

A brief introduction to deformation quantization

Henrique Bursztyn, IMPA

A brief introduction to deformation quantization

Henrique Bursztyn, IMPA

Mathematics \rightleftharpoons **Physics**

A brief introduction to deformation quantization

Henrique Bursztyn, IMPA

Mathematics \rightleftharpoons Physics

R. Dijkgraaf's “Unreasonable effectiveness of quantum physics in modern mathematics”.

Outline:

- ◇ Geometry of phase spaces
- ◇ Canonical quantization
- ◇ The first star products
- ◇ Quantization and deformation theory: mathematical set up.
- ◇ Deformation quantization: symplectic case
- ◇ Deformation quantization: Kontsevich's theorem
- ◇ Morita equivalence of star products

Geometry of phase spaces

Geometry of phase spaces

Phase space: $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(q^i, p_i)\}$ (states)

Observables: $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (e.g. position, energy...)

Geometry of phase spaces

Phase space: $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(q^i, p_i)\}$ (states)

Observables: $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (e.g. position, energy...)

Hamiltonian: $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Dynamics: $X_H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$

Geometry of phase spaces

Phase space: $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(q^i, p_i)\}$ (states)

Observables: $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (e.g. position, energy...)

Hamiltonian: $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Dynamics: $X_H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$

Poisson brackets: $\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_f} = \langle \nabla g, X_f \rangle = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}$

Geometry of phase spaces

Phase space: $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(q^i, p_i)\}$ (states)

Observables: $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (e.g. position, energy...)

Hamiltonian: $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Dynamics: $X_H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$

Poisson brackets: $\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_f} = \langle \nabla g, X_f \rangle = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}$

In quantum mechanics, there is a drastic change...

Quantum mechanics

Quantum mechanics

States: $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ (Hilbert space)

Observables: $L(\mathcal{H})$ (self adjoint...)

Quantum mechanics

States: $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ (Hilbert space)

Observables: $L(\mathcal{H})$ (self adjoint...)

Physical measurement of *probabilistic* nature...

Quantum mechanics

States: $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ (Hilbert space)

Observables: $L(\mathcal{H})$ (self adjoint...)

Physical measurement of *probabilistic* nature...

Need a Hamiltonian, time evolution of observables...

Observables form **noncommutative algebra**...

Quantum mechanics

States: $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ (Hilbert space)

Observables: $L(\mathcal{H})$ (self adjoint...)

Physical measurement of *probabilistic* nature...

Need a Hamiltonian, time evolution of observables...

Observables form **noncommutative algebra**...

What is “quantization”?

Canonical quantization

Canonical quantization

Classical phase space: \mathbb{R}^2 , Poisson bracket $\{q, p\} = 1$.

Canonical quantization

Classical phase space: \mathbb{R}^2 , Poisson bracket $\{q, p\} = 1$.

Quantum observables: $q \mapsto \hat{Q}, p \mapsto \hat{P}$

Commutation relation: $[\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar \text{Id}$ (Dirac's rule)

Canonical quantization

Classical phase space: \mathbb{R}^2 , Poisson bracket $\{q, p\} = 1$.

Quantum observables: $q \mapsto \hat{Q}$, $p \mapsto \hat{P}$

Commutation relation: $[\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar \text{Id}$ (Dirac's rule)

Differential operators on wave functions:

$$\hat{Q}, \hat{P} : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(\hat{Q}\psi)(q) = q\psi(q), \quad (\hat{P}\psi)(q) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q}(q),$$

Canonical quantization

Classical phase space: \mathbb{R}^2 , Poisson bracket $\{q, p\} = 1$.

Quantum observables: $q \mapsto \hat{Q}, p \mapsto \hat{P}$

Commutation relation: $[\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar \text{Id}$ (Dirac's rule)

Differential operators on wave functions:

$$\hat{Q}, \hat{P} : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(\hat{Q}\psi)(q) = q\psi(q), \quad (\hat{P}\psi)(q) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q}(q),$$

Ordering problem: how to quantize $q^k p^l$?

Standard ordering: $q^k p^l \mapsto \hat{Q}^k \hat{P}^l = (\hbar/i)^l q^k \frac{\partial^l}{\partial q^l}$

Standard ordering: $q^k p^l \mapsto \widehat{Q}^k \widehat{P}^l = (\hbar/i)^l q^k \frac{\partial^l}{\partial q^l}$

Standard representation:

$$\varrho_s(f) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^r \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial p^r} \Big|_{p=0} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial q^r}$$

Proposition: $\varrho_s : \text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ is bijection.

Standard ordering: $q^k p^l \mapsto \widehat{Q}^k \widehat{P}^l = (\hbar/i)^l q^k \frac{\partial^l}{\partial q^l}$

Standard representation:

$$\varrho_s(f) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^r \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial p^r} \Big|_{p=0} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial q^r}$$

Proposition: $\varrho_s : \text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ is bijection.

Weyl ordering (total symmetrization):

$$q^2 p \mapsto \frac{1}{3}(\widehat{Q}^2 \widehat{P} + \widehat{Q} \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} + \widehat{P} \widehat{Q}^2) = -i\hbar q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - i\hbar q$$

Gives rise to Weyl representation

$$\varrho_w : \text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$$

Another viewpoint: star products

Pull-back product from $\text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$:

Another viewpoint: star products

Pull-back product from $\text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$:

Standard ordered star product: $f \star_s g = \varrho_s^{-1}(\varrho_s(f)\varrho_s(g))$,

$$f \star_s g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^r \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial p^r} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial q^r}$$

Another viewpoint: star products

Pull-back product from $\text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$:

Standard ordered star product: $f \star_s g = \varrho_s^{-1}(\varrho_s(f)\varrho_s(g))$,

$$f \star_s g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^r \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial p^r} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial q^r}$$

Weyl star product: $f \star_w g = \varrho_w^{-1}(\varrho_w(f)\varrho_w(g))$,

$$f \star_w g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \right)^r \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial q^k \partial p^{r-k}} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial q^{r-k} \partial p^k}$$

Another viewpoint: star products

Pull-back product from $\text{DiffOp}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\text{Pol}(T^*\mathbb{R})$:

Standard ordered star product: $f \star_s g = \varrho_s^{-1}(\varrho_s(f)\varrho_s(g))$,

$$f \star_s g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^r \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial p^r} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial q^r}$$

Weyl star product: $f \star_w g = \varrho_w^{-1}(\varrho_w(f)\varrho_w(g))$,

$$f \star_w g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \right)^r \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^{r-k} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial q^k \partial p^{r-k}} \frac{\partial^r g}{\partial q^{r-k} \partial p^k}$$

Quantization as a new product of functions! (special properties...)

Quantization and deformation theory

Physics: classical mechanics \rightsquigarrow quantum mechanics

Math: commutative structures \rightsquigarrow noncommutative structures

Quantization and deformation theory

Physics: classical mechanics \rightsquigarrow quantum mechanics

Math: commutative structures \rightsquigarrow noncommutative structures

How?

Quantization and deformation theory

Physics: classical mechanics \rightsquigarrow quantum mechanics

Math: commutative structures \rightsquigarrow noncommutative structures

How?

Deformations of mathematical structures

(starting with Kodaira-Spencer 1950's)

Quantization and deformation theory

Physics: classical mechanics \rightsquigarrow quantum mechanics

Math: commutative structures \rightsquigarrow noncommutative structures

How?

Deformations of mathematical structures

(starting with Kodaira-Spencer 1950's)

Quantization as a deformation (in \hbar) :

(Flato et al, 1970's; Gerstenhaber 1960's deformation theory for associative algebras)

$$f \star_{\hbar} g := f.g + \hbar C_1(f, g) + \hbar^2 C_2(f, g) + \hbar^3 C_3(f, g) + \dots$$

Quantization and deformation theory

Physics: classical mechanics \rightsquigarrow quantum mechanics

Math: commutative structures \rightsquigarrow noncommutative structures

How?

Deformations of mathematical structures

(starting with Kodaira-Spencer 1950's)

Quantization as a deformation (in \hbar) :

(Flato et al, 1970's; Gerstenhaber 1960's deformation theory for associative algebras)

$$f \star_{\hbar} g := f \cdot g + \hbar C_1(f, g) + \hbar^2 C_2(f, g) + \hbar^3 C_3(f, g) + \dots$$

Questions: Are there C_r 's such that $f \star_{\hbar} (g \star_{\hbar} h) = (f \star_{\hbar} g) \star_{\hbar} h$?

How many ways?

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Classical side

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Classical side

Classical phase space geometry = Poisson geometry

M manifold, $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that:

1. $\{f, g\} := -\{g, f\},$
2. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} = 0$
3. $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + \{f, h\}g$

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Classical side

Classical phase space geometry = Poisson geometry

M manifold, $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that:

1. $\{f, g\} := -\{g, f\},$
2. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} = 0$
3. $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + \{f, h\}g$

Local expression in coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) :

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i,j} \pi^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad \pi^{ij} = \{x_i, x_j\}$$

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Classical side

Classical phase space geometry = Poisson geometry

M manifold, $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that:

1. $\{f, g\} := -\{g, f\},$
2. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} = 0$
3. $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + \{f, h\}g$

Local expression in coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) :

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i,j} \pi^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad \pi^{ij} = \{x_i, x_j\}$$

Tensorial description: $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM), \quad [\pi, \pi] = 0;$

$$\{f, g\} = \pi(df, dg).$$

Examples:

- ▶ Symplectic (M, ω) ,

$$\{f, g\} = \omega(X_g, X_f)$$

- ▶ Dual of Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}^* ,

$$\{f, g\}(\xi) = \xi([df|_{\xi}, dg|_{\xi}])$$

- ▶ compact Lie groups, Poisson homogeneous spaces....

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Quantum side

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Quantum side

Star product on M is *associative product* on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g)$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential (BFFLS, '1978)

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Quantum side

Star product on M is *associative product* on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g)$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential (BFFLS, '1978)

Associativity: $(f \star g) \star h = f \star (g \star h)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{r=0}^k C_r(f, C_{k-r}(g, h)) = \sum_{r=0}^k C_r(C_{k-r}(f, g), h)$$

The mathematical set-up for quantization: Quantum side

Star product on M is *associative product* on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g)$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential (BFFLS, '1978)

Associativity: $(f \star g) \star h = f \star (g \star h)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{r=0}^k C_r(f, C_{k-r}(g, h)) = \sum_{r=0}^k C_r(C_{k-r}(f, g), h)$$

Poisson bracket: $\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{\hbar} [f, g]_* \big|_{\hbar=0} = C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f)$

Equivalence (ordering):

$$f \star' g = S^{-1}(S(f) \star S(g)), \quad \text{for } S = Id + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r S_r$$

Equivalence (ordering):

$$f \star' g = S^{-1}(S(f) \star S(g)), \quad \text{for } S = Id + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r S_r$$

Any star product is equivalent to one of the form

$$f \star g = fg + \hbar\{f, g\} + \dots$$

Equivalence (ordering):

$$f \star' g = S^{-1}(S(f) \star S(g)), \quad \text{for } S = Id + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r S_r$$

Any star product is equivalent to one of the form

$$f \star g = fg + \hbar\{f, g\} + \dots$$

Moduli of star products: $\text{Def}(M) = \{\star\} / \sim$

Main Questions:

Main Questions:

Do star products exist for any given Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$?

Classification of equivalence classes?

Deformation quantization: symplectic case

Deformation quantization: symplectic case

$(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ symplectic.

Deformation quantization: symplectic case

$(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ symplectic.

Existence: (De Wilde - Lecomte, Fedosov) mid 80s

Theorem: Any symplectic manifold admits a star product.

Deformation quantization: symplectic case

$(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ symplectic.

Existence: (De Wilde - Lecomte, Fedosov) mid 80s

Theorem: Any symplectic manifold admits a star product.

Classification: (Nest-Tsygan, Deligne...) mid 90s

Theorem: Equivalence classes of star products are in 1-1 correspondence with $H^2(M)[[\hbar]]$ (*characteristic classes*).

Deformation quantization: symplectic case

$(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ symplectic.

Existence: (De Wilde - Lecomte, Fedosov) mid 80s

Theorem: Any symplectic manifold admits a star product.

Classification: (Nest-Tsygan, Deligne...) mid 90s

Theorem: Equivalence classes of star products are in 1-1 correspondence with $H^2(M)[[\hbar]]$ (*characteristic classes*).

Dual of Lie algebras (Gutt), regular Poisson manifolds...

Deformation quantization: Kontsevich's theorem

Deformation quantization: Kontsevich's theorem

Existence: (Kontsevich, 1997)

Theorem: Any Poisson bracket admits a deformation quantization.

Deformation quantization: Kontsevich's theorem

Existence: (Kontsevich, 1997)

Theorem: Any Poisson bracket admits a deformation quantization.

Classification: (Kontsevich, 1997)

Theorem: Equivalence classes of star products are in 1-1 correspondence with classes of formal deformations of the Poisson structure.

Deformation quantization: Kontsevich's theorem

Existence: (Kontsevich, 1997)

Theorem: Any Poisson bracket admits a deformation quantization.

Classification: (Kontsevich, 1997)

Theorem: Equivalence classes of star products are in 1-1 correspondence with classes of formal deformations of the Poisson structure.

Both results follow from Kontsevich's formality theorem.

More precise statement....

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow [\pi_1, \pi_1] = 0)$$

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow [\pi_1, \pi_1] = 0)$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow [\pi_1, \pi_1] = 0)$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow [\pi_1, \pi_1] = 0)$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Theorem: There is 1-1 correspondence

$$\mathcal{K}_* : \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Def}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \dots] \mapsto [\star]$$

More precise statement....

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow [\pi_1, \pi_1] = 0)$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Theorem: There is 1-1 correspondence

$$\mathcal{K}_* : \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Def}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \dots] \mapsto [\star]$$

such that $\frac{1}{\hbar} [f, g]_{\star} \big|_{\hbar=0} = \pi_1(df, dg).$

Formality theorem: There is L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism of DGLAs

$$(\mathcal{X}(M)_{\hbar}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}, d = 0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_G, d_G).$$

In particular, 1-1 correspondence of Maurer-Cartan elements...

Formality theorem: There is L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism of DGLAs

$$(\mathcal{X}(M)_{\hbar}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}, d = 0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_G, d_G).$$

In particular, 1-1 correspondence of Maurer-Cartan elements...

“For a long time the Formality conjecture resisted all approaches. The solution presented here uses in an essential way ideas from string theory. Our formulas can be viewed as a perturbation series for a topological 2-dimensional quantum field theory coupled with gravity.”

Formality theorem: There is L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism of DGLAs

$$(\mathcal{X}(M)_{\hbar}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}, d = 0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_G, d_G).$$

In particular, 1-1 correspondence of Maurer-Cartan elements...

“For a long time the Formality conjecture resisted all approaches. The solution presented here uses in an essential way ideas from string theory. Our formulas can be viewed as a perturbation series for a topological 2-dimensional quantum field theory coupled with gravity.”

Kontsevich's main tool: **QFT and Feynman path integrals!**

Formality theorem: There is L_∞ -quasi-isomorphism of DGLAs

$$(\mathcal{X}(M)_{\hbar}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}, d = 0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}(M), [\cdot, \cdot]_G, d_G).$$

In particular, 1-1 correspondence of Maurer-Cartan elements...

“For a long time the Formality conjecture resisted all approaches. The solution presented here uses in an essential way ideas from string theory. Our formulas can be viewed as a perturbation series for a topological 2-dimensional quantum field theory coupled with gravity.”

Kontsevich's main tool: **QFT and Feynman path integrals!**

Poisson sigma model; Kontsevich's star product given by semiclassical expansion of suitable path integral (Cattaneo-Felder C.M.P. '2000)

Related developments

- ◇ Classical phase space of Poisson sigma model leads to *symplectic groupoids* (Cattaneo-Felder '00)
- ◇ Path space method for integration of Lie algebroids.
(Crainic-Fernandes, Annals of Math '03)
- ◇ Other applications to Lie theory, homotopic algebras...

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} unital k -algebras.

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} unital k -algebras.

Definition: \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} unital k -algebras.

Definition: \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$

Equivalences of representations implemented by bimodules ${}_B X_A$

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} unital k -algebras.

Definition: \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$

Equivalences of representations implemented by bimodules ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

$${}_{\mathcal{A}} M \mapsto {}_B (X \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M)$$

B -fields and Morita equivalence

The original context of Morita theory for rings (1958):

Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} unital k -algebras.

Definition: \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$

Equivalences of representations implemented by bimodules ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

$${}_{\mathcal{A}} M \mapsto {}_B (X \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M)$$

Group of self Morita equivalence of \mathcal{A} is $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$.

Morita theorem: characterization of Morita bimodules.

- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated, projective
- ▶ $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$
- ▶ full ($X_{\mathcal{A}} = P\mathcal{A}^n$, then $M_n(\mathcal{A})PM_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_n(\mathcal{A})$)

Morita theorem: characterization of Morita bimodules.

- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated, projective
- ▶ $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$
- ▶ full ($X_{\mathcal{A}} = P\mathcal{A}^n$, then $M_n(\mathcal{A})PM_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_n(\mathcal{A})$)

Examples:

- ◇ $X = \mathcal{A}^n$ is Morita bimodule for $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and \mathcal{A}

Morita theorem: characterization of Morita bimodules.

- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated, projective
- ▶ $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$
- ▶ full ($X_{\mathcal{A}} = P\mathcal{A}^n$, then $M_n(\mathcal{A})PM_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_n(\mathcal{A})$)

Examples:

- ◇ $X = \mathcal{A}^n$ is Morita bimodule for $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and \mathcal{A}
- ◇ $E \rightarrow M$ vector bundle: $\Gamma(E)$ is Morita bimodule for $\Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ and $C^\infty(M)$

Morita theorem: characterization of Morita bimodules.

- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated, projective
- ▶ $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$
- ▶ full ($X_{\mathcal{A}} = P\mathcal{A}^n$, then $M_n(\mathcal{A})PM_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_n(\mathcal{A})$)

Examples:

- ◇ $X = \mathcal{A}^n$ is Morita bimodule for $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and \mathcal{A}
- ◇ $E \rightarrow M$ vector bundle: $\Gamma(E)$ is Morita bimodule for $\Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ and $C^\infty(M)$
- ◇ $L \rightarrow M$ line bundle gives self-Morita of $C^\infty(M)$;

$$\text{Pic}(C^\infty(M)) = \text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$$

Morita theorem: characterization of Morita bimodules.

- ▶ $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated, projective
- ▶ $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$
- ▶ full ($X_{\mathcal{A}} = P\mathcal{A}^n$, then $M_n(\mathcal{A})PM_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_n(\mathcal{A})$)

Examples:

- ◇ $X = \mathcal{A}^n$ is Morita bimodule for $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and \mathcal{A}
- ◇ $E \rightarrow M$ vector bundle: $\Gamma(E)$ is Morita bimodule for $\Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ and $C^\infty(M)$
- ◇ $L \rightarrow M$ line bundle gives self-Morita of $C^\infty(M)$;

$$\text{Pic}(C^\infty(M)) = \text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$$

Morita equivalence (equivalence of categories of representations) has been studied in various other settings (C^* -algebras, Lie groupoids, Poisson manifolds)... key role in noncommutative geometry

Morita equivalence of star products

Morita equivalence of star products

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Morita equivalence of star products

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Theorem: *Star products \star and \star' are Morita equivalent iff $[\star], [\star']$ lie in the same $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$ -orbit:*

$$[\star'] = \Phi_L([\star_\varphi])$$

Morita equivalence of star products

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Theorem: *Star products \star and \star' are Morita equivalent iff $[\star], [\star']$ lie in the same $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$ -orbit:*

$$[\star'] = \Phi_L([\star_\varphi])$$

How to describe $\text{Pic}(M) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ -action on $\text{FPois}(M)$?

Morita equivalence of star products

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Theorem: *Star products \star and \star' are Morita equivalent iff $[\star], [\star']$ lie in the same $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$ -orbit:*

$$[\star'] = \Phi_L([\star_\varphi])$$

How to describe $\text{Pic}(M) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ -action on $\text{FPois}(M)$?

Need **B-field** transforms...

***B*-field (or “gauge”) transform of Poisson structures:**

View $\Omega_{cl}^2(M)$ as *symmetries* of Poisson structures (Severa-Weinstein '01).

***B*-field (or “gauge”) transform of Poisson structures:**

View $\Omega_{cl}^2(M)$ as *symmetries* of Poisson structures (Severa-Weinstein '01).

$B \in \Omega_{cl}^2(M)$ such that $(1 + B\pi)$ invertible, then

$$\pi^B := \pi(1 + B\pi)^{-1}$$

is new Poisson structure

***B*-field (or “gauge”) transform of Poisson structures:**

View $\Omega_{cl}^2(M)$ as *symmetries* of Poisson structures (Severa-Weinstein '01).

$B \in \Omega_{cl}^2(M)$ such that $(1 + B\pi)$ invertible, then

$$\pi^B := \pi(1 + B\pi)^{-1}$$

is new Poisson structure

Example: $\omega^{-1} \mapsto \omega^{-1}(1 + B\omega^{-1})^{-1} = (\omega + B)^{-1}$

Things are nicer in the formal world:

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar\pi_1 + \hbar^2\pi_2 + \dots \text{ in } \hbar\mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$$

$$B \in \Omega^2(M), \quad B\pi_{\hbar} = o(\hbar),$$

Things are nicer in the formal world:

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar\pi_1 + \hbar^2\pi_2 + \dots \text{ in } \hbar\mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$$

$$B \in \Omega^2(M), \quad B\pi_{\hbar} = o(\hbar),$$

$$(1 + B\pi_{\hbar}) \text{ always invertible: } (1 + B\pi_{\hbar})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (B\pi_{\hbar})^n$$

Things are nicer in the formal world:

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar\pi_1 + \hbar^2\pi_2 + \dots \text{ in } \hbar\mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$$

$$B \in \Omega^2(M), \quad B\pi_{\hbar} = o(\hbar),$$

$$(1 + B\pi_{\hbar}) \text{ always invertible: } (1 + B\pi_{\hbar})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (B\pi_{\hbar})^n$$

Action by B -field transforms:

$$\pi_{\hbar} \mapsto \pi_{\hbar}^B = \pi_{\hbar}(1 + B\pi_{\hbar})^{-1} = \hbar\pi_1 + o(\hbar)$$

Things are nicer in the formal world:

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar\pi_1 + \hbar^2\pi_2 + \dots \text{ in } \hbar\mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$$

$$B \in \Omega^2(M), \quad B\pi_{\hbar} = o(\hbar),$$

$$(1 + B\pi_{\hbar}) \text{ always invertible: } (1 + B\pi_{\hbar})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (B\pi_{\hbar})^n$$

Action by B -field transforms:

$$\pi_{\hbar} \mapsto \pi_{\hbar}^B = \pi_{\hbar}(1 + B\pi_{\hbar})^{-1} = \hbar\pi_1 + o(\hbar)$$

Action descends:

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{FPois}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{FPois}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar}] \mapsto [\pi_{\hbar}^B]$$

Putting the pieces together:

Putting the pieces together:

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright \mathrm{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_*} \mathrm{Def}(M) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Pic}(M) = \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Putting the pieces together:

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_*} \text{Def}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Pic}(M) = \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem (B., Dolgushev, Waldmann; Crelle J. '2012)

$$\Phi_L([\star]) = \mathcal{K}_*([\pi_{\hbar}^B]), \quad \text{where } B = 2\pi i c_1(L)$$

Putting the pieces together:

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_*} \text{Def}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Pic}(M) = \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem (B., Dolgushev, Waldmann; Crelle J. '2012)

$$\Phi_L([\star]) = \mathcal{K}_*([\pi_{\hbar}^B]), \quad \text{where } B = 2\pi i c_1(L)$$

Conclusion: Upon integrality, B -fields quantize to Morita equivalence.

Putting the pieces together:

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_*} \text{Def}(M) \curvearrowright \text{Pic}(M) = \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem (B., Dolgushev, Waldmann; Crelle J. '2012)

$$\Phi_L([\star]) = \mathcal{K}_*([\pi_{\hbar}^B]), \quad \text{where } B = 2\pi i c_1(L)$$

Conclusion: Upon integrality, B -fields quantize to Morita equivalence.

Relation with Poisson-geometric Morita equivalence (B., Ortiz, Waldmann, IMRN 2022)

Thanks!