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#### Abstract

We first show that a generic hypersurface $V$ of degree $d \geq 3$ in the projective complex space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $n \geq 3$ has at least one hyperplane section $V \cap H$ containing exactly $n$ ordinary double points, alias $A_{1}$ singularities, in general position, and no other singularities. Equivalently, the dual hypersurface $V^{\vee}$ has at least one normal crossing singularity of multiplicity $n$. Using this result, we show that the dual of any smooth hypersurface with $n, d \geq 3$ has at least a very singular point $q$, in particular a point $q$ of multiplicity $\geq n$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $S=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the graded polynomial ring in $n+1$ variables with complex coefficients, with $n \geq 2$. Let $f \in S_{d}$ be a homogeneous polynomial such that the hypersurface $V=V(f): f=0$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is smooth. Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. For any $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$ has at least one hyperplane section $V \cap H$, which has exactly $n$ nodal singularities in general position.

Here a node is a non-degenerate quadratic singularity, in Arnold notation an $A_{1}$ singularity. A nodal hypersurface is a hypersurface having only such nodes as singularities. As usual, a property is generic if it holds for a Zariski open and dense subset of the parameter space, which is in this case $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{d}\right)$. For any smooth hypersurface $V$ and any hyperplane $H$, the singularities of the hyperplane section $V \cap H$ are exactly the points where $H$ is tangent to $V$. The fact that a generic hypersurface has the tangency points in general position was established in [3]. This means that for a generic, smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ and any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, the singularities of the section $V \cap H$ are points in general position in $H$, i.e., the corresponding vectors in the vector space associated to $H$ are linearly independent. In particular, there are at most $n$ singularities in any such section $V \cap H$ when $V$ is generic.

For a smooth hypersurface $V(f)$, consider the corresponding dual mapping

$$
\phi_{f}: V(f) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}, \quad x \mapsto\left(f_{0}(x): f_{1}(x): \cdots: f_{n}(x)\right),
$$

where we set

$$
f_{j}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \text { for } j=0, \ldots, n \text {. }
$$

[^0]Then the dual hypersurface

$$
V(f)^{\vee}=\phi_{f}(V(f))
$$

has a normal crossing singularity of multiplicity $n$ at the point of the dual projective space $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$ corresponding to the hyperplane $H$ if and only if $V(f) \cap H$ has exactly $n$ singularities of type $A_{1}$ in general position. To prove this, note that $V(f) \cap H$ has a node $A_{1}$ at a point $p$ if and only if the dual mapping $\phi_{f}$ is an immersion at $p$; see for instance the equivalences (11.33) in [6]. Moreover, the tangent space to the corresponding branch $\phi_{f}(V(f), p)$ of $V(f)^{\vee}$ at $H=\phi_{f}(p)$ is given by

$$
p \in \mathbb{P}^{n}=\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}
$$

It follows that Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as follows.
Theorem 1.2. For any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$, the dual hypersurface $V^{\vee}$ of a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$ has at least one normal crossing singularity of multiplicity $n$.

Remark 1.3. (i) For any smooth hypersurface $V$ and any hyperplane $H$, the hyperplane section $V \cap H$ has only isolated singularities. Conversely, any hypersurface $W \subset H$ with only isolated singularities may occur as a section $W=V \cap H$ for a certain smooth hypersurface $V$; see $[\mathbf{6}$, Proposition (11.6)].
(ii) The fact that a generic curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 4$ has only simple tangents, bitangents, and simple flexes is known classically, and corresponds to the claim that the dual curve $C^{\vee}$ has only nodes $A_{1}$ and cusps $A_{2}$ as singularities. The number of bitangents of $C$, which is also the number of nodes $A_{1}$ of the dual curve, as a function of $d$ is also known; see [ 8, p. 277], for the classical approach using Plücker formulas, or [2] for a modern view-point. It follows from [9, Proposition 2.1] that any smooth quartic curve has at least 16 bitangents (there called simple bitangents) which correspond to the nodes of the dual curve.
(iii) The fact that a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d \geq 3$ admits tritangent planes $H$ is well known, and there are formulas for the number of these planes in terms of the degree $d$; see for instance [13, Section (8.3)]. The fact that, for $S$ generic of degree $d \geq 5$, the singularities of $S \cap H$ are exactly three nodes follows from [14, Proposition 3]. For a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, with $n \geq 4$ and $d=\operatorname{deg} V \geq n+2$, it follows from [14, Proposition 4] that all the singularities of a hyperplane section $V \cap H$ are double points (not necessarily $A_{1}$ singularities) in number at most $n$.

Using the above results for generic hypersurfaces, one can prove the following result, holding for any smooth hypersurface.

Theorem 1.4. For any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$, the dual hypersurface $V^{\vee}$ of a smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$ has either a singularity of multiplicity $n$ with the corresponding tangent cone a union of hyperplanes, or a singularity of multiplicity $>n$. Moreover, a smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$, where $n, d \geq 3$, has at least one hyperplane section $V \cap H$ whose total Tjurina number $\tau(V \cap H)$ is at least $n$.

We recall that for an isolated hypersurface singularity $(X, 0): g=0$ defined by a germ $g \in R=\mathbb{C}\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right]$, we define its Milnor number $\mu(X, 0)$ and its Tjurina number $\tau(X, 0)$ by the formulas

$$
\mu(X, 0)=\operatorname{dim} R / J_{g} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau(X, 0)=\operatorname{dim} R /\left(J_{g}+(g)\right),
$$

where $J_{g}$ is the Jacobian ideal of $g$ in $R$. For a projective hypersurface $W$ having only isolated singularities, we define its total Milnor number $\mu(W)$ and its total Tjurina number $\tau(W)$ by the formulas

$$
\mu(W)=\sum_{p} \mu(W, p) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau(W)=\sum_{p} \tau(W, p),
$$

where both sums are over all the singular points $p \in W$. For any point $H \in V^{\vee}$ it is known that

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{H}\left(V^{\vee}\right)=\mu(V \cap H),
$$

where $\operatorname{mult}_{p}(Y)$ denotes the multiplicity of a variety $Y$ at a point $p \in Y$; see [5]. Hence the first claim in Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$
\mu(V \cap H) \geq n
$$

if $\operatorname{mult}_{H}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \geq n$. However, our second claim in Theorem 1.4 is a stronger version of this inequality, since $\mu(X, 0) \geq \tau(X, 0)$, with equality exactly when the singularity $(X, 0)$ is weighted homogeneous; see [12].
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## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The starting point is Remark 1.3(i) above. We first consider the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and the subset $Z_{n} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ given by the classes $p_{i}$ of the canonical basis $e_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, of the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Proposition 2.1. For any degree $d \geq 3$, there is a hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, with $n \geq$ 3, of degree d having as singularities $n$ nodes $A_{1}$, located at the points in $Z_{n}$.
Proof: Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be the coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We first consider the case $d=$ 3 and take $Y$ to be the hypersurface $g(y)=0$, where

$$
g(y)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq n} y_{i} y_{j} y_{k} .
$$

It is easy to see that $Y$ has an $A_{1}$-singularity at each point $p_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Now we show that there are no other singularities. Note that for the partial derivative $g_{i}$ of $g$ with respect to $y_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(y)=\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n, j \neq i, k \neq i} y_{j} y_{k} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $g_{i}(y)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and take the sum of all these equations. In this way we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n} y_{j} y_{k}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting the equation (2.1) from (2.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_{j}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $y_{i_{1}} \neq 0$ and $y_{i_{2}} \neq 0$ for some indices $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq n$, the equation (2.3) implies that $y_{i_{1}}=y_{i_{2}}$. Hence, for any singular point $y^{0}$ of $Y$, there is an integer $a$ with $1 \leq a \leq n$ such that $a$ coordinates of $y^{0}$ are equal to 1 , and the remaining $n-a$ coordinates are 0 . The equation (2.3) implies that only the case $a=1$ is possible, and hence $y^{0}$ is one of the points $p_{i}$. This completes the proof in the case $d=3$.

Next we look at the case $d=4$ and take $Y$ to be the hypersurface $g(y)=0$, where

$$
g(y)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} y_{i}^{2} y_{j}^{2}
$$

It is easy to see that $Y$ has an $A_{1}$-singularity at each point $p_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Now we show that there are no other singularities. In this case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(y)=2 y_{i} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_{j}^{2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume $g_{i}(y)=0$ for all $i$, and that $y_{i_{1}} \neq 0$ and $y_{i_{2}} \neq 0$ for some indices $1 \leq$ $i_{1}<i_{2} \leq n$, the equation (2.4) implies that $y_{i_{1}}^{2}=y_{i_{2}}^{2}$. Hence, for any singular point $y^{0}$ of $Y$, there is an integer $a$ with $1 \leq a \leq n$ such that $a$ coordinates of $y^{0}$ are equal to $\pm 1$, and the remaining $n-a$ coordinates are 0 . The equation (2.4) implies that only the case $a=1$ is possible, and hence $y^{0}$ is one of the points $p_{i}$. This completes the proof in the case $d=4$.

Finally, to treat the case $d>4$, let

$$
h_{i}(y)=y_{i}^{d-2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_{j}^{2} .
$$

Note that $h_{i}$ has a singularity of type $A_{1}$ at $p_{i}$ and vanishes of order $d-2>2$ at the other points $p_{j}$, for $j \neq i$. Consider the linear system spanned by $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$. It is easy to see, repeating the argument already used twice above, that the base locus $h_{1}=\cdots=h_{n}=0$ of this linear system is exactly the set $Z_{n}$. It follows, by Bertini's theorem, that a generic member $Y$ of this linear system is smooth except possibly at the points of $Z_{n}$. The choice of the $h_{i}$ implies that $Y$ has an $A_{1}$ singularity at each point in $Z_{n}$.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 stated in the introduction. Using Remark 1.3(i) and Proposition 2.1, it follows that, in any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$, there are smooth hypersurfaces $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$ which have at least one nodal hyperplane section $V(f) \cap H$, with exactly $n$ singularities in general position.

Let $B=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{d}\right)_{0}$ be the set of points in $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{d}\right)$ corresponding to polynomials $f \in S_{d}$ such that the hypersurface $V(f): f=0$ is smooth. Let $\mathcal{A}(n, d) \subset B$ be the subset of such hypersurfaces $V(f)$, which have at least one hyperplane section $V(f) \cap H$, with exactly $n$ singularities $A_{1}$ in general position. We know already that $\mathcal{A}(n, d) \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a constructible (or semialgebraic) subset in $B$. Indeed, consider the subset

$$
\Gamma \subset B \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{n}
$$

consisting of pairs $(f, q)$, where $f \in B, q=\left(q^{1}, \ldots, q^{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{n}$, such that the points

$$
q^{j}=\left(q_{0}^{j}: q_{1}^{j}: \cdots: q_{n}^{j}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}
$$

are linearly independent, that is, they span a hyperplane $H(q)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, and the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} q_{i}^{j} f_{i}\left(q^{k}\right)=0 \text { for any } j, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hess}(f)\left(q^{j}\right) \neq 0 \text { for any } j=1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ is the Hessian polynomial of $f$. In fact, the equation (2.5) for $k=j$ tells us that $q^{j} \in V(f)$ for any $j=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, it says that the point $q^{j}$ is on the tangent space $T_{q^{k}} V(f)$. This implies that

$$
T_{q^{k}} V(f)=H(q) \text { for any } k=1, \ldots, n
$$

The equation (2.6) tells us that the singularity of $V(f) \cap H(q)$ at the point $q^{j}$ is a node; see for instance [ $\mathbf{6}$, equivalence (11.33)]. It is clear that $\Gamma$ is a constructible set in $B \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{n}$, since it is defined by finitely many algebraic equalities and inequalities. Let $p_{1}: B \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{n} \rightarrow B$ be the first projection and note that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)=p_{1}(\Gamma)$. Using the Chevalley theorem, see for instance [10, p. 395], we conclude that the set $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is constructible in $B$.

We now show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset in $B$, and hence it is dense in $B$ and in $\mathbb{P}(S)_{d}$; see [11, Theorem 2.33]. Let $Z=B \backslash \mathcal{A}(n, d)$. Then $Z$ is also a constructible set, and [10, Proposition 2, p. 394] implies that the closure of $Z$ in $B$ in the Zariski topology coincides with its closure in the strong complex topology. Hence, to show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a Zariski open subset in $B$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is an open subset of $B$ in the strong complex topology.

We now fix one element $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$ and show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ contains a neighborhood of $f$ in $B$. The set $B$ is open, hence there are arbitrarily small open neighborhoods $U$ of $f$ with $f \in U \subset B$. For any polynomial $f^{\prime} \in U$, we consider the gradient map

$$
\Phi_{f^{\prime}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee} \text { given by } x \mapsto\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x): f_{1}^{\prime}(x): \cdots: f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

and the corresponding dual mapping

$$
\phi_{f^{\prime}}=\Phi_{f^{\prime}} \mid V\left(f^{\prime}\right): V\left(f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee} .
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$, there is a hyperplane $H$ such that $V(f) \cap H$ has $n$ singularities $A_{1}$ in general position, say at the points $p_{j} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, for $j=1, \ldots, n$. It follows that the Hessian polynomial $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ of $f$ satisfies $\operatorname{Hess}(f)\left(p_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and hence each analytic germ

$$
\Phi_{f}:\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, p_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}, H\right)
$$

is invertible; see for instance [ $\mathbf{6}$, equation (11.10)]. It follows that there is a neighborhood $N$ of $H$ in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$ and neighborhoods $N_{j}$ of $p_{j}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$ such that the restrictions

$$
\Phi_{f}^{(j)}=\Phi_{f} \mid N_{j}: N_{j} \rightarrow N
$$

are analytic isomorphisms, with corresponding inverse mappings

$$
\Psi_{f}^{(j)}=\left(\Phi_{f}^{(j)}\right)^{-1}: N \rightarrow N_{j} .
$$

Any polynomial $f^{\prime} \in U$ can be regarded as a deformation of $f$, the parameters being the coefficients of $f^{\prime}$. Since $f$ depends analytically on these parameters, the inverse mapping $\Psi_{f}^{(j)}$ also depends analytically on these parameters. It follows that, by choosing small enough neighborhoods $U, N$, and $N_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$, we have inverse mappings as above

$$
\Psi_{f^{\prime}}^{(j)}: N \rightarrow N_{j}
$$

for all $f^{\prime} \in U$. Choose $g \in S_{d}$ a polynomial such that $g\left(p_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
h_{f^{\prime}}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{g}
$$

is an analytic function defined on all $N_{j}$ 's, if they are chosen small enough. Now define

$$
\alpha_{f^{\prime}}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \text { given by } y \mapsto\left(h_{f^{\prime}}\left(\Psi_{f^{\prime}}^{(1)}(y)\right), \ldots, h_{f^{\prime}}\left(\Psi_{f^{\prime}}^{(n)}(y)\right)\right) .
$$

Notice that we have an obvious equality of (possibly non-reduced) analytic spaces $\alpha_{f}^{-1}(0)=\{H\}$, where $H$ is regarded as a point with its reduced structure. Indeed, $h_{f}=0$ in $N_{j}$ defines the intersection $V(f) \cap N_{j}$, and $\Phi_{f}^{(j)}\left(V(f) \cap N_{j}\right)$ is the trace on $N$ of the irreducible smooth branch of the dual variety $V(f)^{\vee}$ at the point $H \in$ $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$, whose tangent space at $H=\Phi_{f}^{(j)}\left(p_{j}\right)$ corresponds to the point $p_{j} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$. The intersection of these $n$ smooth branches, meeting transversally at $H$, is exactly the simple point $H$. Let $D$ be a small closed ball in $N$, centered at $H$, and consider the restricted mapping

$$
\beta_{f^{\prime}}=\alpha_{f^{\prime}} \mid \partial D: \partial D=S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Here $\partial D$ is the boundary of the closed ball $D$. If $D$ is small, it is clear by the above discussion that $\alpha_{f}$ has no zeros on the compact set $\partial D$. By continuity, the same is true for $\alpha_{f^{\prime}}$, and hence $\beta_{f^{\prime}}$ is correctly defined for $f^{\prime}$ close to $f$. Notice that the mapping $\beta_{f}$ has degree 1; see for instance [1, Section 5.4] or the topological interpretation of intersection multiplicity of $n$ divisors in [8, p. 670]. By continuity, it follows that $\operatorname{deg} \beta_{f^{\prime}}=1$ for any $f^{\prime} \in U$. Therefore, for any $f^{\prime} \in U$ there is a unique point $H^{\prime} \in$ $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha_{f^{\prime}}^{-1}(0)=\left\{H^{\prime}\right\}$. As explained above, this is equivalent to the fact that the dual hypersurface $V\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ has a normal crossing singularity of multiplicity $n$ at the point $H^{\prime}$. Hence $H^{\prime}$ corresponds to a hyperplane section of $V\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ with $n$ nodes, that is, $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$. Therefore $U \subset \mathcal{A}(n, d)$ and this completes our proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Fix $V(f): f=0$ a smooth hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, with $d, n \geq 3$. In view of Theorem 1.1, there is a sequence of pairs $\left(V\left(f_{m}\right), H_{m}\right)$ such that $f_{m}$ converges to $f$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{d}\right)$ and $V\left(f_{m}\right) \cap H_{m}$ has $n$ nodes in general position. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $q_{m}=H_{m}$ converges to a hyperplane $q=H$ in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$. By passing to the dual hypersurfaces, we get a sequence of hypersurfaces $V\left(f_{m}\right)^{\vee}$ converging to $V(f)^{\vee}$, and a sequence of points $q_{m} \in V\left(f_{m}\right)^{\vee}$ converging to the point $q \in V(f)^{\vee}$ such that $\left(V\left(f_{m}\right)^{\vee}, q_{m}\right)$ is a normal crossing singularity of multiplicity $n$. If we consider the $n$-jet at $q_{m}$ of a reduced defining equation $F_{m}$ for $V\left(f_{m}\right)^{\vee}$ in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$, we see that

$$
h_{m}=j_{q_{m}}^{n} F_{m}
$$

is a degree- $n$ homogeneous polynomial which splits as a product of $n$ linearly independent linear forms. Let $F$ be a reduced defining equation for $V(f)^{\vee}$ in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$. Then $F_{m}$ converges to $F$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{D}\right)$, where $D=d(d-1)^{n-1}$; see for instance [7, Theorem 1.2.5]. Hence for the $n$-jet

$$
h=j_{q}^{n} F
$$

there are the following two possibilities. Either $h \neq 0$, and then $h=\lim h_{m}$ in the corresponding projective space, and so $h$ is a product of $n$ (maybe non-distinct) linear forms, or $h=0$ and then $\operatorname{mult}_{q} V(f)^{\vee} \geq n+1$. This proves the first claim in Theorem 1.4. To prove the second claim, note that for $m$ large, we can identify the hyperplane $H_{m}$ with $H$ using a linear projection, and in this way $V\left(f_{m}\right) \cap H_{m}$ give rise to a sequence of hypersurfaces $W_{m}$ in $H$ converging to the intersection $W=V(f) \cap H$. Let $G_{m}$ (resp. $G$ ) be the reduced defining equation of the hypersurface $W_{m}$ (resp. $W$ ) in $H=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. If we choose a system of coordinates $y=\left(y_{1}: \cdots: y_{n}\right)$ and set
$S^{\prime}=\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$, let $M\left(G_{m}\right)=S^{\prime} / J\left(G_{m}\right)$ and $M(G)=S^{\prime} / J(G)$ denote the corresponding Milnor (or Jacobian) algebras of $G_{m}$ and $G$. Here $J\left(G_{m}\right)$ (resp. $J(G)$ ) denotes the Jacobian ideal of $G_{m}$ (resp. $G$ ) spanned by all the first-order partial derivatives of $G_{m}$ (resp. $G$ ) with respect to the $y_{j}$ 's. For $k>0$ an integer, we set

$$
M\left(G_{m}\right)^{k}=\frac{S^{\prime}}{J\left(G_{m}\right)+M^{k+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad M(G) k=\frac{S^{\prime}}{J(G)+M^{k+1}},
$$

where $M$ is the maximal ideal $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \subset S^{\prime}$. Let $T=n(d-2)$ and recall that the homogeneous components of the Milnor algebras $M\left(G_{m}\right)$ and $M(G)$ satisfy

$$
\operatorname{dim} M\left(G_{m}\right)_{j}=\tau\left(W_{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim} M(G)_{j}=\tau(W),
$$

for any $j>T$; see [4, Corollary 9]. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim} M\left(G_{m}\right)^{k}=\operatorname{dim} M\left(G_{m}\right)^{T}+(k-T) \tau\left(W_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} M(G)^{k}=\operatorname{dim} M(G)^{T}+(k-T) \tau(W),
$$

for any $k>T$. Using the semicontinuity of the dimension of a quotient space, we get

$$
\operatorname{dim} M(G)^{k} \geq \operatorname{dim} M\left(G_{m}\right)^{k}
$$

for all $k>T$. This clearly implies

$$
\tau(W) \geq \tau\left(W_{m}\right)=n
$$

and this proves our second claim.
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