

Clifford algebras, spinors and applications

Problem Sheet 3

14 February 2017

Problem 1.

We had two descriptions of the group Spin:

$$\text{Spin}(V, Q) = \{g \in (\text{Cl}^{\text{ev}}(V, Q))^{\times} : gVg^{-1} = V, \Delta(g) = \pm 1\},$$

$$\text{Spin}(V, Q) = \{v_1 \dots v_{2r} ; r \geq 0, v_j \in V, Q(v_j) = \pm 1\}.$$

With the first definition, we deduced that

$$\text{Spin}(2, 0) = \{a + be_1e_2 : a^2 + b^2 = 1\}.$$

Its elements are not expressed as a product of unit vectors, but as a linear combination of elements in the Clifford algebra, which does not trivially fit the second definition.

- Is $a + be_1e_2$ a product of an even number of unit vectors? How?
- Conversely, can we write any product of an even number of unit vectors as $a + be_1e_2$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$?

Problem 2.

Describe $\text{Spin}(4, 0)$ and $\text{Spin}^+(1, 3)$ using the Clifford algebra. Recall that the subscript + refers to $\Delta(g) = +1$. How different are $\text{Spin}(r, s)$ and $\text{Spin}(s, r)$?

Problem 3

We proved with all detail what the irreducible representations of $\text{Cl}(r, s)$ are, but we did not prove that every representation of $\text{Cl}(r, s)$ is necessarily the sum of irreducibles, i.e., is completely reducible. You are very welcome to search around in any references to answer these two questions.

- Find a representation (of an algebra) that is not completely reducible.
- Prove that any representation of $\text{Cl}(r, s)$ is completely reducible.