phi$  is the complement in X of the set of points  $a \in X$  such that there are open neighborhoods U of a in X and W of  $\Phi(a)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\Phi$  induces a biholomorphism between U and W.

Obviously,  $B(\Phi)$  contains the singular part  $X_{sg}$  of X and  $B(\Phi)$  is a closed analytic subset of X. Furthermore,  $B(\Phi)$  is either the empty set or a hypersurface.

Also, there is a thin subset of order 2 in X (see [2]) such that

$$X_{\rm sg} \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\Phi),$$

and for an arbitrary point  $a \in B(\Phi) \setminus S$  there are coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  centered at a with respect to which the function  $\Phi$  takes the form

$$\Phi(x', x_n) = (x', x_n^{\mu})$$

for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \geq 2$ , where  $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ .

Besides, if  $\vartheta_{k_1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{k_n}$  are holomorphic vector fields on X generating the tangent vector space at every point of a discrete set  $\Lambda \subset X$  disjoint with  $X_{sg}$  and containing a point of each connected component of X, then

$$\det(\vartheta_{k_i}(\Phi_j))_{i,j}$$

defines a holomophic function on  $X_{\text{reg}}$  that extends, due to the normality of X, to a holomorphic function f on X vanishing on  $B(\Phi)$ , but f does not vanish identically on any connected component of X.

A straightforward argument gives finitely many such holomorphic functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  on X (incidentally, the form of these functions are of crucial importance, as can be seen from the next step) such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\Phi) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} \{f_j = 0\}.$$

Besides, since the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on X is an analytic coherent sheaf and X is Stein, we may apply Cartan's Theorem A and [3] to get global holomorphic vector fields  $\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_N$  on X generating the tangent vector space at every regular point of X; hence above we can take  $m = \binom{N}{n}$ .

Step 2) Here we reconsider the setting from Step 1. Let  $Z_f = \{f = 0\}$ , where f is one of the holomorphic functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ .

For  $x \in \Omega \setminus Z_f$  define  $\delta(x)$  to be the largest positive number so that  $\Phi$  maps an open neighborhood of x in  $\Omega \setminus Z_f$  biholomorphic onto the ball of radius  $\delta(x)$  centered at  $\Phi(x)$ .

Pictorially, we have the following diagram, where  $\iota \colon \Omega \longrightarrow X$  is the inclusion:



Notice that  $\delta < \infty$  everywhere on  $\Omega$ , unless  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; so there is nothing to be proved in this case.

Let  $\Sigma \subset X$  be the thin set of order 2 defined as the union of S and the singular part of  $Z_f$ .

Now we claim that, for every point  $a \in Z_f \setminus \Sigma$ , there is an open neighborhood U of a in  $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$  and a positive constant M such that

$$(\star) \qquad \forall x \in U \setminus Z_f, \quad |f(x)|^2 \le M\delta(x).$$

Here we check (\*). Clearly,  $a \in X_{\text{reg}}$ . Let W be an open neighborhood of a in  $X_{\text{reg}}$  with coordinates  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  centered at a such that

$$W \cap Z_f = \{x_n = 0\}.$$

We have the following alternative: either the point a does not belong to  $B(\Phi)$ , or  $a \in B(\Phi) \setminus S$ .

In the first case, it is easily seen that, for a suitable neighborhood U of a relatively compact in W, one has  $\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(a)\| \ge C \|x - a\| \ge |f(x)|$ . Therefore, we obtain  $(\star)$  with exponent 1 instead of 2. In the second case, the definition of f gives the form  $f(x) = \mu x_n^{\mu-1} g(x)$ , for some holomorphic function g on W. Hence, for a relatively compact neighborhood U of a in W, whenever  $x \in U$  in which  $|x_n|$  is small, it holds that:

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^2 \le ||g||_U \cdot (\mu |x_n|^{\mu-1})^2 \le M |x_n|^{\mu} \le M ||(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n^{\mu}) - (x_1', \dots, x_{n-1}', 0)|| = M ||\Phi(x) - \Phi(a)|,$$

which readily implies inequality  $(\star)$ .

Altogether, given  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , c > 2 (for instance,  $c = \sqrt{5}$ ), there is an open neighborhood V of  $Z_f \cap (\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$  in  $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$  such that on V it holds true that

$$(\star\star) \qquad -\log\delta + c\log|f| < 0.$$

Notice also that, if  $(x_k)_k$  is a sequence of points in  $\Omega \setminus Z_f$  that converges to a point of  $\partial \Omega \setminus X_{sg}$ , then  $\delta(x_k) \mapsto 0$ .

Step 3) Here we give the patching procedure. First note that there are finitely many holomorphic maps  $\Phi_j: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, 1 \leq j \leq p$ , with discrete fibers such that

$$X_{\rm sg} = \bigcap {\rm B}(\Phi_j).$$

Then as in Step 2, we get, for each j, finitely many holomorphic functions  $f_{j1}, \ldots, f_{jq}$  (their form via the above vector fields is important) such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\Phi_j) = \bigcap_k Z(f_{jk}).$$

Since  $X \setminus Z(f_{jk})$  is a Stein manifold,  $\Omega \setminus Z(f_{jk})$  is Stein too, hence the boundary distance  $\delta_{jk}$  of the domain  $\Omega \setminus Z(f_{jk})$  over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via  $\Phi_j$  has the property that  $-\log \delta_{jk}$  is plurisubharmonic and continuous. (As noted above, we dispense with the case when  $\Omega$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , when there is nothing to be done!)

Therefore, granting Lemma 1 and  $(\star\star)$ , the function  $\varphi_{jk} \colon \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\varphi_{jk} := \begin{cases} \max\{-\log \delta_{jk} + \sqrt{5} \log |f_{jk}|, 0\} & \text{on } \Omega \setminus Z_{jk}, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

is plurisubharmonic and continuous.

Finally, the desired function  $\phi$  is obtained via Richberg's regularization of the following strict plurisubharmonic function, which has the required properties except regularity, namely

$$\psi + \max\{\varphi_{jk}\},\$$

where  $\psi \colon X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic, continuous, and surjective. The proof of the proposition is concluded.

*Remark.* The reasons, in the proof of [5, Proposition 3], on p. 720, lines 33–35, why "the function  $\psi_V^{(k)} - \psi_W^{(l)}$  is bounded on  $M \cap \Omega$ " were not well explained.

## References

- H. GRAUERT AND R. REMMERT, Plurisubharmonische Funktionen in komplexen Räumen, Math. Z. 65 (1956), 175–194. DOI: 10.1007/BF01473877.
- [2] H. GRAUERT AND R. REMMERT, Komplexe Räume, Math. Ann. 136 (1958), 245–318.
- [3] B. KRIPKE, Finitely generated coherent analytic sheaves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21(3) (1969), 530–534. DOI: 10.2307/2036414.
- [4] Y.-T. SIU, Pseudoconvexity and the problem of Levi, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), 481–512. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1978-14483-8.
- [5] V. VÂJÂITU, An interpolation property of locally Stein sets, Publ. Mat. 63(2) (2019), 715–725. DOI: 10.5565/PUBLMAT6321909.

Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille 1, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Bât. M2, F-59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France *E-mail address*: viorel.vajaitu@univ-lille.fr

Received on June 15, 2020. Accepted on October 7, 2020.