

Cubic points on modular curves via Chabauty

Joint work with Josha Box and Stevan Gajović

Pip Goodman

David Zureick-Brown (DZB) and his collaborators had recently finished proving the analogue of Mazur's Theorem on torsion subgroups for elliptic curves over cubic fields.

For $X_1(65)$, they had tried using the natural map $X_1(65) \rightarrow X_0(65)$ to reduce the question to computing cubic points on $X_0(65)$. But they were unable to do so!

DZB: is it possible to determine the finitely many cubic points on $X_0(65)$?

How do we deal with cubic points?

We study points on $X^{(n)}$ the n -th **symmetric power** of the curve X . Points on $X^{(n)}$ are unordered n -tuples $P_1 + \dots + P_n$ with $P_i \in X$.

Example

$$X^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{P + Q \mid P, Q \in X(\mathbb{Q})\} \cup \{P + P^\sigma \mid P \in X(K), [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2\}$$

There could be **infinitely many points** on $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ regardless of X 's genus!

A hyperelliptic curve X/\mathbb{Q} has a **rational degree two map** $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus by pulling back rational points, we get infinitely many points in $X^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

For $X: y^2 = f(x)$, we have $\{(x, y) + (x, -y) \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq X^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Back to the cubic points on $X_0(65)$

The jacobian $J_0(65)(\mathbb{Q})$ has positive rank, so we're going to try looking for a **Chabauty method** to classify the points on $X_0(65)^{(3)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

What do we want from such a method?

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in X^{(n)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We want information on its **inverse image** $D(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$, the **residue class** of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, in $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Given $\mathcal{Q} \in X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$, we want to know

- is \mathcal{Q} the **only** such point?
- If $\mathcal{Q} \in \rho^* C(\mathbb{Q})$, is $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq \rho^* C(\mathbb{Q})$, i.e., does it just consist of **pullbacks** (via ρ).

Theorem (Siksek's Symmetric Chabauty method), '09

Explicit conditions, depending on p , to determine if $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ consists of just **one point**, or **pullbacks** via ρ .

What's the problem with $X_0(65)^{(3)}(\mathbb{Q})$?

We have a **degree two** map $\rho: X_0(65) \rightarrow X_0^+(65)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} (quotient by the Atkin-Lehner involution).

The set $X_0(65)(\mathbb{Q})$ contains 4 **rational points** (the cusps) and the curve $X_0^+(65)$ is a **rank one** elliptic curve.

In particular, $X_0(65)^{(3)}(\mathbb{Q}) \supseteq c + \rho^* X_0^+(65)(\mathbb{Q})$ where $c \in X_0(65)(\mathbb{Q})$, is an **infinite set** not consisting of pullbacks!

Theorem (Box, Gajović, G. '22)

Let d, e, f and $n = f + de \neq 0$ be **non-negative integers**, $\rho: X \rightarrow C$ a morphism of degree d defined over \mathbb{Q} , and $\mathcal{Q} \in X^{(f)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Explicit conditions, depending on p , to determine if $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ is **contained** in $\mathcal{Q} + \rho^* C^{(e)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem (Box, Gajović, G. '22)

The set of cubic points for each of the curves

$$X_0(53), X_0(57), X_0(61), X_0(65), X_0(67) \text{ and } X_0(73)$$

is **finite and listed** in our paper. The **quartic points** on $X_0(65)$ form an infinite set. These points consist of those coming from $\rho^* X_0^+(65)^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q})$ and a **finite set** of points listed in our paper.

Our new method also plays a crucial role in Box's result:

Theorem (Box '22)

Let K be a totally real quartic field, not containing $\sqrt{5}$. Then any elliptic curve E/K is **modular**.

Symmetric Chabauty

Consider $\tilde{Q} \in X^{(n)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and its **inverse image** $D(\tilde{Q}) \subseteq X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ under the reduction map.

Fixing an Abel-Jacobi map $\iota: X^{(n)} \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\tilde{Q}) \cap X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(\tilde{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \end{array}$$

In **classical Chabauty**, we look to determine $\iota(D(\tilde{Q})) \cap \overline{\text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{Q})}$.

The problem is that even if the analogous Chabauty condition $r_X < g_X - (n - 1)$ is satisfied, this set **might not be finite**.

Non finiteness of $\iota(D(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})) \cap \overline{\text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{Q})}$

If $\mathcal{Q} = P + \rho^*(Q) \in D(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ with $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$, $Q \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, then the family

$$P + \rho^* C(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$$

often leads to **infinitely many points** in $D(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$.

To remedy this, we need to 'kill' the pullbacks. There is an **abelian variety** A such that $J(X) \sim J(C) \times A$. Let $\pi_A : J(X) \rightarrow A$ be the quotient map. The image

$$\pi_A(\iota(P + \rho^* C(\mathbb{Q})))$$

is now a **single point** on A . Hence we should try determining $\iota(D(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})) \cap \overline{A(X)(\mathbb{Q})}$, when $r_X - r_C < g_X - g_C - (n - 1)$ is satisfied.

In general, this allows to deduce information about $D(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \cap X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ **relative** to $C(\mathbb{Q})$.

What could possibly go wrong?

In practice, we need to use information from several primes. The relevant technique here is the **Mordell–Weil sieve**.

There are algorithms for computing MW groups of curves with **genus at most two**. But our examples have **genus 4 or 5**.

Taking pullbacks, we can compute subgroups with index dividing a **known quantity** (the degree of our maps) and usually this is enough. But it wasn't for the **quartic points** on $X_0(65)$.

So, we proved the following:

Theorem (Box, Gajović, G. '22)

$J_0(65)(\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $\rho^* J_0^+(65)(\mathbb{Q})$ and $J_0(65)(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$.

(Where $J_0^+(65)$ is the elliptic curve that was causing problems earlier.)

Computing the full Mordell–Weil group

Suppose for a second $J(X)(\mathbb{Q})$ is **torsion**. We can try using

$$J(X)(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow J(X)(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

for several primes of good reduction to **bound** $J(X)(\mathbb{Q})$.

But there's **no guarantee** this bound will be **sharp**.

So, instead it's reasonable to compute $J(X)(K)_{tors}$ for some extension K/\mathbb{Q} and then **take Galois invariants**.

Suppose $J(X)(\mathbb{Q})$ has **positive rank**, with $G \subseteq J(X)(\mathbb{Q})$ index dividing, say, two.

We then check if $D \in G$ is a **double** in $J(X)(\mathbb{Q})$ by **either**

- reducing mod p ; **or**
- computing a preimage $\frac{1}{2}D \in J(X)(K)$ and looking for **rational points** in $\frac{1}{2}D + J(X)(K)[2]$.

Rough form of our Chabauty conditions

Given $Q \in X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ we **associate** to it a **matrix** \mathcal{A}_Q , built from fixing some local coordinate t and then taking the first few coefficients modulo p of the **expansion** of certain **differentials** around t .

We also assume we know integers n, d, e such that $Q \in \mathcal{P} + \rho^* C^{(e)}(\mathbb{Q})$ for some $\mathcal{P} \in X^{(n-de)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

From this we cook up a **rank condition** on \mathcal{A}_Q , which if satisfied shows $X^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\tilde{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{P} + \rho^* C^{(e)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Sometimes these rank conditions are **not satisfied**. But this is usually for a **“good reason”**.

The problem with $X_0(73)$

Let c_0, c_∞ denote the **cusps** on $X_0(73)$. They are exchanged by the Atkin-Lehner involution, i.e., $w(c_0) = c_\infty$.

We expect $3c_0, 3c_\infty \in X_0(73)^{(3)}(\mathbb{Q})$ to be **alone in their residue classes**, and thus their **corresponding** matrices $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_\infty$ would have to have **full rank** (= 3 here).

The **matrix corresponding** to $3c_0 + 3c_\infty$ is given by $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_0 | \mathcal{A}_\infty)$.

Owing to the fact $w(c_0) = c_\infty$, we find $\mathcal{A}_0 = -\mathcal{A}_\infty$ and thus \mathcal{A} has **rank** $\text{rk}(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

However, our theorem also tells us that if \mathcal{A} had **rank = 3**, then the **residue class** of $3c_0 + 3c_\infty$ would be **contained in** $\rho^* X_0^+(73)^{(3)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

However, this is **not the case** as there exists $f \in L(3c_0 + 3c_\infty)$ of degree 6 such that $w^*f \neq f$.

We provide **other Chabauty conditions** to deal with such novelties.

Thank you for listening!