

Strange chaotic triangular maps

Marta Štefánková

Mathematical Institute
Silesian University in Opava
Czech Republic

9th AIMS Conference, Orlando, July 2012

- $(X, \rho) \dots$ compact metric space
- $f \in C(X) \dots$ continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$
- $I = [0, 1]$
- triangular map \dots a continuous map $F : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$ of the form $F(x, y) = (f(x), g_x(y))$
- $\mathcal{T} \dots$ the class of triangular maps

- A map $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ is *of type* 2^∞ if it has a periodic orbit of period 2^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and has no other periodic orbits.

- A map $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ is *of type* 2^∞ if it has a periodic orbit of period 2^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and has no other periodic orbits.

For $f \in \mathcal{C}(I)$:

$$h(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \text{ is of type } \leq 2^\infty$$

- A map $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ is of type 2^∞ if it has a periodic orbit of period 2^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and has no other periodic orbits.

For $f \in \mathcal{C}(I)$:

$$h(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \text{ is of type } \leq 2^\infty$$

- $UR(f)$... the set of *uniformly recurrent points* of f

$x \in UR(f)$ if, for every neighborhood V of x there is a positive integer $K = K(V)$ such that every interval $N \subset [0, \infty)$ of length K contains an integer j such that $f^j(x) \in V$.

$UR(f)$ coincides with the union of all *minimal sets* of f , i.e., nonempty compact sets $M \subseteq X$ such that $f(M) = M$ and no proper compact subset of M has this property.

Li-Yorke chaos

- **Li and Yorke, Amer. Math. Monthly 1975**

Li-Yorke chaos

- **Li and Yorke, Amer. Math. Monthly 1975**

$f \in C(X)$ is **Li-Yorke chaotic (LYC)**, if there is an uncountable set $\emptyset \neq S \subset X$ such that $\forall x, y \in S, x \neq y$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0,$$
$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > 0.$$

Distributional chaos

- Schweizer and Smítal, TAMS 1994
- Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2004
- Balibrea, Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2005

Let $f \in C(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{m; 0 \leq m < n \text{ and } \rho(f^m(x), f^m(y)) < t\}.$$

Distributional chaos

- Schweizer and Smítal, TAMS 1994
- Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2004
- Balibrea, Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2005

Let $f \in C(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{m; 0 \leq m < n \text{ and } \rho(f^m(x), f^m(y)) < t\}.$$

$\Phi_{xy}(t) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) \dots$ **lower distribution** of x and y

$\Phi_{xy}^*(t) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) \dots$ **upper distribution** of x and y

Distributional chaos

- Schweizer and Smítal, TAMS 1994
- Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2004
- Balibrea, Smítal and Štefánková, ChSF 2005

Let $f \in C(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{m; 0 \leq m < n \text{ and } \rho(f^m(x), f^m(y)) < t\}.$$

$\Phi_{xy}(t) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) \dots$ **lower distribution** of x and y

$\Phi_{xy}^*(t) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{xy}^{(n)}(t) \dots$ **upper distribution** of x and y

Φ_{xy} and Φ_{xy}^* are nondecreasing

$$\Phi_{xy}(t) \leq \Phi_{xy}^*(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Phi_{xy}(t) = \Phi_{xy}^*(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq 0$$

$$\Phi_{xy}(t) = \Phi_{xy}^*(t) = 1, \quad \forall t > \text{diam}(X)$$

$f \in C(X)$ is **distributionally chaotic (DC)**, if there is an uncountable set $\emptyset \neq S \subset X$ such that $\forall x, y \in S, x \neq y$

DC1: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy}(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$

$f \in C(X)$ is **distributionally chaotic (DC)**, if there is an uncountable set $\emptyset \neq S \subset X$ such that $\forall x, y \in S, x \neq y$

DC1: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy}(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$

DC2: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy} < \Phi_{xy}^*$ on some interval

$f \in C(X)$ is **distributionally chaotic (DC)**, if there is an uncountable set $\emptyset \neq S \subset X$ such that $\forall x, y \in S, x \neq y$

DC1: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy}(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$

DC2: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy} < \Phi_{xy}^*$ on some interval

DC3: $\Phi_{xy}^* > \Phi_{xy}$ on some interval

$f \in C(X)$ is **distributionally chaotic (DC)**, if there is an uncountable set $\emptyset \neq S \subset X$ such that $\forall x, y \in S, x \neq y$

DC1: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy}(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$

DC2: $\Phi_{xy}^* \equiv 1$ and $\Phi_{xy} < \Phi_{xy}^*$ on some interval

DC3: $\Phi_{xy}^* > \Phi_{xy}$ on some interval

DC1 \Rightarrow DC2 \Rightarrow DC3

Theorem 1. *There is a nonempty family of maps $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ nondecreasing on the fibres and without DC2 pairs such that every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$, restricted to the set of uniformly recurrent points, is Li-Yorke chaotic.
(Every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is of type 2^∞ and has zero topological entropy.)*

Theorem 1. *There is a nonempty family of maps $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ nondecreasing on the fibres and without DC2 pairs such that every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$, restricted to the set of uniformly recurrent points, is Li-Yorke chaotic. (Every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is of type 2^∞ and has zero topological entropy.)*

Proof.

We use the parametric family of maps introduced by BSŠ in 2005 and formalized by M. Mlíchová in 2006.

$$Q \times I \rightarrow Q \times I, (x, y) \mapsto (\tau(x), g_x(y))$$

$Q = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$... the middle-third Cantor set

τ ... the (binary) *adding machine* on Q ;

$\tau(x_1x_2x_3\cdots) = x_1x_2x_3\cdots + 1000\cdots$, where the adding is mod 2 with carry; e. g., $\tau(11010\cdots) = 00110\cdots$

$\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$... an increasing sequence of positive integers of the form $n_k = 2^{c_k}$, $k, c_k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $c_k \geq 2$.

Write any $x = x_1x_2x_3 \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}$ in blocks as

$$x = x^1x^2x^3 \cdots, \text{ where } x^j \text{ is the block of } c_j \text{ digits of } x. \quad (1)$$

For any finite block $\alpha = x_sx_{s+1} \cdots x_{s+k}$ the *evaluation* of α is $e(\alpha) = x_s + 2x_{s+1} + 2^2x_{s+2} + \cdots + 2^kx_{s+k}$.

For any family of continuous maps $I \rightarrow I$

$$\{\varphi_k^{(j)}; 0 \leq j \leq n_k - 2\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad (2)$$

define $F(x, y) = (\tau(x), y)$ if $x = 1^\infty$ (i.e., if x contains no zero digit).

Otherwise, let x^k be the first block in (1) containing a zero digit, and let

$$F(x, y) = (\tau(x), \varphi_k^{(p)}(y)), \text{ where } p = e(x^k). \quad (3)$$

If the maps $\varphi_k^{(j)}$ in (2) are taken such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_j \|\varphi_k^{(j)} - Id\| = 0, \quad (4)$$

where Id denotes the identity map on I then F is continuous, and if

$$\varphi_k^{(n_k-2)} \circ \varphi_k^{(n_k-3)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_k^{(1)} \circ \varphi_k^{(0)} = \varphi_k^{(0)} = Id, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5)$$

then some recurrence formulas are valid.

For $x \in Q$, $y \in I$, and a nonnegative integer i , let $y_x(i)$ be the second coordinate of $F^i(x, y)$. Then we have

Lemma (Čiklová 2006). *For any $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq j < n_{k+1}$, (5) implies*

$$y_0(j \cdot m_k) = \varphi_{k+1}^{(j-1)} \circ \varphi_{k+1}^{(j-2)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k+1}^{(1)} \circ \varphi_{k+1}^{(0)}(y),$$

where $m_k := n_1 n_2 n_3 \cdots n_k$. In particular, $y_0(m_k) = y_0(0) (= y)$.

Theorem 1. *There is a nonempty family of maps $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ nondecreasing on the fibres and without DC2 pairs such that every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$, restricted to the set of uniformly recurrent points, is Li-Yorke chaotic.*

Proof.

STAGE 1. Define F on $Q \times I$ and show that it has unique (infinite) minimal set M , and that $F|_M$ is Li-Yorke chaotic.

Let $\{r_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that

$$r_k < r_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k = 1. \quad (6)$$

Then there is an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of positive integers being powers 2^{c_k} of 2 such that

$$r_k^{n_k/2} > r_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k^{n_k/2} = 0. \quad (7)$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t \in I$ let

$$\theta_k(t) = r_k t \text{ and } \bar{\theta}_k(t) = \min\{1, t/r_k\},$$

$$\psi_k(t) = 1 - r_k(1 - t), \text{ and } \bar{\psi}_k(t) = \max\{0, (t + r_k - 1)/r_k\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{\theta}_k \circ \theta_k = \bar{\psi}_k \circ \psi_k = Id$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t \in I$ let

$$\theta_k(t) = r_k t \text{ and } \overline{\theta}_k(t) = \min\{1, t/r_k\},$$

$$\psi_k(t) = 1 - r_k(1 - t), \text{ and } \overline{\psi}_k(t) = \max\{0, (t + r_k - 1)/r_k\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\overline{\theta}_k \circ \theta_k = \overline{\psi}_k \circ \psi_k = Id$.

Define the family (2) by

$$\varphi_k^{(j)} = \begin{cases} Id & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \psi_k & \text{if } 0 < j \leq n_k/2 - 1, \\ \overline{\psi}_k & \text{if } n_k/2 - 1 < j \leq n_k/2, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\varphi_k^{(j)} = \begin{cases} Id & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \theta_k & \text{if } 0 < j \leq n_k/2 - 1, \\ \overline{\theta}_k & \text{if } n_k/2 - 1 < j \leq n_k/2. \end{cases} \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \quad (9)$$

Then (4) and (5) are satisfied.

Using Lemma it is easy to verify that

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 1) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (10)$$

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 0) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), 1 - r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ odd}. \quad (11)$$

This gives that $M = \omega_F(0, 0)$ is the unique minimal set and $F|_M$ is LYC.

Using Lemma it is easy to verify that

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 1) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (10)$$

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 0) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), 1 - r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ odd}. \quad (11)$$

This gives that $M = \omega_F(0, 0)$ is the unique minimal set and $F|_M$ is LYC.

STAGE 2. We show that parameters n_k can be chosen such that $F|_{Q \times I}$, or equivalently (since (Q, τ) is distal), no I_x with $x \in Q$ contains a DC2-pair. So it suffices to show that

$$\Phi_{uv}(t) = \Phi_{uv}^*(t) = 1, \quad \text{for every } u, v \in I_x, \quad x \in Q, \quad \text{and } t > 0.$$

Using Lemma it is easy to verify that

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 1) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (10)$$

$$F^{jm_{k-1}}(0, 0) = (\tau^{jm_{k-1}}(0), 1 - r_k^j), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \text{ odd}. \quad (11)$$

This gives that $M = \omega_F(0, 0)$ is the unique minimal set and $F|_M$ is LYC.

STAGE 2. We show that parameters n_k can be chosen such that $F|_{Q \times I}$, or equivalently (since (Q, τ) is distal), no I_x with $x \in Q$ contains a DC2-pair. So it suffices to show that

$$\Phi_{uv}(t) = \Phi_{uv}^*(t) = 1, \quad \text{for every } u, v \in I_x, \quad x \in Q, \quad \text{and } t > 0.$$

STAGE 3. Extend the map F from $Q \times I$ in the affine manner onto a map $(x, y) \rightarrow (f(x), g_x(y))$ in \mathcal{I} .

Remarks on open(?) problems

- If $F \in \mathcal{T}$ possesses no $DC3$ -pair, is it true that $F|_{UR(F)}$ has no Li-Yorke pair?

Remarks on open(?) problems

- If $F \in \mathcal{T}$ possesses no $DC3$ -pair, is it true that $F|_{UR(F)}$ has no Li-Yorke pair?
NO (Downarowicz and M.Š.)

Remarks on open(?) problems

- If $F \in \mathcal{T}$ possesses no $DC3$ -pair, is it true that $F|_{UR(F)}$ has no Li-Yorke pair?
NO (Downarowicz and M.Š.)
- For $F \in \mathcal{T}$, does $h(F|_{RR(F)}) = 0$ imply $h(F|_{UR(F)}) = 0$?

Remarks on open(?) problems

- If $F \in \mathcal{T}$ possesses no $DC3$ -pair, is it true that $F|_{UR(F)}$ has no Li-Yorke pair?
NO (Downarowicz and M.Š.)
- For $F \in \mathcal{T}$, does $h(F|_{RR(F)}) = 0$ imply $h(F|_{UR(F)}) = 0$?
NO (Downarowicz)

-  F. Balibrea, J. Smítal, and M. Štefánková, *The three versions of distributional chaos*, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **23** (2005), 1581 – 1583.
-  M. Čiklová, *Li-Yorke sensitive minimal maps*, *Nonlinearity* **19** (2006), 517–529.
-  T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, *Period three implies chaos*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 985 – 992.
-  B. Schweizer and J. Smítal, *Measures of chaos and a spectral decomposition of dynamical systems on the interval*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 737 - 854.
-  J. Smítal, and M. Štefánková, *Distributional chaos for triangular maps*, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **21** (5) (2004), 1125 – 1128.